



POLICY BOARD MEETING

Martin County Administrative Center
Board of County Commission Chambers
2401 SE Monterey Road, Stuart, FL 34996
www.martinmpo.com
(772) 221-1498

Monday, May 12, 2025 @ 1:30 PM

AGENDA

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>ACTION</u>
1. CALL TO ORDER	
2. PRAYER – Pastor Jim Harp	
3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	
4. ROLL CALL	
5. APPROVE AGENDA	APPROVE
6. APPROVE MINUTES (Pg.4) • MPO Board Meeting – April 21, 2025	APPROVE
7. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC (PLEASE LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES; COMPLETE CARD TO COMMENT)	
8. AGENDA ITEMS	
A. TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED (TD) PLANNING GRANT (Pg.13)	APPROVE
B. APPROVAL OF RECOMMENDED COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION COORDINATOR (CTC) (Pg.19)	APPROVE
C. 2050 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN (LRTP) – NEEDS PLAN (Pg.22)	APPROVE
D. CORRESPONDENCE FROM ST. LUCIE TPO – FEDERAL FUNDING SPLIT (Pg.80)	DISCUSSION

E. DRAFT FY26-FY30 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	(Pg.89)	APPROVE
F. GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT (GPC) CONTRACTS	(Pg.296)	APPROVE
G. SR-710 PROJECTS UPDATE	(Pg.297)	DISCUSSION

9. COMMENTS FROM FDOT

10.COMMENTS FROM ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

11.COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS

12.NOTES

13.NEXT MEETING

- MPO Policy Board Meeting – June 16, 2025

14.ADJOURN

The Martin MPO solicits public participation without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability, or family status. Persons who require special accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act or persons who require language translation services (free of charge) should contact Ricardo Vazquez, Principal Planner (Title VI/Non-discrimination Contact) at (772) 223-7983 or rvazquez@martin.fl.us

in advance of the meeting. Hearing-impaired individuals are requested to telephone the Florida Relay System at #711.



**MARTIN METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
POLICY BOARD MEETING**

Martin County Administrative Building Commission Chambers
2401 SE Monterey Road
Stuart, FL 34996
www.martinmpo.com
(772) 221-1498

Monday, April 21, 2024 @ 9:00 am

MINUTES

- 1. CALL TO ORDER** – Commissioner Sarah Heard called the meeting to order at 9:04 a.m.
- 2. PRAYER** – Pastor Jim Harp, Stuart Alliance Church, led the Invocation.
- 3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – Commissioner Sarah Heard led the Pledge of Allegiance.
- 4. ROLL CALL** – Susan Ortiz, Administration Assistant, called roll.

PRESENT:

Commissioner Eileen Vargas
Commissioner Sarah Heard
Commissioner Stacey Hetherington
Commissioner J. Blake Capps
Commissioner Edward Ciampi
Mayor Carmine Dipaolo
Commissioner Christopher Collins
Commissioner Sean Reed
Commissioner Kaija Mayfield

REPRESENTING:

Martin County Board of County Commission
Martin County Board of County Commission
Martin County Board of County Commission
Martin County Board of County Commission
Martin County Board of County Commission
Village of Indian Town Council Member
City of Stuart Commission
City of Stuart Commission
Town of Sewall's Point Commission

ABSENT:

Staff in Attendance:

Beth Beltran, MPO Administrator
Ricardo Vazquez, Principal Planner
Margie Tamblyn, Senior Planner
Lucine Martens, Planner
Susan Ortiz, Administrative Assistant

Others in Attendance:

Jim Harp, Stuart Alliance Church
Lisa Stone, Kimley-Horn

James Brown, Florida's Turnpike Enterprise
Larry Sofield, CAC

Robert Lopes, FDOT
Godfrey Lamprey, GOAL Associates
Kelly Budhu, FDOT District 4
Damaris Williams, FDOT
Tara Swann, Kimley-Horn
Jeff Deziel, Resident

Paul Carballo, Metric
Ron Kareiva, FDOT
Tony Norat, FDOT District 4
Gin Ng, Kimley-Horn
Cyndy Kendrick, Stanley Consultants

A quorum was present for the meeting.

5. APPROVE AGENDA

A motion to approve the agenda was made by Commissioner Stacey Hetherington and was seconded by Commissioner Christopher Collins. The motion passed unanimously.

6. APPROVE MINUTES

MPO Board Meeting – February 24, 2025

A motion to approve the February 24, 2025, MPO Policy Board minutes was made by Commissioner Edward Ciampi and seconded by Commissioner Christopher Collins. The motion passed unanimously.

7. PUBLIC COMMENTS – None

8. AGENDA ITEMS

A. FLORIDA TURNPIKE ENTERPRISE (FTE) – TURNPIKE PROJECTS PRESENTATION

Beth Beltran explained that in December, the Board requested a presentation from the Turnpike staff outlining the projects planned for the Turnpike in Martin County. Ms. Beltran introduced James Brown, who delivered the presentation to the Board.

Commissioner Ciampi addressed the discussion on the Move Over Law, emphasizing that it applies not only to police and fire vehicles but also to tow trucks, disabled vehicles, and any other obstructions in the lane. He noted the need for increased public education on the law, as some mistakenly believe it only applies to emergency responders.

Commissioner Hetherington inquired about the criteria for determining the installation of sound walls, particularly in the northern section of the Turnpike up to Becker, where some Palm City neighborhoods had been requesting sound barriers for years. Mr. Brown explained that the original PD&E study for the corridor from Jupiter assessed qualifying residential areas for potential noise walls. The study identified several neighborhoods. Mr. Brown noted that, while these areas were initially deemed likely to qualify, the project is now in the design phase. Community outreach efforts will help refine decisions, and in cases where sound walls cannot be installed due to non-qualification, alternative mitigation strategies will be considered to improve aesthetics. Commissioner Hetherington asked

whether residents would have additional opportunities to participate in the process, to which Mr. Brown confirmed they would.

Commissioner Mayfield asked whether the decision to expand the Turnpike to eight lanes at the Palm Beach County line was based on the most strategic location, as opposed to widening all of Martin County to eight lanes. Mr. Brown explained that the corridor had been studied, and while Palm Beach County's population density justified the expansion to eight lanes, widening the entire length was reassessed based on need.

Commissioner Capps inquired about the direct connection options in the Hobe Sound area, noting that previous presentations had proposed alternatives both north and south of Bridge Road. He requested further explanation and an updated timetable for the project. Mr. Brown explained that the ongoing (PD&E) study is expected to conclude early next year. A public hearing is scheduled for the fall, at which time the preferred alternative will be presented. He noted that one configuration involves a crossover, which consolidates elements into a single area. From a cost perspective, this option requires fewer infrastructure changes along I-95, particularly in terms of entrance and exit arrangements. Mr. Brown confirmed that the project will be formally presented to the (MPO) in the fall. Efforts are ongoing to secure design funding for future implementation. Commissioner Capps asked whether similar flyovers or direct connections exist elsewhere in the state and if they are widely utilized. Mr. Brown cited examples, including a flyover on I-75 in Miami-Dade and other facilities managed by FDOT District 4, particularly along I-95 express lanes. He noted that such designs prioritize safety and efficiency for highway connectivity.

Commissioner Heard emphasized the importance of all ongoing projects and asked whether any are prioritized over the others. Mr. Brown explained that prioritization is determined through annual traffic analysis, which assesses system-wide trends and facility needs. Funding allocation follows essential maintenance and upkeep, after which the most critical interchanges are addressed. Currently, in Martin County, the Martin Highway interchange and the segment from Martin Highway up to Becker are the farthest along in the design process and are considered high-priority areas for improvement. Commissioner Heard shared her personal observations, noting that I-95 at Indiantown Road consistently experiences severe congestion. Mr. Brown confirmed that this area falls within the planned widening segment from PGA Boulevard to Indiantown Road, which includes targeted interchange improvements. He reiterated that the goal is to incorporate the next phase of the direct connection into the Work Program as funding becomes available. Commissioner Heard then inquired about specific improvements planned for the Indiantown Road interchange. Mr. Brown responded that enhancements would focus on increasing turning capacity, including the introduction of dual lanes for improved traffic flow. Commissioner Heard suggested that commissioners consider scheduling regular presentations from the Turnpike Enterprise, emphasizing the value of staying informed on long-range projects to ensure they remain on track. Ms. Beltran noted they are scheduled to return in the fall.

B. SHARED-USE NON-MOTORIZED (SUN) TRAIL-HOBE SOUND NATATIONAL WILDLFE REFUGE TO BRIDGE ROAD PRESENTATION.

Ms. Beltran provided the Board with background information before introducing Dameris Williams from FDOT District 4, Ms. Williams explained that while there was a previously approved MPO plan, a revision was made to the northern segment to integrate it with a

recently added project north of SE Bridge Road. Ms. Williams then introduced Cyndy Kendrick, the consultant project manager overseeing the study, who proceeded to present on the segment between the Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge and SE Bridge Road.

Commissioner Heard noted that the proposed trail is a 2.2-mile segment rather than a 40-mile corridor and asked how many roadway crossings are involved. Ms. Beltran confirmed that, for this segment, the trail crosses only once. Initially, the northern segment was planned to connect via Gomez Avenue before turning west on Bridge Road to US-1. However, a feasibility study determined that the preferred alignment should shift to the west side of US-1, necessitating a crossing. Commissioner Heard inquired about the trail's path north of Bridge Road. Ms. Beltran clarified that the trail follows the west side of US-1 up to Osprey, where it crosses over to connect with Gomez Avenue then north to Seabranh State Park. Once completed, this will allow cyclists to park at Seabranh and ride along a continuous trail to JD State Park.

Commissioner Capps inquired about the trail's expected route and raised concerns about the feasibility of crossing the railroad tracks, asking whether cyclists would use existing pavement along the overpass or if new infrastructure would be required to accommodate a shared-use path. Ms. Kendrick explained that the existing bridge is not wide enough to accommodate trail users. As part of the project scope, a bridge development report is being conducted to evaluate two options: widening the bridge or constructing a standalone crossing. The report is expected to be completed in a few weeks. Discussion ensued, and Ms. Beltran shared insights from the advisory committee's discussion, including recommendations to place the crossing on this segment south of Olympus Street to minimize conflicts with fire station operations in the area. She clarified that, while the crossing would remain within the same intersection, adjustments to its placement could improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists.

Commissioner Capps acknowledged previous discussions regarding Dixie Highway and asked for further explanation of the challenges associated with its alignment. He noted that, while Dixie Highway might be a preferred route, right-of-way limitations pose a significant obstacle, as the corridor is extremely narrow. Expanding the roadway would require acquiring numerous parcels along the corridor. Ms. Beltran highlighted concerns about the Florida East Coast (FEC) Railway, which runs adjacent to certain sections of Dixie Highway, further complicating the feasibility of utilizing that corridor for the project.

Commissioner Ciampi supported the advisory committee's recommendation to shift the crossing south of the Olympus Street intersection to reduce interactions with fire truck movements near the station's entrance and exit. He acknowledged that keeping the trail entirely on one side of US-1 would be preferable but emphasized that users will need access to both JD State Park on the west side and the Refuge on the east side, necessitating crossings. He expressed confidence in the detailed study work conducted by FDOT and consultants. Commissioner Heard raised concerns that shifting the crossing south may actually increase interactions with fire trucks traveling southbound. She noted potential visibility and turning challenges near the fire station entrance and acknowledged that either approach presents trade-offs in terms of safety and access. The discussion concluded with general agreement on the need for careful placement of crossings to balance safety considerations and connectivity for trail users.

C. FEDERAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES 2 AND 3-UPDATED 2025 PERFORMANCE TARGETS

Ms. Beltran introduced the item on Federal Performance Measures, explaining that FDOT is requesting updates to the 2025 performance targets. Ms. Beltran stated that safety performance measures, including the state's Vision Zero initiative, are reviewed annually, while bridge, pavement, and system performance targets are updated every four years by MPOs and every two years by FDOT. At the midpoint of FDOT's review, data has shown that previously approved targets have been exceeded, prompting a request to update them to reflect improved performance.

A motion to approve the Federal Performance Measures 2 and 3 – Updated 2025 Performance Targets was made by Commissioner Edward Ciampi and was seconded by Commissioner Stacey Hetherington. The motion passed unanimously.

D. STATEMENTS AND ASSURANCES

Ms. Beltran provided an overview of the annual Statements and Assurances process, which is presented to the MPO each year. Ms. Beltran noted that the MPO received a draft State audit report last week containing no corrective actions. Ms. Beltran introduced Mr. Tony Nora, representing District 4, to provide additional comments. Mr. Nora praised the MPO and thanked them for their hard work and dedication.

A motion to approve the Statements and Assurances was made by Commissioner Stacey Hetherington and was seconded by Commissioner Christopher Collins. The motion passed unanimously.

E. CR-713/SW HIGH MEADOW AVENUE PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT (PD&E) STUDY UPDATE

Damaris Williams introduced Paul Carballo with Metric Engineering, who presented a study update. Commissioner Ciampi briefly interrupted to request a correction on the presentation materials. He noted that the community's name should be Hammock Creek, not Hammond, and emphasized the importance of ensuring accuracy before presenting to the public. Ms. Beltran provided an update on discussions from the Joint Advisory committee meeting held on April 7th. The committee expressed support for the project and concurred with its direction. They requested FDOT to evaluate whether the drainage ponds could also provide habitat value for wildlife and native plants. Ms. Beltran shared this feedback with the Board for consideration.

Commissioner Capps inquired about the construction timeline, confirming that the project is not yet funded in the five-year plan. Mr. Carballo estimated that construction could be eight to ten years away or longer. Discussion then shifted to the justification for the project, with Commissioner Capps noting that the corridor does not seem heavily congested at present. Mr. Carballo clarified that planning efforts focus on long-term projections, assessing future capacity needs through 2050. He emphasized that development trends and operational movements are factored into the project's planning.

Commissioner Mayfield expressed support for the joint advisory committee's recommendation regarding habitat enhancements in pond areas.

Commissioner Ciampi emphasized that all constructed lakes and ponds should incorporate wildlife habitat enhancements rather than remain barren, citing Martin County's success in such efforts. He also noted the significance of High Meadows Avenue as a key access route into northern Martin County. He reminded attendees that MPO projects are long-term efforts, often spanning 10 to 15 years. He stressed the importance of forward-thinking planning, given that future developments—such as High Meadows Medical Offices—will influence transportation needs. He also highlighted ongoing changes along key corridors like Martin Highway and Bridge Road, noting that increasing traffic from Port St. Lucie further underscores the importance of these improvements.

Commissioner Hetherington expressed support for incorporating habitat enhancements in pond areas and asked whether the three identified ponds are private property and if they have been acquired. Mr. Carballo explained that the parcel selection process has been completed, and coordination with the county and the South Florida Water Management District is ongoing. He noted that older permits from the 1990s required further clarification, and title searches are being submitted for the parcels as the next phase of the project moves forward.

Commissioner Hetherington also inquired about speed limits in different segments of the corridor. Mr. Carballo clarified that Segment 1 remains at 55 mph, while Segment 2—from Hammock Creek to SR-714—has been reduced to 45 mph. Commissioner Ciampi remarked that the southern portion of the corridor has historically been 55 mph but noted that speed adjustments were necessary given past traffic fatalities in the area. Commissioner Hetherington recommended adding a clearer transition for drivers as they move between speed zones.

Commissioner Capps asked about the effectiveness of noise barrier walls and how close they can be placed to a property line. Mr. Carballo explained that noise walls are most beneficial when positioned close to the source of traffic, providing the greatest relief to the first row of houses. Beyond that, noise disperses and has diminished impact. While the exact distance from a property line varies, current noise walls require at least 15 feet for annual maintenance and structural footings, which is factored into the project's analysis. Commissioner Capps noted that many pine trees are located close to the roadway and inquired about potential impacts on the tree line. Mr. Carballo responded that utility lines would likely be the primary affected infrastructure if a noise wall were deemed necessary. He added that environmental impacts and associated costs are incorporated into design evaluations to determine feasibility.

F. SR-710 PROJECTS UPDATE

Ms. Beltran introduced the next agenda item, providing an update on the State Road 710 widening project. She noted that the project manager overseeing the widening efforts was present to deliver a PowerPoint presentation. Commissioner Capps requested a refresher on the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS). Mr. Robert Lopes of FDOT District Four explained that SIS was established between 2000 and 2005 as a state-controlled transportation framework designed to prioritize key infrastructure. He noted that one of its

primary objectives is to support statewide connectivity rather than focusing on regional networks. The system ensures the efficient movement of vehicles, freight, and air traffic, preserving critical transportation links across Florida.

Commissioner Ciampi asked how long construction will take, the response was 4 to 5 years. Commissioner Hetherington asked about the signal warranty study for Allapattah/CR-609 and SR-710, expressing concern that it might apply to the entire project. Ms. Beltran clarified that the warranty study is part of the Allapattah to Van Buren segment but is also a separate MPO priority. Commissioner Hetherington further inquired about the status of the warranty analysis. Mr. Kareiva confirmed that the study is underway but not yet complete, with anticipated completion by summer.

Mayor Dipaolo asked whether consideration has been given to realigning Allapattah Road to intersect directly with Martin Luther King Boulevard, noting that a traffic signal is planned at that location. FDOT's consultant responded that this idea had not been formally studied. He noted that the distance between Allapattah Road and MLK Boulevard is approximately a quarter mile, and integrating the two would require further evaluation. Ms. Beltran clarified that the discussion regarding realigning Allapattah Road to intersect with Martin Luther King Boulevard is ultimately a matter for the Village of Indiantown and the County to address.

Commissioner Collins emphasized the urgency of advancing the previously discussed roadway project, citing ongoing safety concerns and fatal accidents. He asked whether there are internal mechanisms to accelerate the timeline. Commissioner Collins inquired whether the Board could leverage advocacy efforts, such as writing letters to state officials, to expedite the process.

Commissioner Hetherington highlighted the corridor's rural status and inquired about funding opportunities available specifically for rural-designated areas. She noted that during the last Census, Indiantown had been grouped with St. Lucie County, potentially affecting its rural classification and funding eligibility. Commissioner Hetherington requested further investigation into whether the corridor can be designated as rural, particularly within the Village of Indiantown and unincorporated areas of Martin County, to ensure access to all possible funding sources.

Commissioner Reed asked whether FDOT has ever encountered situations where a signalized light was installed without an easement agreement from property owners, preventing access for maintenance. He inquired about the procedures FDOT follows in such cases. Mr. Lopes explained that if a signal was installed through a developer-led process, FDOT may claim ownership through a mechanism known as a maintenance transfer. This process allows the department to assume responsibility for infrastructure maintenance if no public objections are raised within a specified timeframe. If the signal has been in place as passive infrastructure for an extended period, FDOT follows an established process to formally claim and continue maintaining it, provided there are no disputes.

Jeff Zell, a member of the public, inquired about the process for objecting to a traffic signal installed by a developer on private property without an easement, specifically referencing the Costco light. Mr. Lopes noted that he has not encountered a situation where a traffic signal was strongly opposed by residents, as most people tend to support signal

installations near their vicinity. Mr. Lopes was unsure of the exact objection process but indicated that if a signal is formally challenged, the department must prove its necessity through an evaluation. Ms. Williams added that the Traffic Engineering Manual outlines signal warrant criteria, including factors such as trip generation rates from nearby developments and proximity to other signals. Mr. Lopes clarified that if a signal is already installed, objections would need to demonstrate that it fails to serve the public interest. If the signal is deemed necessary, the process of formal acquisition would involve compensating the property owner to maintain public access. Mr. Zell reiterated his question about the process for objecting to a traffic signal installed by a developer on private property, asking where such information is officially posted. FDOT representative Rob Lopes responded that this matter falls outside their direct purview, advising that inquiries should be directed to the relevant FDOT personnel for further clarification.

Commissioner Reed inquired about obtaining a copy of the developer's application for the signalized light and asked whether it was accessible. Ms. Beltran responded that the city staff would have the application.

Commissioner Ciampi closed the meeting by acknowledging the dedication of FDOT representatives and consultants, many of whom travel long distances from Fort Lauderdale and beyond to engage with the Board. He expressed appreciation for their deep understanding of local transportation issues, noting their familiarity with the roads and commitment to finding solutions without relying on notes. He commended their professionalism and passion, thanking them for their efforts in addressing critical infrastructure concerns.

9. COMMENTS FROM FDOT - None

10. COMMENTS FROM ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS – None

11. COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS – None

12. NOTES

Ms. Beltran provided a brief update on the Development Review Map, noting that it is now updated on a quarterly basis. Ricardo Vazquez presented the most recent updates, incorporating new development information within the county and Indiantown. Vazquez invited board members to contact him directly for further details and confirmed that the link to the updated map can be found on the MPO's homepage.

Commissioner Reed revisited previous discussions on sidewalks and right-of-way regulations in the land development code, specifically referencing Fisherman's Cove on Kanner Highway. He questioned how the county's ongoing sidewalk expansion efforts align with development regulations, particularly in cases where homeowners cannot park two vehicles in their driveway without obstructing the right-of-way or sidewalk. Commissioner Heard responded that this concern may be more appropriate for the Board of County Commissioners.

13. NEXT MEETING - MPO Policy Board Meeting – May 12, 2025

ADJOURNMENT: 10:59 AM

Approved by:

Sarah Heard, Vice Chair
Martin County Commissioner

Date

Prepared by:

Susan Ortiz, Administrative Assistant

Date

Minutes Approved on February 24, 2025

The Martin MPO solicits public participation without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability, or family status. Persons who require special accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act or persons who require language translation services (free of charge) should contact Ricardo Vazquez, Principal Planner (Title VI/Non-discrimination Contact) at (772) 223-7983 or rvazquez@martin.fl.us in advance of the meeting. Hearing-impaired individuals are requested to telephone the Florida Relay System at #711.



**POLICY BOARD MEETING
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

MEETING DATE: May 12, 2025	DUE DATE: May 5, 2025	UPWP#: 6
WORDING: FY25/26 TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED (TD) PLANNING GRANT APPLICATION AND RESOLUTION		
REQUESTED BY: MPO	PREPARED BY: Lucine Martens / Beth Beltran	DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION: TD Planning Grant Application and Resolution

BACKGROUND

The TD Planning Grant funds are allocated for the purpose of completing the planning duties and responsibilities of the Metropolitan Planning Organization, as identified in Chapter 427, Florida Statutes and Rule 41-2, Florida Administrative Code. The Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged (CTD) has informed the MPO staff of the funding allocation for FY25/26 for Martin County:

TD Planning Grant Program Distribution - \$26,488

The TD Planning Grant Agreement is entered into annually between the MPO and the CTD and requires no local match.

ISSUES

At the May 12, 2025, Policy Board meeting, the MPO staff will present the FY25/26 TD Planning Grant Application and Resolution #25-04.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the FY25/26 TD Planning Grant Application and Resolution #25-04 and authorize the Chair or his designee to execute the FY25/26 TD Planning Grant Agreement.

FISCAL IMPACT

Funding allocations: TD Planning Grant - \$26,488

APPROVAL

MPO

ATTACHMENTS

- a. FY25/26 TD Planning Grant Allocation
- b. FY25/26 TD Planning Grant Application
- c. MPO Resolution #25-04

Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged
Planning Grant Allocations - DRAFT
FY 2025 -2026

County	Planning Funds
Alachua	\$29,300
Baker	\$23,421
Bay	\$26,973
Bradford	\$23,414
Brevard	\$37,156
Broward	\$67,893
Calhoun	\$23,082
Charlotte	\$27,291
Citrus	\$26,447
Clay	\$27,948
Collier	\$31,757
Columbia	\$24,408
DeSoto	\$23,572
Dixie	\$23,160
Duval	\$46,121
Escambia	\$30,263
Flagler	\$25,589
Franklin	\$23,055
Gadsden	\$23,779
Gilchrist	\$23,196
Glades	\$23,053
Gulf	\$23,110
Hamilton	\$23,079
Hardee	\$23,359
Hendry	\$23,713
Hernando	\$27,440
Highlands	\$25,174
Hillsborough	\$57,308
Holmes	\$23,222
Indian River	\$26,567

County	Planning Funds
Jackson	\$23,872
Jefferson	\$23,108
Lafayette	\$22,954
Lake	\$32,012
Lee	\$41,148
Leon	\$29,615
Levy	\$23,794
Liberty	\$22,945
Madison	\$23,187
Manatee	\$32,414
Marion	\$31,757
Martin	\$26,488
Miami-Dade	\$85,032
Monroe	\$24,665
Nassau	\$24,962
Okaloosa	\$27,736
Okeechobee	\$23,701
Orange	\$56,168
Osceola	\$32,203
Palm Beach	\$57,721
Pasco	\$36,419
Pinellas	\$45,040
Polk	\$40,412
Putnam	\$24,489
Santa Rosa	\$27,259
Sarasota	\$33,179
Seminole	\$33,779
St. Johns	\$29,544
St. Lucie	\$30,796
Sumter	\$25,956
Suwannee	\$23,799
Taylor	\$23,264
Union	\$23,128
Volusia	\$35,943
Wakulla	\$23,570
Walton	\$24,619
Washington	\$23,353
Total	\$2,033,880

3/6/2025



Transportation Disadvantaged Planning Grant Recipient Information

Legal Name	Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization		
Federal Employer Identification Number	59-6000743-036		
Registered Address	3481 Southeast Willoughby Boulevard, Suite 101		
City and State	Stuart, FL	Zip Code	34994
Contact Person for this Grant	Beth Beltran, MPO Administrator	Phone Number Format 111-111-1111	772-221-1498
E-Mail Address [Required]	bbeltran@martin.fl.us		
Project Location [County(ies)]	Martin County		
Budget Allocation			
Grant Amount Requested			\$26,488.00
Total Project Amount			\$26,488.00

I, the authorized Grant Recipient Representative, hereby certify that the information herein is true and accurate and is submitted in accordance with the 2025-26 Program Manual and Instructions for the Planning Grant.

Signature of Grant Recipient Representative

Date

Name: Beth Beltran

Title: MPO Administrator

RESOLUTION NUMBER #25-04

**A RESOLUTION OF THE MARTIN
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION OF
MARTIN COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO EXECUTE A
TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED
PLANNING GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE
FLORIDA COMMISSION FOR THE
TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED**

WHEREAS, a Resolution of the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Board, hereby authorizes the execution of a Transportation Disadvantaged Planning Grant Agreement with the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged; and

WHEREAS, the Martin MPO Board is eligible to receive a Transportation Disadvantaged Planning Grant and to undertake a transportation disadvantaged service project as authorized by Section 427.0159, Florida Statutes, and Rule 41-2, Florida Administrative Code.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MARTIN
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION, THAT:**

Section 1. The Martin MPO Board has the authority to enter into this grant agreement.

Section 2. The Martin MPO Board authorizes the MPO Chairman or designee to execute the grant agreement on behalf of the Board with the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged.

Section 3. The Martin MPO Board authorizes the MPO Chairman or designee to sign any and all agreements or contracts that are required in connection with the grant agreement.

Section 4. The Martin MPO Board authorizes the MPO Chairman or designee to sign any and all assurances, reimbursement invoices, warranties, certifications and any other documents which may be required in connection with the grant agreement.

DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 2025.

MARTIN METROPOLITAN PLANNING
ORGANIZATION

Sarah Heard, Chairman

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

Sarah W. Woods, County Attorney

ATTEST:

Susan Ortiz, Clerk



**POLICY BOARD MEETING
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

MEETING DATE: May 12, 2025	DUE DATE: May 5, 2025	UPWP#: 6
WORDING: COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION COORDINATOR (CTC) RECOMMENDATION		
REQUESTED BY: MPO	PREPARED BY: Lucine Martens / Beth Beltran	DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION: CTC Recommendation Letter and Resolution

BACKGROUND

The current CTC for Martin County is Senior Resource Association (SRA). The Memorandum of Agreement between the Florida Commission for Transportation Disadvantaged (CTD) and SRA is scheduled to expire June 30, 2025. The Designated Official Planning Agency (DOPA), which is the MPO is required to complete a formal Request for Proposal (RFP) process to select and recommend to the CTD, a designated CTC. As defined by Chapter 427, F.S., the designated CTC has the responsibility of ensuring that coordinated transportation services are provided to the transportation disadvantaged population in the designated service area.

Martin County purchasing on behalf of the Martin MPO advertised the RFP 2025-3660 on February 19, 2025. A non-mandatory pre-bid meeting was held on March 3, 2025. Proposals were due by the March 19, 2025, deadline. Two proposals were submitted by the March 19, 2025, deadline. The two proposing entities were Innovative Transport and Logistics Solutions (ITL Solutions) and Senior Resource Association (SRA).

The CTC Selection Committee met on April 11, 2025, to discuss and score the proposals. The Selection Committee gave SRA the higher score, recommending SRA as Martin County's CTC.

ISSUES

During the morning of May 12, 2025, the Martin County Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged (LCB-TD) will consider the Selection Committee's decision. At the May 12, 2025, MPO Board meeting in the afternoon, staff will discuss the RFP process and recommend approval of the LCB's and the Selection Committee's recommendation.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

- a. Approve SRA as Martin County's CTC, as presented.
- b. Approve SRA as Martin County's CTC, with comments.
- c. Approval Resolution #25-05

AGENDA ITEM 8B

APPROVAL

MPO

ATTACHMENTS

Draft MPO Resolution #25-05

RESOLUTION #25-05

A RESOLUTION OF THE MARTIN METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION BOARD RECOMMENDING TO THE FLORIDA COMMISSION FOR THE TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED, THAT SENIOR RESOURCE ASSOCIATION BE RECOMMENDED AS THE COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION COORDINATOR FOR THE MARTIN COUNTY SERVICE AREA

WHEREAS, the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is designated by the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged as the Official Planning Agency for the Transportation Disadvantaged Program for Martin County;

WHEREAS, the Martin MPO has the authority to recommend the designation of the Community Transportation Coordinator for Martin County in accordance with Rule 41-2.0.1.0(1), Florida Administrative Code;

WHEREAS, the designation of the Community Transportation Coordinator will be accomplished through public competitive bidding or proposals in accordance with Rule 41-2.010(3), Florida Administrative Code;

WHEREAS, the Martin County on behalf of Martin MPO issued a request for proposals for the Martin County Community Transportation Coordinator on March 19, 2025;

WHEREAS, two proposals were submitted in response to the request for proposals; and

WHEREAS, Senior Resource Association's proposal was determined to be the most responsive to the request for proposals by the Selection Committee on April 11, 2025.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MARTIN METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

Section 1: In accordance with Chapter 427, Florida Statutes, the Martin MPO Policy Board recommends that the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged designate Senior Resource Association as the Community Transportation Coordinator for Martin County for a five-year period, effective July 1, 2025.

DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 12th DAY OF MAY 2025.

MARTIN METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION ATTEST:

Sarah Heard, Chairman

Susan Ortiz, Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

Sarah W. Woods, County Attorney



**POLICY BOARD MEETING
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

MEETING DATE: May 12, 2025	DUE DATE: May 5, 2025	UPWP#: 1
WORDING: 2050 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN (LRTP) NEEDS PLAN		
REQUESTED BY: MPO	PREPARED BY: Ricardo Vazquez / Beth Beltran	DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION: 2050 LRTP Needs Plan

BACKGROUND

The Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) is a Federal and State requirement with a 25-year planning horizon. The LRTP must be updated every five years to ensure a continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process.

The 2050 LRTP Needs Plan is a strategic document that identifies the transportation system improvements required to meet future travel demand, support economic growth, and enhance quality of life over a 25-year horizon. It assesses current infrastructure, forecasts population and employment growth, and outlines gaps or deficiencies in the transportation network. The Needs Plan focuses on what is necessary to ensure a safe, efficient, and accessible transportation system, laying the foundation for prioritizing investments in future planning phases.

ISSUES

At the May 2025 MPO Board Meeting, T.Y. Lin will present to 2050 LRTP Needs Plan and current progress.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

- Approve the Draft 2050 Needs Plan as presented.
- Approve the Draft 2050 Needs Plan with comments.

APPROVAL

MPO

ATTACHMENTS

- 2050 LRTP Needs Plan PowerPoint Presentation
- 2050 LRTP Needs Plan Map Series
- 2050 LRTP Needs Plan Tables
- Needs Plan Non-Motorized List will be sent at a later date.

2050 Long Range Transportation Plan

Policy Board Meeting

May 12, 2025

TYLin


MPO Policy Board 5/12/2025



AGENDA

- Project Status
- Public Involvement
- 2050 Needs Assessment
- 2050 Needs Plan
- Next Steps

Project Status



Public Involvement

Activities Completed To Date

- ✓ Project branding/logo
- ✓ Project webpage (www.martinmpo.com/2050-long-range-transportation-plan-lrtp/)
- ✓ Project video
- ✓ Survey
- ✓ Visioning sessions/Open house
- ✓ Key stakeholder interviews
- ✓ Targeted outreach/information booths
- ✓ Social media outreach (MPO's accounts)
- ✓ Media Relations/Radio/ MC TV
- ✓ MPO Advisory Committee and Policy Board meetings



Public Involvement: Visioning Sessions

Visioning Sessions/Open House

- **Stuart City Hall**
121 W. Flagler Ave, Stuart, FL 34994
November 19, 2024 (Tuesday)
- **Peter & Julie Cummings Library**
2551 SW Matheson Ave, Palm City, Florida 34990
January 16, 2025 (Thursday)
- **Elisabeth Lahti Library**
15200 E. Thelma Water Ave, Indiantown, Florida 34956
February 16, 2025 (Tuesday)
- **Hobe Sound Civic Center**
8980 SE Olympus Street, Hobe Sound, Florida, 33455
February 24, 2025 (Monday)

MPO Policy Board 5/12/2025



Public Input and Q&A



Transportation Issues/Solutions Map



Mobility Bucks Exercise



Project Presentation

Public Involvement: Visioning Sessions

Meeting Notification/Advertisement

- Flyers (Spanish and English)
 - Public buildings (*municipalities, chamber of commerce, library*)
 - Marty bus stops
- Yard Signs
- Electronic Billboard
- Social media (*Facebook, Instagram*)
- Press release (*Martin County TV, TC Palm, Hometown News*)
- E-blast
 - Martin County database
 - Chambers of Commerce – Municipal and Martin County
 - Treasure Coast Bicycle Association
 - Martin County Business Development Board
- City, County, and MPO website
- *Martin Moves 2050* project webpage

MPO Policy Board 5/12/2025



Digital Display, Electronic Billboard



Flyers - Bilingual




Yard Signs


Public Involvement: Surveys

- Received 300+ completed surveys
- Administered online and in-person
 - Visioning sessions/open house
 - Project webpage
 - Business cards
 - Multiple events, such as Martin CARES, Jammin Jensen, bike/ped safety campaigns
- 10 questions related to transportation issues and solutions
- Ensure consistency with previous LRTPs while incorporate emerging trends
- English and Spanish version

Transportation Issues in Martin County



Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Priorities



Public Involvement: Stakeholder & Agency Coordination

- Key stakeholder interviews, Jan thru Apr 2025
- Targeted outreach/events
 - Jensen Beach Chamber of Commerce, Dec 12, 2024
 - FDOT/Martin MPO Pedestrian Outreach/LRTP Survey, Dec 2024
 - 2050 LRTP Tabling Event, Martin County Administrative Center, Feb 11, 2024
 - Martin CARES, Jan 16, 2025 & Feb 27, 2026
 - Jammin Jensen, Feb 20, 2025
- Project Steering Committee meetings, Sep & Dec 2024
- Freight Technical Advisory Committee, Nov 2024
- MPO Advisory Committee & Board meetings, Oct & Dec 2024




MPO Information Booth at Jammin Jensen

2050 Needs Assessment

- 300+ Surveys
- 4 Visioning sessions
- 6 Targeted outreach/events

- One-on-one interviews
- 6+ Meetings with MPO partner agencies
 - FDOT District Four
 - City of Stuart + Other jurisdictions
 - Martin County Public Works
 - Martin County Growth Management




- **Travel Demand Model, TCRPM 6.0**
- **Congestion Analysis, Multiple data sources**
- **Reviewed 15+ documents**
 - Marty Transit Development Plan
 - Martin MPO Goods & Freight Movement Plan
 - Marting MPO 2045 LRTP
 - Treasure Coast 2045 RL RTP
 - Martin County SS4A Action Plan
 - Martin & St. Lucie Waterways Plan, Martin MPO
 - Bike/Ped Safety Action Plan
 - Bicycle, Pedestrian & Trails Master Plan
 - Vision Zero Plan, Martin MPO
 - Network Resiliency Study, Martin MPO
 - FDOT Resiliency Action Plan
 - FDOT Plans & Programs
 - SIS & Turnpike Plans & Programs
 - Witham Airport Master Plan
 - City of Stuart Tram Business Plan

2050 Needs Assessment

Congestion Analysis

- Types of Congestion
 - Recurring congestion during peak periods
 - Non-recurring congestion resulting from incidents, crashes, and construction zones
 - Special events
- Multiple data sources
 - Multiple “big data” sources
 - TCRPM 6.0
 - Signal4 Analytics
 - 2023 LOS Report, Martin County
- Key performance measures (KPIs)
 - Travel time index (TTI)
 - Planning time index (PTI)
 - Year 2023 LOS ‘E’ and ‘F’
 - Volume to capacity ratio


MPO Policy Board 5/12/2025



Note: Congested segments identified based on Year 2023 data before Martin Highway/SR-714 project was completed.

2050 Needs Assessment

Existing + Committed (E+C) Network



No:	Project Description
Roadway Projects	
1	SW Cargo Lane from SW Newfield Pkwy to terminus west of SW 42nd Avenue (new two-lane roadway)
2	SW 84th Ave from SW Busch St to SW Newfield Pkwy (new two-lane roadway)
3	SE Baron Way from SR-76 (S Kanner Hwy) to SE Willoughby Blvd (new two-lane roadway)
4	SW Waterside Way from west terminus to SR-76 (S Kanner Hwy) (new two-lane roadway)
5	SW Garcia Drive from CR-609 (SW Allapattah Rd) to SR-710 (SW Warfield Blvd)
6	SR-714 (SW Martin Hwy) from SW Citrus Blvd to SW Martin Downs Blvd / Florida's Turnpike (widen to four-lane roadway and bridge)
7	SW Newfield Parkway from SW Pr Ave to (27.196878, -80.369041) (widen to four-lane roadway)
Intersection Improvements	
8	SE Constitution Blvd from SE Circle St to SR-5 (US-1 / SE Federal Hwy) (new traffic signal at SR-5)
9	SE Baron Way from SR-76 (S Kanner Hwy) to SE Willoughby Blvd (new traffic signal at SR-76)
10	SW Waterside Way from west terminus to SR-76 (S Kanner Hwy) (new traffic signal at SR-76)
11	SW Gateway Place from west terminus to SR-76 (S Kanner Hwy) (new traffic signal at SR-76)
12	SW Garcia Drive from CR-609 (SW Allapattah Rd) to SR-710 (SW Warfield Blvd) (new traffic signal at SR-710)
13	CR-714 (SW Martin Hwy) from SR-710 to Martin County Line (new traffic signal at SR-710)
14	FCR-708 (SE Bridge Rd) and SE Discover Dr Florida Turnpike from SE Becker Rd to SW Martin Hwy (new traffic signal)
Transit Projects	
15	RTE 3 Stuart (Split and Update Headway: North Circulator-35 minutes; South Circulator - 40 minutes)
16	RTE 20X Treasure Coast Express (Update headway to 80 minutes)
17	RTE 2 Indiantown (Extension to Walmart)

Overcapacity (v/c > 1.0)

Municipalities

- City of Stuart
- Indiantown
- Jupiter Island
- Ocean Breeze
- Sewall's Point

Scale: 0 2 4 6 Miles

Map showing road network and overcapacity areas (v/c > 1.0) in Indian River County, Florida. The map includes major roads (SR-70, SR-710, US-98/441, SW Martin Hwy, SW Citrus Blvd, SW Pineapple Mainline, SW Whitney Rd, SE Bridge Rd, SE Dine Hwy, SE Cove Rd, SE Indian St, SE Ocean Blvd, SE Ocean Dr, S Indian River Dr, S Ocean Dr, Marion Ave, SW Del Rio Blvd, SW Village Pkwy, SW Savona Blvd, SW Paar Dr, Becke Rd, Range Line Rd, Glades Cut Off Rd, Carlton Rd, SR-70, SE 128th Ave, SR-710, US-98/441, SW Farm Rd, SW Allapattah Rd, Kanner Hwy, Bee Line Hwy, Indiantown Rd, Craia Rd, and Se Beach Rd) and numbered locations (1-29) indicating overcapacity. The map also shows the coastline and water bodies.

MPO Policy Board 5/12/2025

No:	Roadway Description
1	SW Indiantown Avenue from SW Warfield Blvd to SW Kanner Hwy
2	SW Warfield Blvd from SW Monroe Ave to Indianwood Drive
3	SW 96th Street from SW Pennsylvania Avenue to SW Kanner Hwy
4	SW Bridge Rd from Pratty Whiney Rd to I-95
5	I-95 from High Meadows Ave to W Indiantown Road
6	SW Kanner Hwy from I-95 NB Off Ramp Terminal to SE Cove Rd
7	SE Bridge Road from SE Flora Ave to SE Gomez Road
8	SW Allapattah Rd from CL to SR 714/SW Martin Hwy
9	SR 714/SW Martin Hwy from SW Allapattah Rd to I-95
10	I-95 from SW Martin Hwy to SW Becker Road
11	SW Newfield Parkway from W. Farm Rd to SW Becker Rd
12	SW Newfield Parkway from SW Pr Ave to SW Martin Hwy
13	SW Martin Hwy/SR 714 from SW Newfield Parkway/Citrus Blvd to SW High Meadows Ave
14	Florida Turnpike from SE Becker Rd to SW Martin Hwy
15	SW Martin Downs Blvd from SW Martin Hwy/SR 714 to SW High Meadow Ave
16	SW High Meadow Ave from SW Martin Hwy/SR 714 to SW Golden Bear Way
17	SW Murphy Road from SW High Meadow Ave to SE Becker Road
18	SW Martin Downs Blvd/SW Monterey Rd from SW Matheson Ave to SR 76/S Kanner Hwy
19	SW 36th St from SW Mapp Rd to SR 76/S Kanner Hwy
20	US 1/NW Fed. Hwy from SE Lennard Rd to NW Britt Rd
21	SE Green River Parkway from CL to NW Jensen Blvd
22	NE Ocean Blvd from CL to NE Causeway Blvd
23	US 1/NW Fed. Hwy from NW Fork Rd to SE Ocean Blvd
24	NW Dixie Hwy from NE Baker Rd to SE Ocean Blvd
25	N Sewall's Point Rd from NE Palmer St to SE Ocean Blvd
26	S Colorado Ave from SE MLK Jr. Blvd to SE Lonita St.
27	Willoughby Blvd Extension from SR 714/Monterey Road to SR 5/US 1/Federal Highway
28	Village Parkway Extension from SR 714/Martin Highway to St. Lucie/Martin County Line
29	Cove Road from SR 76/Kanner Highway to SR 5/US-1

34 of 297

2050 Needs Plan

Transit Improvements

• Transit Service & Operations


- Maintain existing fixed route & paratransit service plus downtown tram service
- Restructure routes 2, 3, 4 and 20X
- New microtransit service with 5 new TNC zones
- New fixed route service, Kanner Hwy and Jensen Beach Trolley
- Five regional transit projects

• Capital & Infrastructure Improvements

- Fleet replacement
- Customer facility, bus stop and ADA upgrades
- 40 new bus stops
- Equipment and software replacement
- Brightline station
- Intermodal hub

• Others


- 100 miles/plan marketing campaign



2050 Needs Plan

Roadway/Highway Improvements

- **Committed Projects, FY26-FY30**
 - SR-710/SW Warfield Blvd @ Martin Hwy/CR-714 Realignment, new signal & widening
 - High Meadow Ave widening
 - Willoughby Blvd & Cove Road PD&E studies
- **18 Capacity Expansion Projects**
 - 14 corridors, 2L to 4L
 - Four (4) corridors, 4L to 6L
- **Two New Road Projects**
 - Willoughby Blvd (New 2L Road)
 - Village Parkway Extension (New 4L Road)




Note: Number of projects included in the summary is subject to change based on TCRPM 6.0 refinements and TSM&O needs.

2050 Needs Plan

Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) Improvements


- I-95 PD&E Study
- I-95 Managed Lanes
- Interchange Modification
 - I-95 @ SR-714/Martin Hwy
 - I-95 from High Meadow Ave to Becker Road
- SR-710/Warfield Blvd. Widening
- SR-714/Monterey Rd @FEC Railway Grade Separation
- SR-710 Bypass
- Transit Improvements
 - US-1/Federal Hwy Exclusive Guideway
 - SR-710 Exclusive Guideway
 - Transit Hub at Indiantown



2050 Needs Plan

Turnpike Projects (SIS)

- Turnpike PD&E Study (*On going*)
- New Interchange
 - MP 125 (at I-95) New Direct Connect Ramps from Turnpike Mainline
- Interchange Improvement
 - Turnpike Mainline @ SR-714
- Turnpike Mainline Widening
 - Palm Beach/Martin County Line to SR-714 (4L to 6L)
 - North of SR-714 to North of Becker Road (4L to 8L)




DRAFT

2050 Needs Plan

Transportation Systems Management & Operations (TSM&O)

- 15 Roadway Segments along

- Bridge Road
- CR-713/High Meadow Ave
- CR-732/NE Causeway Blvd
- Dixie Hwy
- Indian River Dr
- Jensen Beach Blvd
- S Colorado Ave
- SE Monterey Road
- SE Monterey Road (Ext)
- SE Ocean Blvd
- SR-714/Martin Hwy
- SW Joan Jefferson Way
- SW Murphy Road
- US-1/Federal Hwy




Note: This list will be refined based on FDOT's TSM&O Master Plan in June 2025.
MPO Policy Board 5/12/2025

2050 Needs Plan

Other Improvements


- **Park-and-Ride Facilities (three locations)**
 - Kanner Hwy/SR-76 at I-95
 - West of I-95 between Becker Rd and Martin Hwy
 - West of Turnpike in vicinity of Sand Ave
- **Pedestrian Overpass along Florida East Coast (FEC) mainline**
 - Sailfish Circle Park (PNR) and Flagler Ave
 - Railroad Ave and Commerce Ave
- **FEC Rail Bridge – Double Tracking over St. Lucie River**



2050 Needs Plan

Freight Improvements

- **Overlap with SIS & “Other” projects**
 - I-95 Managed Lanes
 - SR-710 Widening & SR-710 Bypass
 - SR-714 at FEC RR Xing Grade Separation
 - FEC RR Bridge over St. Lucie River
 - Pedestrian Overpasses along FEC Corridor (2#s)
- **Additional Improvements**
 - Three (3) Truck Parking Improvements
 - Four Shoulder Widening Projects
 - Two (2) Technology-based Improvements
 - One (1) Lane Reconfiguration Project
 - Countywide Signage/Truck Route Plan
 - Freight Supportive Network
 - o Roadway widening projects from 2045 LRTP




DRAFT

2050 Needs Plan

Safety Improvements


- Improvements for pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists in Six (6) Corridors
 - US-1/Federal Hwy
 - Kanner Hwy/SR-76
 - Dixie Hwy
 - Bridge Rd
 - Martin Hwy/SR-714
 - SE Commerce Ave
- Railroad Crossing
 - Countywide (2nd Train Incoming Warning System)
 - RR Xing Gates: SW Amarylis Ave @ CSX Crossing



2050 Needs Plan

Complete Streets

- 16 Projects
 - Approx. 14 miles of complete streets improvements on the following roadways
 - o NW Dixie Hwy/SR-707
 - o SE Palm Beach Rd
 - o SE Christie Way
 - o SE Cove Road
 - o SE Jack Avenue
 - o SR-5/US-1
 - o SE Indian St
 - o S Kanner Hwy/SR- 76
 - o SE Salerno Rd
 - o SE Cutoff Rd
 - o SE Dixie Hwy
 - o SE Ebbtide Ave
 - o SW Palm City Rd
 - Tier 1 projects from Martin MPO's Access to Transit Study



2050 Needs Plan

Non-Motorized Improvements

- **Pedestrian Facilities**
 - 31.5 miles of sidewalk
 - Five (5) new crosswalks
 - Four (4) pedestrian bridge improvements
- **Bicycle Facilities**
 - 135 miles of bicycle lanes
 - 26 miles of buffered bicycle lanes
 - 46 miles of shared lanes
 - Two bike boxes
- **Shared-use Path, Trails/Greenways**
 - 470 miles of shared-use path
 - 110 miles of multiuse trails/greenways



Sharrows



Bike Lane



Buffered Bike Lane



Protected Bicycle Lane



Cycle Tracks



Shared Use Path




Multiuse Trail



Sidewalks



Pedestrian Hybrid Beach




Note: Mileage and/or number of projects included in the summary will be refined and adjusted based on review comments.

MPO Policy Board 5/12/2025

2050 Needs Plan

Infrastructure Hardening Improvements (Resiliency)

- **Two SLR Adaptation Projects (9.3 miles)**
 - N Sewalls Point Road
 - Dixie Hwy
- **Two Roadway or Bridge Approach Stabilization (2.7 miles)**
 - SR-A1A
 - SR-707 (Bridge #890003)
- **Two Drainage Improvement Projects (4.1 miles)**
 - SR-76/Kanner Hwy
 - SR-A1A
- **Specific Improvements TBD (0.3 mile)**
 - SE MacArthur Blvd




2050 Needs Plan

Waterborne Transportation

• Water Taxi Service

- Sandsprit Park to St. Lucie Preserve State Park
- Seasonal and/or Special Events and Festivals around key nodes
 - o Stuart/Palm City
 - o Port Salerno/Manatee Pocket
- Water-based Transportation Feasibility Study



DRAFT

2050 Needs Plan


Aviation Projects (based on Witham Field Airport Master Plan, August 2023)

• Capital Improvements

- 16 Short-Term Projects, 2023-2027
- 13 Intermediate-Term Projects, 2028-2032
- 20 Long-Term Projects, 2033-2042

• Funding Sources: FAA, FDOT and Local


- 26 Projects, FY26-FY30
- Six (6) Projects, FY31-FY35
- 12 Projects, FY26-FY40
- 5 Projects, FY41-FY50



Source: Capital Improvement Program, Witham Field Airport Master Plan, August 2023

Next Steps

We are here



Thank You

Contact Information

Ricardo Vazquez
Principal Planner
Martin MPO
3481 SE Willoughby Boulevard, Suite 101
Stuart, FL 34994
(772)-223-7983
rvazquez@martin.fl.us

Vikas Jain, AICP, GISP
Consultant Project Manager
TYLin
6750 North Andrews Avenue, Suite 2042
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309
(954)-308-3353
vikas.jain@tylin.com

Transit Improvements
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number	Project Name	Street Name/Geography	Project Description	Improvement Type/Category	Comments
n/a	Fixed Route ¹	Systemwide	Maintain existing fixed route service	Fixed route operations	Includes reallocation of resources for route restructuring. Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034.
T-1	Extend Route 2	Route 2	Extend route terminus to Walmart in Stuart	Route restructuring	Provides desired stop for Indiantown residents and creates transfer opportunity to Route 1 and Split Route 3.
T-2	Split Route 3	Route 3	Split route to North and South loops and add new bus stops. North Stuart Circluator (35-minute headways) and South Stuart Circulator (40-minute headways).	Route restructuring	Increases coverage to shopping, community and medical destinations.
T-3	Route 4 Flex Service/Pilot Later Shift Service	Route 4	Relocate terminus to Banner Lake Community Center and serve overlapping Route 1 stops (80-minute headways). Implement later service with Pilot TNC service from 5:30 pm to 8:00 pm such as through taxi partnership.	Route restructuring	As the demand for the increased service span grows, implementing could be a cost-effective solution.
T-4	Extend Route 20X	Route 20X	Extend terminus to Tri-Rail Mangonia Park Station (80-minute headways).	Route restructuring	Extension for VA Medical Center to Tri-Rail station increases regional connectivity.
n/a	Marketing Campaign	Countywide	Market development, communications and promotions. Leverage SFCS Program for Guaranteed Ride Home and advocating for mass transit.	Marketing	Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034.
n/a	Customer Facility and ADA Upgrades	Countywide	Public Works Department and Marty should have a transition plan for ADA compliance of transit infrastructure. Includes upgrades to make existing passenger facilities compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).	ADA upgrades	
n/a	Add Bus Stops to Existing Routes	Systemwide	Add 40 new bus stops. • Prioritize new stops on Route 1 and 2. • New ADA compliant bus stop construction: - o 30 basic stops: 5 per year - o 10 enhanced stops: 2 per year • Solar powered lighting at 40 stops • 10 shelters at enhanced stops • 50 updates to at-stop static sign and information displays. • Coordinate with Area Regional Transit (ART) and Palm Tran on locations outside of Martin County	New bus stop	The need to add bus stops emerged through the precursor Transit Efficiency Study (TES) effort and from feedback from the public and elected officials and through technical analysis performed for this TDP. Typical bus stop spacing for urban transit systems nationwide is a ¼-mile spacing. The current bus stop spacing for Marty Routes 1, 2, 3, and 4, are 0.96, 2.59, 1.10, and 1.75 miles, respectively. Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034.
n/a	Investigate Scope and Procurement for Microtransit	Systemwide	Depending on the customer experience desired, begin exploring taxi partnerships or microtransit opportunities to add later service for Route 4, Saturday service for local routes, and Palm City TNC Zone.	Procurement services	Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034.

MPO Project ID Number	Project Name	Street Name/Geography	Project Description	Improvement Type/Category	Comments
n/a	Replacement Bus Acquisition	Systemwide	Begin procurement in 2027. Scheduled replacement of 14 buses with 12-year life cycle from 2028-2034.	Fleet replacement	Capital cost adjusted to reflect PDC (in 2025 dollars). The TDP includes 2% inflation per year. Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034.
n/a	Bus Fleet Management Plan Update	Systemwide	Guide transition/SOW for fleet and facility power transition if desired	Study/Plan	Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034.
n/a	Comprehensive Operational Analysis (COA)	Systemwide	Study to enhance transfer times, update headways. Review fare structure.	Study/Plan	
n/a	Transit Development Plan Major Update	Systemwide	Required every 5-years for state block grant funding.	Study/Plan	
n/a	Intermodal Hub Design Study	-	Begin procurement in 2026.	Study/Plan	
n/a	Route Planning and Scheduling Software	Systemwide	Annual evaluation of route schedules. One route per year for review & update coordinated service plan.	Technology	
n/a	Replacement Equipment Trip/Sparks Hardware	Systemwide	New/replacement of on-board equipment and networks for next generation Trip Sparks Comupter Aided Dispatch (CAD)/Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL).	Technology	
n/a	Saturday TNC Pilot Program ² - Routes 1, 2, 3, and 4	Routes 1, 2, 3, and 4	TNC service within 3/4-mile distance of Routes 1, 2, 3, , and 4 on weekdays from 6 am to 8 pm.	New microtransit service	Operating cost adjusted to PDC (in 2025 dollars). Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034.
T-5	Pilot Palm City TNC Zone ³	Palm City	Implement microtransit service from 6 am to 8 pm on weekdays only. The Palm City TNC Zone would increase access for areas with a high proportion of transit-dependent populations and destinations.	New microtransit service	
n/a	Paratransit Service	Countywide	Miantain existing paratransit service	Paratransit operations	Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034.
n/a	Intermodal hub ⁴	Stuart	The hub should be in proximity to the Brightline Station and be the recognized county transportation center.	Intermodal	
n/a	Additional at-stop LCD real-time bus arrival displays	Systemwide	Bus stop updgrade, \$10K to \$15K each	Technology	
n/a	Sunday Shift Routes ² 1, 2, 3, and 4	Routes 1, 2, 3, and 4	For Routes 1, 2, 3, and/or 4, offer TNC services within a ¾-mile distance of existing route alignments.	New service - Sundays	Unfunded transit needs, Marty Transit Development Plan, Major Update FY 2025-2034
T-6	Stuart TNC Zone ³	Stuart	A TNC service in Stuart could increase ridership to existing routes and improve access to affordable housing units, medical services, and other origins and destinations.	New microtransit service	
T-7	Route 2 Express/Indiantown TNC Zone ³	Indiantown	A restructure of service delivery to provide for a new local TNC service in Indiantown.	New microtransit service	
T-8	Jensen/Rio/Ocean Breeze TNC Zone ³	Multiple jurisdictions	This new service area would connect to Route 1 and the proposed Jensen Beach Trolley from 6:00 am to 8:00 on weekdays only.	New microtransit service	
T-9	Port Salerno TNC Zone ³	Port Salerno	This new service area in Port Salerno would connect to Route 1 and 4 from 6:00 am to 8:00 pm on weekdays only.	New microtransit service	
n/a	Microtransit Service Capital Cost Allocation	Systemwide		New microtransit service	
T-10	Kanner Highway fixed route	Multiple jurisdictions	A new fixed route service to connect the transfer station at the Robert Morgade Library to the proposed Intermodal Hub in Stuart.	New fixed route service	
T-11	Jensen Beach Trolley	Jensen Beach	New service to connect the Stuart intermodal hub to the beaches and Treasure Coast Mall and Jensen Beach.	New trolley service	

MPO Project ID Number	Project Name	Street Name/Geography	Project Description	Improvement Type/Category	Comments
n/a	Transit Planning Stuides and Plans	Systemwide		Studies/Plans	
n/a	O&M Facility Planning and Design Study	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Sustainability and Resilience Study	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Comprehensive Finanical Assessment	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Technology Deployment Study	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Safety and Security Audit	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Fleet Power Transition Study	Systemwide	Overlaps with Bus Fleet Management Plan Update in Line 17.	Study/Plan	
n/a	Emergency Response Planning	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Customer Facilities and ADA Facilities Compliance	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Triennial Review Support	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Transit Asset Audit and Replacement Plan	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Human Resource Recruiting, Retention and Development Study	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Operations Scope of Work Development and Procurement Support	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Study of Transit Values/Benefits to Martin County	Systemwide		Study/Plan	
n/a	Brightline Station	Stuart		Intercity Passenger Rail Station (New Facility)	City of Stuart Brightline Station Analysis, 2018
T-12	Downtown Stuart Tram	Downtown Stuart	Maintain existing tram service. Operates from 9 am to 7 pm (Mon to Thrus), 9:00 am to 9:30 pm (Fri and Sat) and 9 am to 5 pm on Sunday	Downtown circulator service	City of Stuart Tram Business Plan, 2019
T-13	Tri-Rail Extension	FEC Rail Road Corridor	From Palm Beach County to Fort Pierce	Regional transit service	2045 Treasure Coast Regional LRTP
T-14	SR-710/CSX Connector	CSX Rail Road Corridor	Palm Beach County to SW Allapattah Road	Regional transit service	
T-15	Turnpike Express Bus Route	Florida's Turnpike	Palm Beach/Martin County Line to SW Port St. Lucie Boulevard	Regional transit service	
T-16	US-1 Transit Enhancements	US-1 Corridor	Palm Beach County Line to Brevard County Line	Regional transit service	
T-17	I-95 Express Bus Route	I-95 Corridor	Palm Beach County Line to Gatlin Boulevard/I-95	Regional transit service	

Notes

¹ Fixed bus route bus service includes restructured routes or modifications for Marty routes 2, 3, 4, and 20X.

² Provide on-demand service through partnerships with Transportation Network Companies, microtransit or taxi partnership within 0.75-mile distance of existing Marty routes.

³ Provide on-demand service through partnership with Transportation Network Companies, microtransit or taxi partnership within designated geographic areas or zones to enhance connectivity to Marty routes as well as origins and desitinations.

⁴ Project cost not avaiable at this stage. Project cost could vary significantly based on development program for the facility. Design features should be centered on pedestrian and bicycle activity, local taxis, TNCs,

n/a - Not Applicable

Highway Improvements
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number	Street Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Source	Improvement Type
419669-3	Willoughby Boulevard	Monterey Road (SR-714)	Federal Highway (US-1/SR-5)	PD&E/EMO Study	0.84	Draft Tentative Work Program, FDOT, Nov. 2024	PD&E Study
441700-1	Cove Road	Kanner Highway (SR-76)	US-1/SR-5	PD&E/EMO Study	3.20	Draft Tentative Work Program, FDOT, Nov. 2024	PD&E Study
441699-1	High Meadow Avenue (CR-713)	I-95 (SR-9)	Martin Highway (SR-714)	Add Lanes and Reconstruct	2.64	Draft Tentative Work Program, FDOT, Nov. 2024	Widening
447555-1	SR-710/SW Warfield Boulevard	at CR-714/Martin Highway	-	Realignment of SW Martin Highway/CR-714 to Intersection with SE 126th Boulevard and SR-710	-	Draft Tentative Work Program, FDOT, Nov. 2024	New Intersection
447555-2	SR-710/SW Warfield Boulevard	W of SE 126th Boulevard	Okeechobee/Martin County Line	New Intersection	-	Draft Tentative Work Program, FDOT, Nov. 2024	New Intersection
447555-3	SR-710/SW Warfield Boulevard	W of SE 126th Boulevard	Okeechobee/Martin County Line	Add Lanes and Reconstruct	-	Draft Tentative Work Program, FDOT, Nov. 2024	Widening
RD-1	SW Indiantown Avenue	SR-710/SW Warfield Boulevard	SR-76/SW Kanner Highway	2L to 4L	0.39	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-3	SW 96th Street	SW Pennsylvania Avenue	SR-76/SW Kanner Highway	2L to 4L	0.93	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-4	SW Bridge Road	Pratty Whitney Road	I-95	2L to 4L	2.03	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-6	SR-76/SW Kanner Highway	NB Off Ramp Terminal	SE Cove Road	2L to 4L	0.41	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-7	SE Bridge Road/CR-708	SE Flora Avenue	SE Gomez Road	2L to 4L	1.43	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-8	SW Allapattah Road/CR-609	County Line	SR-714/SW Martin Highway	2L to 4L	3.11	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-9	SR-714/SW Martin Highway	SW Allapattah Road/CR-609	I-95	2L to 4L	5.36	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-11	SW Newfield Parkway	West Farm Road	SW Becker Road	2L to 4L	1.13	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-12	SW Newfield Parkway	SW Pr Ave	SR-714/SW Martin Highway	2L to 4L	4.30	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-13	SR-714/SW Martin Highway	SW Newfield Parkway/Citrus Boulevard	SW High Meadows Avenue	4L to 6L	1.40	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-15	SW Martin Downs Boulevard	SR-714/SW Martin Highway	SW High Meadow Avenue	4L to 6L	0.98	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-17	SW Murphy Road	SW High Meadow Avenue	SE Becker Road	2L to 4L	1.56	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-18	SW Martin Downs Blvd/SW Monterey Rd	SW Matheson Avenue	SR-76/SW Kanner Highway	4L to 6L	1.57	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-19	SW 36th Street/SW Martin Highway	SW Mapp Road	SR-76/SW Kanner Highway	4L to 6L	1.41	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-21	SE Green River Parkway	County Line	NW Jenson Boulevard	2L to 4L	1.26	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-22	NE Ocean Boulevard	County Line	NE Causeway Boulevard	2L to 4L	0.54	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-24	NW Dixie Highway	NE Baker Road	SE Ocean Boulevard	2L to 4L	2.14	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-25	N Seawall's Point Road	NE Palmer Street	SE Ocean Boulevard	2L to 4L	1.57	TCRPM 6.0 Needs Assessment	Widening
RD-27	Willoughby Boulevard	SR-714/Monterey Road	SR-5/US-1/Federal Highway	New 2 Lane Road	0.84	Martin in Motion 2045 LRTP	New 2L Road
RD-28	Village Parkway Extension	SR-714/Martin Highway	St. Lucie/Martin County Line	New 4 Lane Road	3.00	Martin in Motion 2045 LRTP	New 4L Road

Notes

Project included in FDOT Five Year Tentative Work Program FY26-FY30, Nov. 2024

Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) Improvements
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

SIS 2035-2050 Long Range Cost Feasible Plan, April 2024

MPO Project ID Number ¹	Street Name/Facility	From	To	Project Description	Source	Improvement Type
4132532	I-95	Martin/Palm Beach County Line	CR-708/Bridge Road	Managed Lanes	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
3403	I-95	Martin/Palm Beach County Line	CR-708/Bridge Road	Managed Lanes	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
3405	SR-710	SE 126th Boulevard	Martin Powerplant Road	Add 2 Lanes to Build 4	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	A2-4
1541	SR-710	Martin Powerplant Road	CR-609/Allapattah Road	Add 2 Lanes to Build 4	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	A2-4
3417	SR-714	at FEC Railway	-	Grade Separation	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	GRASEP
4132542	I-95	CR-708/Bridge Road	High Meadow Avenue	Managed Lanes	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
3664	I-95	CR-708/Bridge Road	High Meadow Avenue	Managed Lanes	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
4226815	I-95	High Meadow Avenue	Martin/St. Lucie County Line	Managed Lanes	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
3665	I-95	High Meadow Avenue	Martin/St. Lucie County Line	Managed Lanes	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
3670	I-95	at SR-714/Martin Highway	-	Modify Interchange	SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	M-INCH
4192523	SR-710	Martin/Okeechoe County Line	FPL Power Plant Access Road	Add Turn Lane(s)	SIS Adopted 1st Five Year Program	TURN
4533332	SR-710	Martin/Okeechoe County Line	FPL Power Plant Access Road	Add 2 Lanes to Build 4	SIS Adopted 1st Five Year Program	A2-4
4533331	SR-710/SW Warfield Boulevard	FR FPL Access Rd	SW Van Buren Avenue	Add 4 Lanes to Build 6	SIS Adopted 1st & 2nd Five Year Programs	A4-6

Notes

¹ The MPO Project Identification (ID) Number corresponds to the ID included in the SIS Long Range Cost Feasibile Plan or SIS Adopted First and Second Five-Year Program as the case may be.

Project included in FDOT Five Year Tentative Work Program FY26-FY30, Nov. 2024

Florida's Turnpike Enterprise Cost Feasible Plan Projects, January 2025

MPO Project ID Number ²	Street Name/Facility	From	To	Project Description	Source	Improvement Type
446975-1	TurnpikeMainline (SR-91)	-	-	New Interchange: MP 125 - I-95 (New Direct Connect Ramps from Turnpike Mainline (SR-91)	Turnpike Enterprise Major Projects List, Martin County, January 2025	New Interchange
446333-1 & 446334-1	TurnpikeMainline (SR-91)	North of Stuart/SR-714 (MP 134.8)	North of Becker Road (MP 138.5)	Widen from 4 to 8 lanes, includes interchange improvement: MP 138 - Becker Road	Turnpike Enterprise Major Projects List, Martin County, January 2025	Widening

Notes

² The MPO Project Identification (ID) Number corresponds to the Florida Turnpike's Financial Project Identification (FPID) included in its Major Projects List, January 2025.

SIS 2045 Multi-Modal Unfunded Needs Plan (MMUNP), June 2017

MPO Project ID Number ³	Street Name/Facility	From	To	Project Description	Source	Improvement Type
308	SR-710	Okeechobee/Martin County Line	Martin Powerplant Road	Add 2 Lanes to Build 4	SIS 2045 MMUNP, June 2017	A2-4
2798	SR-710	Martin Powerplant Road	SR-76 Connector Ramps	Bypass	SIS 2045 MMUNP, June 2017	Bypass
2817	I-95	High Meadow Avenue	Becker Road	Interchange Improvement	SIS 2045 MMUNP, June 2017	M-INCH
2688	SR-714/Monterey Road	at FEC Railway	-	Grade Separation	SIS 2045 MMUNP, June 2017	GRASEP
2701	SR-710 Exclusive Guideway Transit Hub	at Indiantown	-	Passenger Service	SIS 2045 MMUNP, June 2017	PSERV
2259	SR-710 Exclusive Guideway	Indiantown	Mangonia Park Tri-Rail Station	Passenger Service	SIS 2045 MMUNP, June 2017	PSERV
2261	US-1 Exclusive Guideway	West Palm Beach Transit Hub	Fort Pierce	Passenger Service	SIS 2045 MMUNP, June 2017	PSERV

Notes

³ The MPO Project Identification (ID) Number corresponds to the ID included in the SIS 2024 MMUNP, June 2017.

Florida's Turnpike Enterprise Unfunded Projects, January 2025

MPO Project ID Number ⁴	Street Name/Facility	From	To	Project Description	Source	Improvement Type
446975-1	TurnpikeMainline (SR-91)	-	-	New Interchange: MP 125 - I-95 (New Direct Connect Ramps from Turnpike Mainline (SR-91)	Turnpike Enterprise Major Projects List, Martin County, January 2025	New Interchange
446165-1	TurnpikeMainline (SR-91)	at Stuart/SR-714 (MP 133)	-	Interchange Improvement: MP 133 - Stuart (SR-714)	Turnpike Enterprise Major Projects List, Martin County, January 2025	Interchange Improvement
446219-1	TurnpikeMainline (SR-91)	MP 117.7 - Palm Beach/Martin County Line	MP 125 - I-95 Connector	Widen from 4 to 6 lanes	Turnpike Enterprise Major Projects List, Martin County, January 2025	Widening
446332-1	TurnpikeMainline (SR-91)	MP 125 - I-95 Connector	MP 131 - Thomas B Manuel Bridge	Widen from 4 to 6 lanes	Turnpike Enterprise Major Projects List, Martin County, January 2025	Widening
446617-1	TurnpikeMainline (SR-91)	MP 131 - Thomas B Manuel Bridge	MP 133 - Stuart/SR-714	Widen from 4 to 6 lanes	Turnpike Enterprise Major Projects List, Martin County, January 2025	Widening

Notes

⁴ The MPO Project Identification (ID) Number corresponds to the Florida Turnpike's Financial Project Identification (FPID) included in its Major Projects List, January 2025.

MPO Project ID Number ¹	Street Name/Facility	From	To	Project Description	Source	Improvement Type
441636-3	SR-714	at FEC Railway	-	Grade Separation	Draft Tentative Work Program, FDOT, Dec. 2024	GRASEP
453333-4	SR-710/SW Warfield Boulevard	SW Allapattah Road	SW Van Buren Avenue	Add Lanes and Reconstruct	Draft Tentative Work Program, FDOT, Dec. 2024	Widening

Project included in FDOT Five Year Tentative Work Program FY26-FY30, Nov. 2024

Transportation System Management & Operations (TSM&O) Improvements
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number	Street Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)
CM-1	US-1/Federal Highway	Martin/St. Lucie County Line	SE Indian Street	Congestion Management	7.85
CM-2	Dixie Highway	NW Palm Street	S. Colorado Avenue	Congestion Management	1.33
CM-3	SE Monterey Road	SE Monterey Road (Ext)	SE Dixie Highway	Congestion Management	0.52
CM-4	SE Monterey Road (Ext)	SE Monterey Road	US-1/Federal Highway	Congestion Management	0.38
CM-5	Jensen Beach Boulevard	US-1/Federal Highway	Indian River Drive	Congestion Management	2.94
CM-6	CR-732/NE Causeway Blvd	Indian River Drive	SR-A1A	Congestion Management	2.47
CM-7	Indian River Drive	Jensen Beach Boulevard	NE Dixie Highway	Congestion Management	1.33
CM-8	SW Joan Jefferson Way	US-1/Federal Highway	Dixie Highway	Congestion Management	0.13
CM-9	S Colorado Ave	SE Ocean Boulevard	US-1/Federal Highway	Congestion Management	0.45
CM-10	SE Ocean Boulevard	S Colorado Ave	SE Palm Beach Road	Congestion Management	0.99
CM-11	SR-714/Martin Highway	SW Mapp Road	S Kanner Highway/SR-76	Congestion Management	1.07
CM-13	Dixie Highway	Salerno Road	St. Lucie Boulevard	Congestion Management	0.71
CM-14	Bridge Road	I-95	S. Beach Road	Congestion Management	7.56
CM-15	CR-713/High Meadow Avenue	I-95	SR-714/Martin Highway	Congestion Management	2.85
CM-16	SW Murphy Road	Martin/St. Lucie County Line	SR-714/Martin Highway	Congestion Management	2.25

Other Improvements
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number	Project Name	Street Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Source	Improvement Type
P-1	Kanner Highway/SR-76 at I-95				Facility located in southwest corner of Kanner Highway/SR 76, approximately 46,000 sq. ft. 106 parking spaces including four ADA spaces and six kiss-and-ride.		Park-And-Ride Master Plan, FDOT - D4, Oct. 2018, pg. 10, 38, 43 and 44	Park-and-Ride
n/a	West of I-95 between Becker Road and Martin Highway				Park-and-Ride Lot		Park-And-Ride Master Plan, FDOT - D4, Oct. 2018, pg. 10	Park-and-Ride
n/a	West of Turnpike in vicinity of Sand Avenue				Park-and-Ride Lot		Park-And-Ride Master Plan, FDOT - D4, Oct. 2018, pg. 10	Park-and-Ride
PB-1	FEC Railroad Pedestrian Grade Separation		Sailfish Circle Park and Park-and-Ride Lot	Flagler Avenue	Non-motorized grade separated crossing (overpass)		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020 - Mid Rnage (2026-2030), Martin MPO; FEC Railroad Grade Separation Study, Martin MPO, August 2017	Pedestrian Plaza Overpass
PB-2	FEC Railroad Pedestrian Grade Separation		Railroad Avenue	Commerce Avenue	Non-motorized railroad grade separated crossing (overpass)		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020 - Mid Rnage (2026-2030), Martin MPO; FEC Railroad Grade Separation Study, Martin MPO, August 2017	Pedestrian Overpass
RR-1	FEC Rairoad Bridge		Over St. Lucie River		Double tracking FEC railroad bridge over St. Lucie river		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	New Railroad Bridge/Rail Capacity

Notes
¹ Construction cost includes adjustments applied to base construction cost from 2045 LRTP to account for inflation @ of 5% per year for five years from 2020 to 2025.
PB-1, PB-2, and RR-1 are included in Freight Needs.

Freight Improvements
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number ¹	Street Name/Project Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Source	Improvement Type
1541	SR-710	Martin Powerplant Road	CR-609/Allapattah Road	Add 2 Lanes to Build 4		SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	A2-4
3403	I-95	Martin/Palm Beach County Line	CR-708/Bridge Road	Managed Lanes		SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
3405	SR-710	SE 126th Boulevard	Martin Powerplant Road	Add 2 Lanes to Build 4		SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	A2-4
3417	SR-714	at FEC Railway	-	Grade Separation		SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	GRASEP
4226815	I-95	High Meadow Avenue	Martin/St. Lucie County Line	Managed Lanes		SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
4132542	I-95	CR-708/Bridge Road	High Meadow Avenue	Managed Lanes		SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
3664	I-95	CR-708/Bridge Road	High Meadow Avenue	Managed Lanes		SIS Long Range CFP FY2035-2050	MGLANE
n/a	Strategies for Reducing Railroad Trespassing (SRRT) Pilot Project	Florida East Coast (FEC) Railway Corridor	-	Enhanced Safety Improvements per Brightline and Martin County Agreement		Freight Mobility and Trade Plan (FMTP), April 2020	Safety

Notes

¹ The MPO Project Identification (ID) Number corresponds to the ID included in the SIS Long Range Cost Feasible Plan or SIS Adopted First and Second Five-Year Program as the Project included in FDOT Five Year Tentative Work Program FY26-FY30, Nov. 2024

Freight Needs

MPO Project ID Number ²	Street Name/Project Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Source	Improvement Type
2798	SR-710	Martin Powerplant Road	SR-76 Connector Ramps	Bypass		SIS 2045 MMUNP, June 2017	Bypass
PB-1	FEC Railroad Pedestrian Grade Separation	Sailfish Circle Park and Park-and-Ride Lot	Flagler Avenue	Non-motorized grade separated crossing (overpass)		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020 - Mid Range (2026-2030), Martin MPO; FEC Railroad Grade Separation Study, Martin MPO, August 2017	Pedestrian Plaza Overpass
PB-2	FEC Railroad Pedestrian Grade Separation	Railroad Avenue	Commerce Avenue	Non-motorized railroad grade separated crossing (overpass)		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020 - Mid Range (2026-2030), Martin MPO; FEC Railroad Grade Separation Study, Martin MPO, August 2017	Pedestrian Overpass
RR-1	FEC Railroad Bridge	Over St. Lucie River	-	Double tracking FEC railroad bridge over St. Lucie river		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	New Railroad Bridge/Rail Capacity

MPO Project ID Number ¹	Street Name/Project Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Source	Improvement Type
FRR-6	US-27 Corridor Rail Bypass	Miami-Dade County	FEC Railroad at SR-710	New Railroad, Rehabilitation		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	New Railroad, Rehabilitation
FP-3	Martin County I-95 NB and SB Rest Areas	-	-	Dynamic Truck Parking, Touch-Screen Kiosk	-	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Short Range (2021-2025)	ITS
FP-4	Truck Parking (Expand 900' northside of existing parking, average width =250')	@SR 91/Turnpike Ft. Pierce Plaza	-	Expanded Parking	-	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Mid Range (2026-2030)	Parking Expansion
FP-5	Truck Parking (Pull Offs) (700'X100'; 20 truck parking)	Regional - US 98, SR 710	-	New Parking	-	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Mid Range (2026-2030)	New Parking
FSH1	SR-714/Martin Highway	I-95	Citrus Blvd	Widen paved shoulders from 4' to 7'		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Mid Range (2026-2030)	Shoulder Widening
FSH2	US-98	Palm Beach County Line	Okeechobee County Line	Widen paved shoulders from 4' to 7'		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Short Range (2021-2025)	Shoulder Widening
FSH3	SE Bridge Road	0.5 Miles east of I-95	Flora Avenue	Widen paved shoulders from 1' to 7'		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	Shoulder Widening
FSH4	SR-76/ SW Kanner Highway	US- 98	Pratt Whitney Rd	Widen paved shoulders from 4' to 7'		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	Shoulder Widening
FRH1	Rural Highways - West of I-95	Regional	-	TSM&O System (10 Digital Message Signs)	-	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	TSM&O
FRH2	Rural Highways - West of I-95	Regional	-	Provide Rural Center U-Turns Every 10 Miles	-	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	Restriping/Lane Reconfiguration
FRH3	Rural Highways - West of I-95	Regional	-	Visibility Warning System (8)	-	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	Technology
FTRP1	Highways, Local Roads	Countywide	-	Designated Truck Routes and No Thru Trucks (50)	-	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Short Range (2021-2025)	Truck Route Plan (Signage)
FS2	Stuart Area Projects	Areawide		FDOT TSM&O, MPO 2020 Congestion Management Projects	-	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Short & Mid Range (2021-2030)	TSM&O
FS3	US-1	Cove Road	St. Lucie County Line	Corridor Retrofit		Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Mid Range (2026-2030)	Corridor Retrofit

MPO Project ID Number ¹	Street Name/Project Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Source	Improvement Type
FS7	High Meadow Avenue	I-95	SR-714/ Martin Highway	Add 2 Lanes & Reconstruct 2 Lanes (4LD)	2.64	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Mid Range (2026-2030)	Widening
FS4	Cove Road	Kanner Highway/SR-76	CR-A1A	Add 2 Lanes & Reconstruct 2 Lanes (4LD)	4.32	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Mid Range (2026-2030)	Widening
FS8	Bridge Road	Powerline Avenue	Federal Highway/US-1	Add 2 Lanes & Reconstruct 2 Lanes (4LD)	2.00	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	Widening
FS9	SR-714/ Martin Downs Boulevard	Matheson Avenue	Palm City Road	Add 2 Lanes & Reconstruct 4 Lanes (6LD)	1.33	Martin County Freight & Goods Movement Plan, Oct. 2020, Martin MPO - Long Range (2031-2045)	Widening

Notes

PB-1, PB-2, and RR-1 are included in and overlap with projects in the "Other Improvements" category.

Freight Needs that do not overlap with improvements/projects included in other modes or categories.

FS# - Freight Supportive Corridors identified in the Martin MPO's Freight and Goods Movement Plan, October 2020.

Project included in FDOT Five Year Tentative Work Program FY26-FY30, Nov. 2024

FRH1, FRH2, FRH3, FTRP1 and FS2 are areawide, countywide or regional projects that are not shown on the map.

Safety Improvements
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number	Street Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Source	Improvement Type
401	US 1/SE Federal Hwy	SE Indian St	SE Central Pkwy	Safety audit, safety improvements	1.28	Vision Zero Plan, May 2022	Safety audit
402	US 1/SE Federal Hwy	SR 76/Kanner Hwy	Roosevelt Bridge	Safety audit, speed reduction, safety improvements	0.84	Vision Zero Plan, May 2022	Safety audit
403	S Kanner Hwy	SE Cove Rd	SE Salerno Rd	Education, enforcement	0.59	Vision Zero Plan, May 2022	Edcuation & enforcement
404	US 1/Federal Hwy	NW Goldenrod Rd	St. Lucie County Line	Education, enforcement	0.94	Vision Zero Plan, May 2022	Edcuation & enforcement
405	S Dixie Hwy	SE Delmar St	SE Aviation Way	Bike facility study, safety improvements	0.60	Vision Zero Plan, May 2022	Study
407	SE Dixie Highway	SE Normand Street	SE Kensington Street	Shift drainage inward by 3 ft	1.24	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Drainage
408	SE Dixie Highway	SE Broward Street	-	Upgrade existing mid-block pedestrian crosswalk to pedestrian hybrid beacon	0.02	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Install pedestrian hybrid beacon
415	SE Dixie Highway	Monterey Road	-	Upgrade existing crosswalks to high emphasis crosswalk	0.03	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Install high emphasis crosswalk
416	SE Dixie Highway	SE Airport Road	-	Upgrade existing crosswalks to high emphasis crosswalk	0.02	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Install high emphasis crosswalk
418	SE Dixie Highway	SE Indian Street	-	Upgrade existing crosswalks to high emphasis crosswalk	0.02	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Install high emphasis crosswalk
419	SE Dixie Highway	SE Seaward Street	-	Upgrade existing crosswalks to high emphasis crosswalk	0.02	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Install high emphasis crosswalk
420	SE Dixie Highway	SE Aviation Way	-	Improve bus stop boarding and alighting areas to be ADA compliant and add shelters	0.03	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Bus stop shelter and ADA improvements
421	SE Dixie Highway	SE Cove Road	SE Westfield Street	Install sidewalk barriers or fencing	0.37	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Sidewalk
422	SE Dixie Highway	SE Salerno Road	SE Westfield Street	Reduce width of parking spaces and install a bicycle lane	0.25	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Restriping
423	SE Dixie Highway	South of SE Salerno Road	-	Upgrade existing midblock crossing to include pedestrian hybrid beacons and high-visibility crosswalks	0.01	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Install pedestrian hybrid beacon & high visibility crosswalks
424	SE Bridge Road	SE Powerline Avenue	SE Flora Avenue.	Extend rumble strips (centerline and shoulders)	1.13	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Misc. construction
425	SE Bridge Road	I-95	SE Flora Avenue.	Install lighting	5.42	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Lighting
426	SE Bridge Road	SE Powerline Avenue	-	Install electronic speed feedback sign	0.02	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Install speed feedback sign
427	SE Bridge Road	at Powerlne Road	-	Install electronic speed feedback sign	0.01	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Install speed feedback sign
428	SW Martin Highway	SW Deer Run	West of I-95	Install lighting	11.78	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Lighting
429	SW Martin Highway	SW Deer Run	West of I-96	Improve road friction	11.78	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Misc. construction
430	SW Martin Highway	SW Allapattah	-	Intersection illumination	0.02	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Lighting
431	SW Martin Highway	SW Deer Run	SW Allapattah Road	Install rumble strips (centerline and shoulders)	5.50	Martin County SS4A Action Plan	Misc. construction
432	SE Dixie Hwy	SE Osprey St	SE Gleason St	Signal improvements		Martin MPO FY27-FY31 Unfunded Safety Priority List	Signal Improvments
433	SE Commerce Ave	SE Indian St	SE Salerno Rd	Roadway leveling, resurfacing, shoulder widening, drainage improvements, pedestrian crosswalks		Martin MPO FY27-FY31 Unfunded Safety Priority List	RRR
na	Railroad Crossings	County Wide	-	Second train incoming warning system		Martin MPO FY27-FY31 Unfunded Safety Priority List	RR Xing Safety
434	SW Amaryllis Ave	CSX Crossing	-	Railroad crossing gates		Martin MPO FY27-FY31 Unfunded Safety Priority List	RR Xing Safety
435	Bridge Rd	@ Powerline Drive	-	Turn lane		Martin MPO FY27-FY31 Unfunded Safety Priority List	Turn Lane

Complete Streets
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number	Segment ID ¹	Street Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Right-of-Way (ROW) Width (in feet)
CS-2	211	NW Dixie Hwy (SR-707)	NW Green River Pkwy	Confusion Corner	Four 12.5' travel lanes with center turn lane replaced with four 10'-11' travel lanes with landscaped median. Addition of protected bike lanes in both directions. Addition of shade trees & street lights adjacent to bike lanes.	1.98	100
CS-4	226	SE Palm Beach Rd	SE Ocean Blvd (SR-A1A)	SE Monterey Rd	Addition of raised bike lanes in both diretions. Addition of shade trees. Conversion of 5' side walks on both sides to 10' multi-use path on east side & 6' sidewalk on west side. 2' furnishing zones adjacent to sidewalk/paths.	1.09	80
CS-5	270	SE Christie Way	SE Dixie Hwy	SE Palm Beach Rd	Conversion of 6' side walks on north side to 8' multi-use path. Addition of shade trees and street lights adjacent to existing sidewalk on south side.	0.08	50
CS-7	286	SE Jack Avenue	Port Salerno Elementary	SE Cove Rd	New curb & gutters. Addition of shade trees & street lights adjacent to new 10' shared use path. Project assumes improvements same as SE Palm City Road (CS-19)	0.76	70
CS-8	242	SR-5 (US-1)	NW Sunset Blvd	S end of Roosevelt Bridge	Addition of markings for existing bike lanes. Addition of sidewalks, shade trees & street lighting.	3.57	150
CS-9	341	SR-5 (US-1) ²	SW Joan Jefferson Way	600 feet south of SE Tressler Dr	Resurfacing (FM # 446110.1 - Unfunded)	1.42	150
CS-10	137	SE Indian St	SR-5 (US-1)	SE Dixie Hwy (SR-A1A)	Convert 5 lane urban roadway incuding center turn lane to 4 lane divided facility with separated bike lanes.	0.36	100
CS-11	268	S Kanner Hwy (SR- 76) ²	SR-5 (US-1)	SW Manor Dr	Resurfacing (FM # 443995.1 - Unfunded)	0.44	110
CS-12	182	SE Salerno Rd	SR-5 (US-1)	SE Dixie Hwy (SR-A1A)	Addition of street lights & landscaping on south side. Conversion of 6' sidewalk with 2' landscape to 8' multi-use path on north side.	0.93	65
CS-13	311	SE Salerno Rd	SE Dixie Hwy (SR-A1A)	SE De Soto Ave	Project assumes continuation of improvements/cross section between SR 5 (US-1) and SE Dixie Hwy. (CS-12)	0.08	60
CS-14	267	SE Cutoff Rd	SR-5 (US-1)	SE Dixie Hwy (SR-A1A)	Shared use path on one side. Shade trees and lighting.	0.23	110
CS-15	212	SE Dixie Hwy	Confusion Corner	SE Palm Beach Rd	Addition of buffered bike lanes in both directions. Addition of shade trees & bioswales. Addition of sidewalk & street lights.	1.07	90
CS-16	322	SE Dixie Hwy (SR-A1A)	SE Salerno Rd	SE Cove Rd	New markings along travel lanes and on-street parking lanes. New shade trees. Parklet options available.	0.61	90
CS-17	325	SE Dixie Hwy (SR-A1A)	Port Salerno CRA (North Boundary)	SE Salerno Rd	Project assumes continuation of improvements/cross section between SE Salerno Road and SE Cove Road. (CS-16)	0.39	90
CS-18	287	SE Ebbtide Ave	SE Salerno Rd	SE Cove Rd	Addition of buffered bike lanes in both directions. Addition of shade trees & bioswales. Addition of sidewalk & street lights.	0.5	65
CS-19	130	SW Palm City Rd	SR-5 (US-1)	400 feet north of SW Indian Grove Dr	Two 12' travel lanes become two 11' travel lanes. New curb & gutters. Addition of shade trees & street lights adjacent to new 10' shared use path.	0.33	80

Notes

¹ Segment ID cross references projects identified in Martin MPO's Complete Streets: Access to Transit Study, June 2020

² Project cost for CS-9 and CS-11 is "as programmed" per *Martin in Motion* 2045 LRTP

Base construction cost are derived using FDOT's cost per mile models and based on existing and proposed typical section included in Martin MPO's Complete Streets: Access to Transit Study, June 2020.

Infrastructure Hardening Improvements
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number	Project Name	Street Name	From	To	Project Description	Length (in miles)	Source	Improvement Type
V1	N Sewalls Point Road ¹	N Sewalls Point Road ¹	SR-A1A (NE Ocean Boulevard)	SE Palmer Street	Coastal protection, elevate road, resize drainage, divert stormwaters	1.57	Transportation Network Resiliency Study, 2022	SLR Adaptation
V2	SE MacArthur Boulevard	SE MacArthur Boulevard	SE South Marina Way	Approximately 1500 feet North		0.28	2045 LRTP	TDB
V3	Dixie Highway	Dixie Highway	Grafton Avenue	Wright Boulevard	Enhance stormwater infrastructure, add green infrastructure	7.74	Transportation Network Resiliency Study, 2022	SLR Adaptation
V4	Dixie Highway	Dixie Highway	Cove Road	Jefferson Street	Elevate road, coastal protection, bridge rehab and protection	2.12	Transportation Network Resiliency Study, 2022	SLR Adaptation
V5	447650-1	SR A1A	NE Shore Village Terrace	SR-732/Jensen Beach Causeway	Roadway or bridge approach stabilization, Medium Tier	2.34	FDOT Resilience Action Plan	Roadway or bridge approach stabilization
V6	SR-76/Kanner Hwy	SR-76/Kanner Hwy	South of Indian Street	Monterey Road	Drainage Improvements, Medium Tier	1.32	FDOT Resilience Action Plan	Drainage Improvements
V7	SR-A1A	SR-A1A	Colusa Court	SR-732/Jenson Beach Causeway	Drainage Improvements, Medium Tier	2.81	FDOT Resilience Action Plan	Drainage Improvements
V8	SR-707	SR-707	Bridge #890003		Roadway or bridge approach stabilization	0.37	FDOT Resilience Action Plan	Roadway or bridge approach stabilization

Notes
Project ID #4 is a segment of Project ID V3.

Waterborne Transportation
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number	Project Name	From	To	Project Description	Improvement Type
n/a	Water based Transportation Feasibility Study	Martin County	-	Countywide Study	Feasibility Study
W-1	Water taxi service to St. Lucie Inlet State Park	Sandsprit Park	St. Lucie Inlet Preserve	From Sandsprit Park or potentially Pirate's Cove Marina or Fish Market or Restaurant(s) stop at Manatee Pocket	Water Taxi Service
W-2	Water taxi service (seasonal or for waterfront special events and festivals only)	around key nodes such as Stuart/Palm City, Port Salerno/Manatee Pocket, Stuart/Jensen/Rio	-	Potential routes include Stuart Floating Dock to Harborage Marina, Harborage Marina to Sunset Bay Marina, Stuart Floating Dock to Stuart Harbor/Rio Town Center, Sandsprit Park to Pirate's Cove Marina/Fish Market Restaurants	Water Taxi Service

Aviation
Martin Moves 2050 Needs Plan

MPO Project ID Number ¹	Project Description	Total Project Cost (YOE*)	Funding Source		
			FAA	FDOT	Local ²
-	Replace AWOS System (Design & Construct)	\$413,500	\$372,150	\$20,675	\$20,675
-	Rehabilitate Runway 12-30 EMAS Systems	\$1,500,000	\$1,350,000	\$75,000	\$75,000
-	Airport Business Plan	\$259,288	\$0	\$207,430	\$51,858
S-4	Building 29 and 30 Roof Improvements	\$518,575	\$0	\$414,860	\$103,715
S-1	Hold Bay Extension (Design)	\$155,573	\$140,015	\$7,779	\$7,779
S-3	Rehabilitation of MC Non-Movement Areas Phase IV - Taxilane B (Design)	\$155,573	\$140,015	\$7,779	\$7,779
-	Replace Airfield Signage with LED Units (Design)	\$103,715	\$0	\$82,972	\$20,743
S-1	Hold Bay Extension (Construct)	\$1,505,952	\$1,355,357	\$75,298	\$75,298
S-3	Rehabilitation of MC Non-Movement Areas Phase IV - Taxilane B (Construct)	\$1,839,413	\$1,655,472	\$91,971	\$91,971
-	Replace Airfield Signage with LED Units (Construct)	\$623,894	\$0	\$499,116	\$124,779
-	Replace PAPIs on Runway 12-30 with LED Units (Design)	\$53,784	\$48,406	\$0	\$5,378
-	Replace PAPIs on Runway 12-30 with LED Units (Construct)	\$290,067	\$261,060	\$14,503	\$14,503
S-2	S-2 Public Safety Aviation Hangar 1	\$3,235,361	\$0	\$2,588,289	\$647,072
-	Rehabilitate Runway 7-25 (Construct)	\$4,599,424	\$0	\$3,679,539	\$919,885
-	Air Traffic Control Tower Equipment Upgrade (Recorder)	\$115,709	\$0	\$92,567	\$23,142
-	Environmental Assessment (Short-Form) for South Airport Facilities	\$173,563	\$156,207	\$8,678	\$8,678
I-1	Public Safety Aviation Hangar 2	\$3,096,190	\$0	\$2,476,952	\$619,238
I-2	South Airport Facilities - Infrastructure (Design & Construct)	\$4,440,272	\$0	\$3,552,218	\$888,054
none	Air Traffic Control Tower Equipment Upgrade (Radios)	\$120,007	\$0	\$96,006	\$24,001
I-3	Rehabilitate Taxiways C and C1 with LED MITLs (Design)	\$497,863	\$0	\$398,290	\$99,573
none	Airport Security Fence & Gates, Access Control and CCTV	\$1,630,500	\$0	\$1,304,400	\$326,100
I-2	South Airport Facilities - 60 Shade Hangars (Design & Construct)	\$2,240,381	\$0	\$1,792,305	\$448,076
I-5	Financial Feasibility & Categorical Exclusion for Replacing Runway 12-30 EMAS Systems	\$124,466	\$112,019	\$6,223	\$6,223
I-3	Rehabilitate Taxiways C and C1 with LED MITLs (Construct)	\$3,872,686	\$0	\$3,098,149	\$774,537
I-5	Replace Runway 12-30 EMAS Systems (Design)	\$451,813	\$406,632	\$22,591	\$22,591
I-4	Rehabilitate Taxiway D with LED MITLs (Design)	\$322,724	\$0	\$258,179	\$64,545

MPO Project ID Number ¹	Project Description	Total Project Cost (YOE*)	Funding Source		
			FAA	FDOT	Local ²
I-4	Rehabilitate Taxiway D with LED MITLs (Construct)	\$3,226,633	\$0	\$2,581,307	\$645,327
I-5	Replace Runway 12-30 EMAS Systems (Construct)	\$24,500,992	\$22,050,893	\$1,225,050	\$1,225,050
I-6	ADG III Access Taxiway To West Improvement Area (Design & Construct)	\$1,138,644	\$0	\$910,915	\$227,729
L-1	South Airport Facilities - 100LL Self-Serve Fuel Tank (Design & Construct)	\$2,160,265	\$0	\$1,728,212	\$432,053
L-2	South Airport Facilities - Aircraft Apron and Pilot Lounge (Design & Construct)	\$1,463,805	\$0	\$1,171,044	\$292,761
L-3	SE Mohawk Lane Connection (Design & Construct)	\$2,013,920	\$0	\$1,611,136	\$402,784
None	Airport Master Plan	\$803,360	\$723,024	\$40,168	\$40,168
L-4	Environmental Assessment (Short-Form) for Runway 16-34 Threshold Corrections	\$241,008	\$216,907	\$12,050	\$12,050
L-4	Runway 16-34 Threshold Corrections with LED MIRLS, PAPIs, and REILs (Design & Construct)	\$4,765,934	\$4,289,341	\$238,297	\$238,297
none	Rehabilitate Runway 12-30 and Replace REILs (Design)	\$583,244	\$524,919	\$29,162	\$29,162
none	Rehabilitate Runway 12-30 and Replace REILs (Construct)	\$8,641,588	\$7,777,430	\$432,079	\$432,079
L-6	Environmental Assessment (Short-Form) for Taxiway E Northeast Partial Parallel to 16-34	\$172,832	\$155,549	\$8,642	\$8,642
L-6	Taxiway E Northeast Partial Parallel to 16-34 (Design)	\$448,131	\$0	\$358,505	\$89,626
L-5	Relocate Segmented Circle (Design & Construct)	\$197,178	\$0	\$157,742	\$39,436
L-7	Environmental Assessment (Short-Form) for North Partial Parallel Taxiway B	\$179,252	\$161,327	\$8,963	\$8,963
L-6	Taxiway E Northeast Partial Parallel to 16-34 (Construct)	\$4,275,969	\$0	\$3,420,775	\$855,194
L-7	Taxiway B North Partial Parallel to 12-30 (Design)	\$929,558	\$836,603	\$46,478	\$46,478
L-8	Environmental Assessment (Short-Form) for Connector Taxiways Between Taxiways D and E	\$185,912	\$167,321	\$9,296	\$9,296
L-7	Taxiway B North Partial Parallel to 12-30 (Construct)	\$10,605,007	\$9,544,507	\$530,250	\$530,250
L-8	Connector Taxiways Between Taxiways D and E (Design)	\$192,818	\$0	\$154,255	\$38,564
none	Rehabilitate Runway 7-25 (Design)	\$385,637	\$0	\$308,509	\$77,127
L-8	Connector Taxiways Between Taxiways D and E (Construct)	\$2,259,791	\$0	\$1,807,833	\$451,958
none	Rehabilitate Runway 7-25 (Construct)	\$7,949,265	\$0	\$6,359,412	\$1,589,853
	Total Cost, YOE	\$109,661,036	\$52,445,154	\$44,021,849	\$13,194,040


Source: Witham Field Airport Master Plan, August 2023

Notes

¹ The MPO Project Identification (ID) Number corresponds to the ID number included in Figure 8-1 Capital Improvement Program and Tables 8

² Estimates for the local share are dependent upon the availability of funding from both FAA and FDOT.

* YOE - Year of Expenditure. An inflation factor of 3.75 percent has been applied for each yar a project is programmed beyond the 2023 cost estimate.




Legend


- | | | |
|---|--|---|
|  New 2 Lane Road |  Widen 6L to 8L |  Committed: New Intersection |
|  New 4 Lane Road |  Widen 6L to 8L/
Express Lanes |  Committed: PD&E |
|  Widen 2L to 4L | |  Committed: Widening |
|  Widen 4L to 6L | | |


0 1 2 3 Miles


N





Source: Treasure Coast Regional Planning Model (TCRPM 6.0), FDOT Tentative Work Program FY26-FY30




























**POLICY BOARD MEETING
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

MEETING DATE: May 12, 2025	DUE DATE: May 5, 2025	UPWP#: 5
WORDING: CORRESPONDENCE FROM ST. LUCIE TPO – FEDERAL FUNDING SPLIT		
REQUESTED BY: FDOT	PREPARED BY: Margie Tamblyn / Beth Beltran	DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION: N/A

BACKGROUND

In April of 2025, the Martin MPO received a letter from the St. Lucie Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) regarding the allocation of Transportation Management Area (TMA) Funds from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) for the Port St. Lucie Urbanized Area (UZA). The letter looks to address how Federal Funding would be split between St. Lucie County and Martin County which are both within the Port St. Lucie UZA. The St. Lucie TPO is proposing a new funding split of 71 percent to be received by the TPO and 29 percent of the funds to be received by the Martin MPO.

ISSUES

At the May 2025 Policy Board meeting, MPO staff will give a presentation to discuss the correspondence.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

- Provide direction.

FISCAL IMPACT

Urbanized Areas are established by the United States Census Bureau. Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) are defined as areas with populations greater than 200,000. The FHWA allocates TMA funding directly to Urbanized Areas as Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) funds.

APPROVAL

MPO

ATTACHMENTS

Correspondence from St. Lucie TPO dated April 15, 2025

April 15, 2025

VIA EMAIL

Commissioner Sarah Heard
 Chairwoman
 Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization
 3481 SE Willoughby Boulevard, Suite 101
 Stuart, Florida 34994

RECEIVED

APR 17 2025

Martin MPO

Re: Allocation of Transportation Management Area Funds from the Federal Highway Administration for the Port St. Lucie Urbanized Area

Dear Chairwoman Heard:

As the Port St. Lucie Urbanized Area (PSL UZA) includes both the St. Lucie TPO and the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization (Martin MPO), Transportation Management Area (TMA) Funds from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) for the PSL UZA are shared between the St. Lucie TPO and the Martin MPO. The 2030 and 2035 Regional Long Range Transportation Plans developed in the past for the St. Lucie TPO and Martin MPO allocated these Federal funds consistent with the population totals within the St. Lucie TPO and Martin MPO portions of the PSL UZA. The population totals and resulting allocations in these plans resulted in 62 percent of the funds being received by the St. Lucie TPO, and 38 percent of the funds being received by the Martin MPO.

Because of the Martin MPO's termination of the Interlocal Agreement between the organizations in 2014, a new allocation was necessary in 2015 for TMA funds for the PSL UZA to be used in the development of the 2040 LRTPs for the organizations. At that time, the St. Lucie TPO suggested that the most appropriate allocation of TMA funds for the PSL UZA should be based on the most recent population data prepared by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) which would have resulted in 68 percent of the funds being received by the St. Lucie TPO, and 32 percent of the funds being received by the Martin MPO. After discussion between the organizations in November 2015, an interim compromise was reached for the 2040 LRTPs with 65 percent of the funds being received by the St. Lucie TPO, and 35 percent of the funds being received by the Martin MPO.

In June 2020, the St. Lucie TPO and Martin MPO reached an agreement on the TMA funding split for the 2045 LRTPs, allocating 68 percent of the funds to the St. Lucie TPO and 32 percent to the Martin MPO based on the most recent population data prepared at the time by FDOT.

As the 2050 LRTPs are under development by the organizations, the St. Lucie TPO again has initiated the discussion, first by the TPO Staff and now through the TPO Board, of the allocations to be used for the 2050 LRTPs. The TPO Board believes


again that the most appropriate allocation of TMA funds for the PSL UZA should be based on the most recent population data prepared by FDOT dated April 1, 2023 (attached). This data indicates that the allocation of TMA funds for the PSL UZA should be split with 71 percent of the funds being received by the St. Lucie TPO, and 29 percent of the funds being received by the Martin MPO.

In addition, it appears to be appropriate for the allocation to continue to be revised in the future based on the most current population data. As confirmation of the appropriateness of this allocation methodology, FDOT already utilizes this data to allocate metropolitan planning (PL) funds for the PSL UZA, and FHWA allocates the TMA Funds to the Port St. Lucie UZA based on population.

It should be noted that the Martin MPO receives the benefit of the TMA Funds only because the population of St. Lucie on its own qualifies the Port St. Lucie UZA as a TMA. The population of the Martin MPO does not qualify it as a TMA on its own and would not receive any TMA funding if not for the St. Lucie TPO population.

We look forward to continuing the coordination as the LRTPs are developed. Please contact me or Peter Buchwald, the Executive Director of the St. Lucie TPO, should you require any additional information or clarification regarding the St. Lucie TPO's suggested allocation of TMA funds for the PSL UZA.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Curtis E. Johnson", is written over a light blue horizontal line.

Commissioner Curtis Johnson
Chairman

Attachment

cc: Steven Braun, P.E., Secretary, FDOT District 4
John Krane, P.E., Director of Transportation Development, FDOT District 4
Jessica Rubio, Work Program Management Administrator, FDOT District 4
Beth Beltran, Administrator, Martin MPO
Peter Buchwald, Executive Director, St. Lucie TPO

U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Urban Areas	2020 Urban Area Population	2023 Urban Area Population	Population Change 2020 - 2023	
			Numerical	Percent
Arcadia	16,128	16,602	474	2.9%
DeSoto (Part)	16,128	16,602	474	2.9%
Asbury Lake--Middleburg	23,649	25,036	1,387	5.9%
Clay (Part)	23,649	25,036	1,387	5.9%
Bartow	16,948	18,644	1,696	10.0%
Polk (Part)	16,948	18,644	1,696	10.0%
Belle Glade	23,009	23,634	625	2.7%
Palm Beach (Part)	23,009	23,634	625	2.7%
Beverly Hills--Homosassa Springs--Pine Ridge	96,729	102,048	5,320	5.5%
Citrus (Part)	94,750	99,922	5,172	5.5%
Marion (Part)	1,979	2,127	148	7.5%
Big Pine Key	8,441	8,608	167	2.0%
Monroe (Part)	8,441	8,608	167	2.0%
Bonita Springs--Estero	425,675	451,242	25,567	6.0%
Collier (Part)	298,803	317,672	18,869	6.3%
Lee (Part)	126,872	133,570	6,698	5.3%
Bradenton--Sarasota--Venice	779,075	845,781	66,705	8.6%
Charlotte (Part)	44,519	48,636	4,117	9.2%
Lee (Part)	973	1,024	51	5.3%
Manatee (Part)	380,958	418,944	37,986	10.0%
Sarasota (Part)	352,625	377,176	24,551	7.0%
Brooksville	12,128	12,736	608	5.0%
Hernando (Part)	12,128	12,736	608	5.0%
Burnt Store Marina	4,191	4,496	306	7.3%
Charlotte (Part)	2,115	2,311	196	9.2%
Lee (Part)	2,076	2,186	110	5.3%
Bushnell	3,664	4,386	722	19.7%
Sumter (Part)	3,664	4,386	722	19.7%
Cape Coral	599,242	630,885	31,644	5.3%
Charlotte (Part)	203	222	19	9.2%
Hendry (Part)	78	81	3	3.2%
Lee (Part)	598,961	630,583	31,622	5.3%
Clewiston	12,849	13,263	414	3.2%
Hendry (Part)	12,849	13,263	414	3.2%

U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Urban Areas	2020 Urban Area Population	2023 Urban Area Population	Population Change 2020 - 2023	
			Numerical	Percent
Crawfordville	10,124	10,845	721	7.1%
Wakulla (Part)	10,124	10,845	721	7.1%
Crestview	46,816	48,495	1,679	3.6%
Okaloosa (Part)	46,816	48,495	1,679	3.6%
Crystal River	7,834	8,262	428	5.5%
Citrus (Part)	7,834	8,262	428	5.5%
Dade City	20,304	22,069	1,765	8.7%
Pasco (Part)	20,304	22,069	1,765	8.7%
Daytona Beach--Palm Coast--Port Orange	402,126	432,326	30,200	7.5%
Flagler (Part)	106,548	120,749	14,201	13.3%
St. Johns (Part)	3	3	0	0.0%
Volusia (Part)	295,575	311,574	15,999	5.4%
DeFuniak Springs	6,977	7,722	745	10.7%
Walton (Part)	6,977	7,722	745	10.7%
Deltona	210,712	222,117	11,405	5.4%
Volusia (Part)	210,712	222,117	11,405	5.4%
Fernandina Beach--Yulee	50,805	56,659	5,854	11.5%
Nassau (Part)	50,805	56,659	5,854	11.5%
Fort Meade	4,874	5,362	488	10.0%
Polk (Part)	4,874	5,362	488	10.0%
Four Corners	92,396	101,673	9,277	10.0%
Lake (Part)	22,452	24,253	1,801	8.0%
Orange (Part)	1,727	1,803	76	4.4%
Osceola (Part)	19,055	21,534	2,479	13.0%
Polk (Part)	49,162	54,083	4,921	10.0%
Frostproof	8,092	8,902	810	10.0%
Polk (Part)	8,092	8,902	810	10.0%
Gainesville	213,748	224,933	11,185	5.2%
Alachua (Part)	213,748	224,933	11,185	5.2%
Immokalee	23,485	24,968	1,483	6.3%
Collier (Part)	23,485	24,968	1,483	6.3%
Indiantown	5,496	5,649	153	2.8%
Martin (Part)	5,496	5,649	153	2.8%

U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Urban Areas	2020 Urban Area Population	2023 Urban Area Population	Population Change 2020 - 2023	
			Numerical	Percent
Jacksonville	1,247,374	1,329,412	82,039	6.6%
Clay (Part)	156,688	165,876	9,188	5.9%
Duval (Part)	969,177	1,023,411	54,234	5.6%
St. Johns (Part)	121,509	140,126	18,617	15.3%
Key Largo	21,687	22,115	428	2.0%
Monroe (Part)	21,687	22,115	428	2.0%
Key West	32,146	32,781	635	2.0%
Monroe (Part)	32,146	32,781	635	2.0%
Keystone Heights	8,218	8,537	319	3.9%
Bradford (Part)	1,793	1,735	-58	-3.2%
Clay (Part)	6,425	6,802	377	5.9%
Kissimmee--St. Cloud	418,404	462,543	44,139	10.5%
Orange (Part)	119,743	125,022	5,279	4.4%
Osceola (Part)	298,661	337,521	38,860	13.0%
LaBelle	13,053	13,477	424	3.3%
Glades (Part)	624	648	24	3.8%
Hendry (Part)	12,429	12,829	400	3.2%
Lake Bryant	3,632	3,903	271	7.5%
Marion (Part)	3,632	3,903	271	7.5%
Lake City	25,334	26,240	906	3.6%
Columbia (Part)	25,334	26,240	906	3.6%
Lake Placid	17,816	18,370	554	3.1%
Highlands (Part)	17,816	18,370	554	3.1%
Lakeland	277,915	305,716	27,801	10.0%
Hillsborough (Part)	347	366	19	5.6%
Polk (Part)	277,568	305,350	27,782	10.0%
Leesburg--Eustis--Tavares	151,523	163,655	12,132	8.0%
Lake (Part)	150,959	163,066	12,107	8.0%
Orange (Part)	564	589	25	4.4%
Live Oak	6,668	6,971	303	4.5%
Suwannee (Part)	6,668	6,971	303	4.5%
Maccleenny	10,881	10,912	31	0.3%
Baker (Part)	10,881	10,912	31	0.3%

U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Urban Areas	2020 Urban Area Population	2023 Urban Area Population	Population Change 2020 - 2023	
			Numerical	Percent
Marathon	9,733	9,925	192	2.0%
Monroe (Part)	9,733	9,925	192	2.0%
Marianna	5,560	5,755	195	3.5%
Jackson (Part)	5,560	5,755	195	3.5%
Marion Oaks	19,077	20,501	1,424	7.5%
Marion (Part)	19,077	20,501	1,424	7.5%
Miami--Fort Lauderdale	6,077,522	6,212,847	135,326	2.2%
Broward (Part)	1,944,223	1,973,425	29,202	1.5%
Martin (Part)	5,030	5,170	140	2.8%
Miami-Dade (Part)	2,678,436	2,745,043	66,607	2.5%
Palm Beach (Part)	1,449,833	1,489,210	39,377	2.7%
Mount Plymouth	6,165	6,656	491	8.0%
Lake (Part)	6,057	6,543	486	8.0%
Orange (Part)	108	113	5	4.4%
Navarre--Miramar Beach--Destin	226,213	238,312	12,099	5.3%
Okaloosa (Part)	142,328	147,433	5,105	3.6%
Santa Rosa (Part)	69,580	75,047	5,467	7.9%
Walton (Part)	14,305	15,832	1,527	10.7%
Ocala	182,647	196,280	13,633	7.5%
Marion (Part)	182,647	196,280	13,633	7.5%
Okeechobee--Taylor Creek	26,670	26,693	23	0.1%
Glades (Part)	1,475	1,532	57	3.8%
Okeechobee (Part)	25,195	25,161	-34	-0.1%
Orangetree	9,791	10,409	618	6.3%
Collier (Part)	9,791	10,409	618	6.3%
Orlando	1,853,896	1,936,113	82,217	4.4%
Lake (Part)	111,689	120,646	8,957	8.0%
Orange (Part)	1,274,196	1,330,374	56,178	4.4%
Osceola (Part)	12,432	14,050	1,618	13.0%
Seminole (Part)	455,579	471,043	15,464	3.4%
Pahokee	6,683	6,865	182	2.7%
Palm Beach (Part)	6,683	6,865	182	2.7%
Palatka	20,032	20,738	706	3.5%
Putnam (Part)	20,032	20,738	706	3.5%
Palm Bay--Melbourne	510,675	539,433	28,758	5.6%
Brevard (Part)	510,675	539,433	28,758	5.6%

U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Urban Areas	2020 Urban Area Population	2023 Urban Area Population	Population Change 2020 - 2023	
			Numerical	Percent
Panama City--Panama City Beach	162,060	173,890	11,830	7.3%
Bay (Part)	150,319	160,896	10,577	7.0%
Walton (Part)	11,741	12,994	1,253	10.7%
Pensacola--AL, FL	390,172	408,311	18,139	4.6%
Baldwin County, AL (Part)*	11,813	12,921	1,108	9.4%
Escambia (Part)	297,356	308,022	10,666	3.6%
Santa Rosa (Part)	81,003	87,368	6,365	7.9%
Perry	6,531	6,498	-33	-0.5%
Taylor (Part)	6,531	6,498	-33	-0.5%
Poinciana Southwest	16,966	18,664	1,698	10.0%
Polk (Part)	16,966	18,664	1,698	10.0%
Poinciana	53,267	59,619	6,352	11.9%
Osceola (Part)	34,001	38,425	4,424	13.0%
Polk (Part)	19,266	21,194	1,928	10.0%
Port Charlotte--North Port	199,998	216,796	16,798	8.4%
Charlotte (Part)	127,865	139,690	11,825	9.2%
DeSoto (Part)	1,214	1,250	36	2.9%
Sarasota (Part)	70,919	75,857	4,938	7.0%
Port St. Lucie	437,745	477,621	39,876	9.1%
Martin (Part)	136,304	140,103	3,799	2.8%
St. Lucie (Part)	301,441	337,518	36,077	12.0%
Quincy	8,541	8,657	116	1.4%
Gadsden (Part)	8,541	8,657	116	1.4%
Rainbow Springs	4,667	5,015	348	7.5%
Marion (Part)	4,667	5,015	348	7.5%
Sebring--Avon Park	63,297	65,267	1,970	3.1%
Highlands (Part)	63,297	65,267	1,970	3.1%
Spring Hill	169,050	178,473	9,423	5.6%
Hernando (Part)	143,261	150,442	7,181	5.0%
Pasco (Part)	25,789	28,031	2,242	8.7%
St. Augustine	91,786	105,849	14,063	15.3%
St. Johns (Part)	91,786	105,849	14,063	15.3%
St. James City	2,055	2,163	108	5.3%
Lee (Part)	2,055	2,163	108	5.3%
Starke	6,486	6,277	-209	-3.2%
Bradford (Part)	6,486	6,277	-209	-3.2%

U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Urban Areas	2020 Urban Area Population	2023 Urban Area Population	Population Change 2020 - 2023	
			Numerical	Percent
Sugarmill Woods	12,948	13,654	707	5.5%
<i>Citrus (Part)</i>	12,838	13,539	701	5.5%
<i>Hernando (Part)</i>	110	116	6	5.0%
Tallahassee	252,934	261,180	8,246	3.3%
<i>Leon (Part)</i>	252,934	261,180	8,246	3.3%
Tampa--St. Petersburg	2,783,045	2,913,856	130,811	4.7%
<i>Hillsborough (Part)</i>	1,405,808	1,484,555	78,747	5.6%
<i>Pasco (Part)</i>	419,957	456,469	36,512	8.7%
<i>Pinellas (Part)</i>	957,280	972,832	15,552	1.6%
The Villages--Lady Lake	161,736	184,246	22,510	13.9%
<i>Lake (Part)</i>	23,949	25,870	1,921	8.0%
<i>Marion (Part)</i>	53,595	57,595	4,000	7.5%
<i>Sumter (Part)</i>	84,192	100,781	16,589	19.7%
Titusville	62,459	65,976	3,517	5.6%
<i>Brevard (Part)</i>	62,459	65,976	3,517	5.6%
Vero Beach--Sebastian	174,292	184,197	9,905	5.7%
<i>Brevard (Part)</i>	9,939	10,499	560	5.6%
<i>Indian River (Part)</i>	148,215	155,629	7,414	5.0%
<i>St. Lucie (Part)</i>	16,138	18,069	1,931	12.0%
Wauchula	9,790	9,913	123	1.3%
<i>Hardee (Part)</i>	9,790	9,913	123	1.3%
Wildwood	13,899	16,638	2,739	19.7%
<i>Sumter (Part)</i>	13,899	16,638	2,739	19.7%
Winter Haven	253,251	278,599	25,348	10.0%
<i>Polk (Part)</i>	253,251	278,599	25,348	10.0%
World Golf Village	19,679	22,694	3,015	15.3%
<i>St. Johns (Part)</i>	19,679	22,694	3,015	15.3%
Zephyrhills	55,133	59,926	4,793	8.7%
<i>Pasco (Part)</i>	55,133	59,926	4,793	8.7%
Florida**	19,714,806	20,703,562	988,756	5.0%

NOTE:

* 2023 Population estimate for Baldwin County, AL was obtained from U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division

** Total urban area population in Florida does not include urban area population in Baldwin County, Alabama

SOURCES:

The U.S. Census Bureau

University of Florida, Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR)



**POLICY BOARD MEETING
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

MEETING DATE: May 12, 2025	DUE DATE: May 5, 2025	UPWP#: 5
WORDING: DRAFT FY26 – FY30 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)		
REQUESTED BY: FDOT	PREPARED BY: Margie Tamblyn / Beth Beltran	DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION: DRAFT FY26-FY30 TIP

BACKGROUND

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is the document that contains all Federal, State, and locally funded, and regionally significant transportation projects to be funded in Martin County during the next five fiscal years. This document is updated annually and is based on the FDOT District Four Tentative Work Program that was approved by the MPO Board on December 16, 2024. The Final FY26 – FY30 TIP is scheduled to be adopted by the MPO Board at the June 16, 2025 meeting. To provide 45 days for public review and comment, beginning May 2, 2025, the Draft TIP will be available for public review, both on the MPO website and in hard copy format at local libraries and in the County Administration Building lobby.

ISSUES

At the May 2025 Policy Board Meeting, MPO staff will present the DRAFT FY26 – FY30 TIP. The DRAFT TIP is missing the FY25 Capital Improvement Plans (CIPs) from the Town of Sewall's Point and the Village of Indiantown. Those items will be added to the Final Draft TIP once the Martin MPO receives the CIPs. The final draft TIP will return to the Policy Board in June 2025.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

- Motion to approve the DRAFT FY26 – FY30 TIP as presented.
- Provide comments on the DRAFT FY26 – FY30 TIP.

FISCAL IMPACT

The Transportation Improvement Program is based upon the Draft Tentative Work Program approved at the December 16, 2024, Policy Board Meeting. It is the vehicle through which State and Federal transportation funds are authorized to be released for Martin County transportation projects.

APPROVAL

MPO

ATTACHMENTS

DRAFT FY26 – 30 Transportation Improvement Program



FY26 - FY30 Draft Transportation Improvement Program



FY26 – FY30

Transportation Improvement Program

Adopted by the Martin MPO Board on June 16, 2025

ENDORSEMENT

Sarah Heard
MPO Board Chair

The Transportation Improvement Program of the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization has been developed consistent with Federal regulations 23 U.S.C. 134(h) and CFR 450 and Florida Statute 339.175(8) in cooperation with the Florida Department of Transportation, and the local member agencies and public transit operators in the Martin MPO Planning Area.

Martin MPO Board

Martin County

Commissioner Sarah Heard, Chair
Commissioner Edward Ciampi, Vice Chair
Commissioner Stacey Hetherington
Commissioner Eileen Vargas
Commissioner J. Blake Capps

Town of Sewall's Point

Commissioner Kaija Mayfield

City of Stuart

Commissioner Christopher Collins
Commissioner Sean Reed

Village of Indiantown

Council Member Carmine Dipaolo

REPORT DOCUMENTATION

TITLE

Martin MPO FY26 – FY30
Transportation Improvement Program

REPORT DATE

June 2025

AUTHORS

MPO Staff

ORGANIZATION NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER

Martin MPO
3481 SE Willoughby Boulevard
Suite 101, Stuart, FL 34994
772-221-1498
www.martinmpo.com

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The preparation of this report has been funded in part through grants from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, U. S. Department of Transportation (USDOT), under the Metropolitan Planning Program of the U.S. Code (Title 23, Section 104(f)). The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) contains all transportation-related projects to be funded by Title 23 and Title 49 funds. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the USDOT.

Public participation is solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability, or family status. Persons with questions or concerns about nondiscrimination, or who require special accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act or language translation services (free of charge) should contact Ricardo Vazquez, Principal Planner (Title VI/Non- discrimination Contact) at (772) 223-7983 or rvazquez@martin.fl.us. Hearing-impaired individuals are requested to telephone the Florida Relay System at #711.

CERTIFICATION

FHWA/ FTA CERTIFICATION

Federal Law requires the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to jointly certify the transportation planning processes of Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) at least every four years (a TMA is an urbanized area, as defined by the US Census, with a population over 200,000).¹ The most recent quadrennial certification site visit was conducted in March 2021, and the next anticipated quadrennial certification will occur before September 2025.

Pursuant to 23 CFR 450.328(a), the FHWA/FTA must jointly find that each metropolitan TIP is based on a “3-C” (continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative) planning process by the MPO, State Department of Transportation, and transit service provider(s). The Martin MPO participated in a State Certification process that is conducted annually by FDOT District Four. The results from the most recent State Certification is available on the Martin MPO website (www.martinmpo.com) No recommendations or corrective actions were issued by FDOT as part of the most recent State Certification.

¹ Federal Highway Administration Florida Division & Federal Transit Administration Region 4. Certification Report: Port St. Lucie Transportation Management Area – St. Lucie Transportation Planning Organization & Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization. March 2009.

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
MPO JOINT CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

525-010-05c
POLICY PLANNING
02/18

Pursuant to the requirements of 23 U.S.C. 134(k)(5) and 23 CFR 450.334(a), the Department and the MPO have performed a review of the certification status of the metropolitan transportation planning process for the Martin MPO with respect to the requirements of:

1. 23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 5303;
2. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 C.F.R. Part 21
3. 49 U.S.C. 5332 prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
4. Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act and 49 C.F.R. Part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
5. 23 C.F.R. Part 230 regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
6. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and the regulations found in 49 C.F.R. Parts 27, 37, and 38;
7. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101) prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
8. Section 324 of 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination on the basis of gender; and
9. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 C.F.R. Part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Included in this certification package is a summary of noteworthy achievements by the MPO, attachments associated with these achievements, and (if applicable) a list of any recommendations and/or corrective actions. The contents of this Joint Certification Package have been reviewed by the MPO and accurately reflect the results of the joint certification review meeting held on 02/03/2020.

Based on a joint review and evaluation, the Florida Department of Transportation and the Martin MPO recommend that the Metropolitan Planning Process for the Martin MPO be certified.

Name: Steve C. Braun, P.E.
Title: District Secretary (or designee)

Date

Name: Sarah Heard
Title: MPO Chair (or designee)

Date

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic	CTPP	Census Transportation Planning Program
AAR	Administrative Approval Request	CUTR	Center for Urban Transportation Research
AARP	American Association of Retired Persons	DBE	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise
AASHTO ..	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials	DOPA	Designated Official Planning Agency
ACES	Automated/Connected/Electric/Shared-use	E+C	Existing Plus Committed
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act	EJ	Environmental Justice
AOR	Annual Operating Report	EO	Executive Order
ARC	Advocates for the Rights of Challenged	EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
BDB	Business Development Board	ETAT	Environmental Technical Advisory Team
BEBR	Bureau of Economic and Business Research	ETDM	Efficient Transportation Decision Making
BOCC	Board of County Commissioners	FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
BPAC	Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee	FAC	Florida Administrative Code
BPSAP	Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Action Plan	FAST	Fixing America's Surface Transportation
CAC	Citizens Advisory Committee	FCTS	Florida Coordinated Transportation System
CDC	Center for Disease Control	FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
CDP	Census Designated Place	FEC	Florida East Coast (Railway)
CEI	Construction Engineering and Inspection	FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
CFP	Cost Feasible Plan	FPTA	Florida Public Transportation Association
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	FS	Florida Statutes
CIP	Capital Improvement Program	FSUTMS .	Florida Standard Urban Transportation Model Structure
CMP	Congestion Management Process	FTA	Federal Transit Administration
CMS	Congestion Management System	FTAC	Freight Transportation Advisory Committee
CPTHSTP	Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan	FTP	Florida Transportation Plan
CR	County Road	FY	Fiscal Year
CRA	Community Redevelopment Area	GIS	Geographic Information System
CTC	Community Transportation Coordinator	GIS-TM	Geographical Information System - Transportation Modeling
CTD	Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged	GOS	Goals, Objectives, and Strategies
		GUI	Graphic User Interface

HOA Homeowners Association
HPMS Highway Performance Monitoring System
ICWW Intracoastal Waterway
ISTEA Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act
ITS Intelligent Transportation System
JPA Joint Participation Agreement
LCB-TD ... Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged
LCI Livable Communities Initiative
LEP Limited English Proficiency
LGCP Local Government Comprehensive Plan
LOGT Local Option Gas Tax
LOPP List of Project Priorities
LOS Level of Service
LRTP Long Range Transportation Plan
MAP-21.... Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act
MCPT Martin County Public Transit
MCTV Martin County Television
MMUNP ... Multimodal Unfunded Needs Plan
MOE Measure of Effectiveness
MPA Metropolitan Planning Area
MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization
MPOAC ... MPO Advisory Council
MTP Metropolitan Transportation Plan
NAAQS.... National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAC Neighborhood Advisory Committee
NEPA National Environmental Policy Act
OA Other Arterials
OMD Office of Modal Development
PEA Planning Emphasis Areas
PIP Public Involvement Plan
POP Program of Projects
PPP Public Participation Plan
RFP Request for Proposal
RFS Request for Service
RLRTP Regional Long Range Transportation Plan
RTTAC.... Regional Transportation Technical Advisory Committee
SAFETEA-LU.....Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
SEE Sociocultural Effects Evaluation

SEFTC Southeast Florida Transportation Council
SFRTA South Florida Regional Transportation Authority
SFY State Fiscal Year
SHSP Strategic Highway Safety Plan
SIS Strategic Intermodal System
SOV Single Occupancy Vehicle
SR State Road
STIP State Transportation Improvement Program
STRA-21 . Surface Transportation Reauthorization Act of 2021
SUN Shared-Use Nonmotorized
TAC Technical Advisory Committee
TAP Transportation Alternatives Program
TAZ Traffic Analysis Zone
TCQSM ... Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual
TCRPC Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council
TCRPM ... Treasure Coast Regional Planning Model
TCSP Transportation and Community and System Preservation (Grant)
TCTAC Treasure Coast Technical Advisory Committee
TCTC Treasure Coast Transportation Council
TD Transportation Disadvantaged
TDM Transportation Demand Management
TDP Transit Development Plan
TDSP Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan
TEA-21.... Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century
TIMAS Transportation Inventory Management and Analysis
TIP Transportation Improvement Program
Title VI Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
TMA Transportation Management Area
TPA Transportation Planning Agency
TPO Transportation Planning Organization
TRIP Transportation Regional Incentive Program
ULAM Urban Land use Allocation Model
UPWP Unified Planning Work Program
USC United States Code
USDOT United States Department of Transportation
UZA Urbanized Area

FEDERAL AND STATE FUND CODES

ACIM.....Advance Construction Interstate Maintenance
ACNH Advance Construction National Highway
ACNP..... Advance Construction Bridge Replacement
ACSA.....Advance Construction Surface Transportation Program – Any Area
BA..... Donor Bonus - any area Federal
BL.....Donor Bonus - areas <200K population (federal)
BNCA Bonds - controlled access road (state)
BNDS..... Bonds - state roads (state)
BRP State Bridge Replacement
BRRP..... State Bridge Replacement and Repair
BRT Federal Bridge Replacement – on Federal system
BRTZ.....Federal Bridge Replacement - off Federal system
CIGP County Incentive Grant Program
CM Congestion Mitigation
D Unrestricted state primary funds
DDR District Dedicated Revenue (state)
DFTA Federal Pass Through Dollars from FTA
DIH..... State in-house product support
DIM State intermodal development
DITS..... Statewide Intelligent Transportation System
DOH..... State primary overhead
DPTO..... State PTO
DS..... State primary highways and public transit
DSL.....Local Government Cooperative Assistance Program
DU..... State primary funds/federal reimbursement
DWS..... Weigh Stations (state)
EB..... Equity Bonus
FCO State-fixed capital outlay
FHPP Federal High Priority Projects
FRA Federal Railroad Administration
FTAT..... FHWA Transfer to FTA
GFSA..... General Funds – Any Area
GMR General Revenue for SIS
GRSC General Revenue for SCOP
HPR Highway Planning Research (federal)
HSP Highway Safety Program
LF or LFF. Local funds
LFR..... Local funds - reimbursement from FDOT

MABP..... Minimum Allocation - Bridges (non- BRT)
MGBP Minimum Allocation – Bridges Supplement
ML.....Minimum allocation - areas < 200K population (federal)
NH National Highway (federal)
NHAC..... NH (AC/ Regular)
NHIR.....FIHS from NH Federal Funds
NHS.....National Highway Safety (federal)
NHTS National Highway Traffic Safety (federal)
PORT Seaport Trust Fund
PKCA.....Turnpike - controlled access
PKYR.....Turnpike Rehabilitation
PKYI.....Turnpike Improvement
PL..... Metropolitan Planning
PLH..... Public Lands Highway
P01A Turnpike Bond Construction
IM Interstate maintenance
MA..... Minimum allocation - any area (federal)
SA Surface Transportation Program (STP) - any area (Federal)
SABR STP, Bridges
SCED.....Small County Outreach Program
SCOP Small County Outreach Program
SCWR.....Small County Outreach Program
SE STP - enhancement (federal)
SH STP - hazard elimination (federal)
SL..... STP - areas less than 200K population
SN STP - mandatory non-urban (federal)
SP STP - RR protective devices (federal)
SR STP - RR hazard elimination (federal)
SS STP - Safety (federal)
STP Surface Transportation Program
SU STP, Urban Areas greater than 200K
TALT..... Transportation Alternatives – Any Area
TALU..... Transportation Alternatives > 200k
TDD..... Transportation Disadvantaged Discretionary
TDTF Transportation Disadvantaged Trust Fund
TLWR..... Trail Network
TRWR.....Transportation Regional Incentive Program
UMXX..... Minimum allocation funds
XA STP (consolidated BA, MA, and SA funds)
XL..... STP (consolidated BL, ML, and SL funds)
XU STP (consolidated BU, MU, and SU funds)

PHASE OF CONSTRUCTION AND COST ALLOCATION CODES

ADM Administration Other Agency
CAP Capital Grant
CST Construction, CEI (Construction, engineering, inspection), Post Design
DSB Design Build
ENV Environmental
INC Construction Incentive
LAR Local Agency Reimbursement
MNT Maintenance
MSC Miscellaneous
OPS Operations/Grant Services
PDE Project Development & Environment
PE Preliminary Engineering
PLN Planning
RELOC Right of Way Relocation
ROW Right of Way Support
ROW LN... Right of Way Land
R/R CST...Railroad construction
RRU Railroad/Utilities Construction
UTIL Utility Coordination

MARTIN MPO
FY26 – FY30 TIP
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENDORSEMENT	i
MARTIN MPO BOARD	ii
REPORT DOCUMENTATION	ii
CERTIFICATIONS	
FHWA/FTA CERTIFICATION	iii
JOINT CERTIFICATION	iv
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	v
FEDERAL AND STATE FUNDED CODES	vii
PHASE OF CONSTRUCTION AND COST ALLOCATION CODES	viii
 1.0 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 MPO OVERVIEW	1
1.2 TIP PURPOSE	1
1.3 ORGANIZATION	1
1.4 FULL PROJECT COSTS	2
1.5 TIP AMENDMENTS AND MODIFICATIONS	3
1.6 MAJOR PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS	3
1.7 IMPLEMENTED PROJECTS	4
 2.0 PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS	
2.1 FINANCIAL PLAN	5
2.2 PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS	8
2.3 PROJECT PRIORITY STATEMENT	8
2.4 CHANGES TO PROJECT PRIORITIES	9
2.5 LIST OF PROJECT PRIORITIES	10
2.6 MPO 2045 LRTP GOALS	13
2.7 PERFORMANCE MEASURES	14
2.8 CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL AND LOCAL PLANS	35
2.9 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS	36
 3.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	
3.1 CONSISTENCY WITH PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN	37
3.2 TIMELINE OF EFFORTS	38

3.3 PUBLIC COMMENTS & RESPONSE	39
--------------------------------------	----

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. Allocation of Project Costs by Funding Source and Year	6
TABLE 2. List of Project Priorities	10
TABLE 3. List of Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Priorities	12
TABLE 4. List of Transit Priorities	12
TABLE 5. Safety Performance Targets	14
TABLE 6. Pavement, Bridge & System Performance Targets	21
TABLE 7. System Performance and Freight Performance Targets.....	25
TABLE 8. FTA Transit Asset Management Measures	27
TABLE 9. Asset Management Targets.....	29
TABLE 10. Transit Safety Performance Targets	32
TABLE 11. Timeline of Public Involvement Efforts.....	36
TABLE 12. Transportation Disadvantaged Program	63

APPENDICES

PROJECT FUNDING SUMMARIES	40
LOCAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLANS	53
FEDERALLY OBLIGATED PROJECTS.....	64
MPO PLANNING AREA MAP	74
MARTIN COUNTY PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN (PTASP).....	75
MARTIN COUNTY PUBLIC TRANSIT TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN (TAMP).....	103
PROJECT SUMMARY SHEETS	
A – Highway.....	139
B – Transit.....	183
C – Aviation.....	185
D – Turnpike.....	188
E – Districtwide	190

INDEX

PROJECT INDEX BY NUMBER	193
-------------------------------	-----

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 MPO OVERVIEW

Established in 1993, the Martin MPO is governed by a Policy Board and serves the residents of Martin County. Planning tasks of the Martin MPO include regional coordination, bicycle and pedestrian planning, mobility management, demographic research, air quality planning, and public involvement processes and updates. As an agency, the Martin MPO also serves its primary function as the coordinator for multi-modal transportation project planning and funding in and through the county with various state agencies responsible for transportation and land use plans as well as adjacent MPOs. On specific regional issues, the Martin MPO partners with the St. Lucie TPO, the Indian River County MPO, the Palm Beach TPA, and the Heartland TPO.

1.2 TIP PURPOSE

The purpose of this Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is to provide a comprehensive and prioritized listing of transportation projects for FY26-FY30 that is consistent with the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). It contains all transportation-related projects to be funded by Title 23 and Title 49 funds and regionally significant transportation projects planned for the upcoming five years and is updated annually with funding priority given to the highest-ranked projects from the LRTP Cost Feasible Plan.

The TIP is based on funding data contained within the FDOT Tentative Work Program (also known as the Public Hearing Report), which is developed annually and made public by FDOT prior to the development of the TIP. This report is the result of FDOT working with local agencies to establish priorities for scheduling improvements to the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), including freight and Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) strategies, Federal interstate highway system, local roadways and MPO priorities concerning transit, pedestrian and bicycle friendly environments and transportation demand management programs.

1.3 ORGANIZATION

Section 1.0 contains a brief overview of the MPO and the purpose of the TIP. It also contains a list of major projects that are considered top priorities. Section 2.0 contains specific items that were considered in the development of this TIP. These items include the Financial Plan, List of Project Priorities, the MPO's overall goals as described within the 2045 LRTP and Performance Measures to meet Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requirements.

Section 3.0 contains a list of efforts the MPO plans to make in order to obtain public input for the development and approval of the TIP. It will also contain a brief summary of the public comments received and the MPO's response to them. The Appendices contain a list of projects by funding category, the project sheets programmed in Martin County, the Local Capital Improvement Plans and FY24 Federal Obligated Projects. The detailed project sections are based on the FDOT District Four Tentative Work Program as imported on April 9, 2025. Depending on many factors, these lists of projects may potentially change before July 1, 2025. Once the MPO receives a final Work Program from FDOT District Four, this section may be modified.

Because the project portion of the TIP is generated through the Interactive TIP Tool, there can be several variations of the project report. For efficiency and reduction of printed pages, the adopted version shows project details with maps for only the Highway projects. Sections for transit, aviation, Turnpike, and Districtwide list are summarized with project details without location maps. All project details include a summary of costs and revenues by funding source. Because only funded projects and phases are listed in the FDOT District Four Work Program, the costs and revenues are assumed to be equal, demonstrating financial constraint.

1.4 FULL PROJECT COSTS

The normal project production sequence is to have a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) phase, a Design (PE) phase, a Right of Way (ROW) phase and a Construction (CST) phase. Some projects may not have a ROW phase, if land is not needed to complete the project. Costs on the TIP pages for projects both on and off the SIS will have historical costs and five years of the current TIP, which may or may not be the total project cost. If there is no CST phase on the TIP page, then the entry will probably not be reflective of the total project cost. For some projects, such as resurfacing, safety or operational project, there may not be a total cost provided but rather additional details on that program.

The SIS is a network of high-priority transportation facilities that includes the State's largest and most significant commercial service airports, spaceport, deep water seaports, freight rail terminals, passenger rail and intercity bus terminals, rail corridors, waterways, and highways.

For costs beyond the ten-year window, access to the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) is provided. The LRTP reference on the TIP page provides the information necessary to locate the full project costs and/or additional details regarding the project in the LRTP. If there is no LRTP reference in the TIP, full project costs are provided in the TIP. The link to the Martin LRTP is martinmpo.com/wp-content/uploads/Martin-MPO-2045-Long-Range-Transportation-Plan.pdf

1.5 TIP AMENDMENTS AND MODIFICATIONS

Once the TIP is adopted, there are times that it must be modified or amended because the MPO does not have direct control of funding resources. This can be accomplished by amendment or administrative modification. TIP Amendments are revisions that involve a major change, including an added or deleted project, a significant change to project cost (an increase of 20% and greater than \$2 million), or a major change to a project scope. Amendments require a review period to gather public comments. During this review and comment period, the TIP will be brought before the MPO Advisory Committees for review, and then before the MPO Policy Board for approval. TIP Administrative modifications are revisions that include minor changes to project costs, funding sources, and project initiation dates. Administrative modifications do not require public review, but staff will present them to the Advisory Committees whenever feasible. Administrative modifications require MPO Policy Board approval. The Martin MPO coordinates all TIP Amendments and Administrative Modifications with FDOT District Four.

1.6 MAJOR PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

The major multi-modal projects, prioritized by the Martin MPO Policy Board and included in the FDOT Tentative Work Program for federal and state funding, are described below.

- **FM# 419669-3** – Willoughby Blvd from SR-714/Monterey Road to SR-5/US-1: New two-lane road.
Phase: PD&E and P.E.
- **FM# 422681-5** – I-95 from High Meadows to Martin/St. Lucie County Line: Add lanes and reconstruct.
Phase: PD&E
- **FM# 441699-1** – CR-713/High Meadow Ave from I-95 to CR-714/Martin Hwy: Add lanes and reconstruct.
Phase: PDE, P.E., and ROW
- **FM# 441700-1** – SE Cove Road from SR-76/Kanner Highway to SR-5/US-1: Add lanes and reconstruct.
Phase: PD&E, P.E., and ROW
- **FM# 446257-1** – US-1 @ Kanner Highway: Southbound Right Turn Lane onto Kanner Hwy, includes Triple Left Turn lanes onto US-1 Northbound. Phase: P.E., Right of Way, and Construction
- **FM# 444415-1** – SR-5/US-1 at Baker Rd: Intersection Improvement. Phase: P.E. and Construction

- **FM# 453333-2** – SR-710 from Martin/Okeechobee County Line to FPL Power Plant Access Road: Add lanes and reconstruct. Phase: P.E., ROW, and Railroad/Utilities
- **FM# 453333-4** – SR-710/Warfield Blvd from FPL Power Plant Access Road to SW Van Buren Ave: Add lanes and reconstruct. Phase: P.E. and ROW.
- **FM # 447555-1** – SR-710/SW Warfield Blvd at CR-714/SW Martin Highway: Realignment of roadway to flatten curve of SR-714 before intersection at SR-710. Phase: P.E., ROW, Railroad & Utilities, Construction, and Environmental.
- **FM# 441636-2** – Monterey Rd at FEC Railroad: Grade Separation. Phase: P.E., ROW, and Construction
- **FM# 446333-1** – Turnpike/SR-91 from SW Martin Hwy to St. Lucie County Line: Add lanes and reconstruct. Phase: P.E., ROW, and Construction

1.7 IMPLEMENTED PROJECTS

The following major projects were implemented from the last two TIP cycles:

FM# 435139-2: CR-707 SE Beach Rd from Palm Beach/Martin County Line to CR-708 Bridge Road - Resurfacing (3/2023)

FM# 438346-2: SE Ocean Blvd from SE Hospital to SE Palm Beach Rd - Sidewalk (9/2023)

FM# 441701-1: SE Cove Road from SR-5/US-1 to Dixie Highway (completed 6/2024)

FM# 446072-1: Salerno Road Sidewalk from SE Willoughby to Southeast Cable Drive (completed 6/2024)

FM# 436870-1: SR-714/SW Martin Highway from SW Citrus Boulevard to SW Martin Downs Boulevard - Widening Project (completed 3/2025)

2.0 PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

2.1 FINANCIAL PLAN

In accordance with Federal Legislation [23 C.F.R. 450.326(k)], the MPO must demonstrate that the TIP is financially constrained. This means that the estimated expenses (or project costs) are consistent with the anticipated revenues for each funding source. Only those projects for which a revenue source has been identified are shown in the TIP to ensure a balance between the costs and revenues.



The TIP must include a financial plan that demonstrates how the approved TIP can be implemented, indicates resources from public and private sources that are reasonably expected to be made available to carry out the TIP, and recommends any additional financing strategies for needed projects and programs. However, because the MPO does not have direct control of funding resources, Administrative Modifications or Amendments may have to be made to the TIP during the fiscal year.

The Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is financially constrained for each year. Federally funded projects identified in the TIP can be implemented using current proposed revenue sources based on the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Tentative Work Program and locally dedicated transportation revenues. All projects funded by FDOT with Federal or non-Federal dollars are included in a balanced 36-month forecast of cash and expenditures and a five-year finance plan supporting the FDOT Work Program. All local government projects (non-Federally funded) that are included in the TIP are part of member local government's capital improvement programs. The following table provides a summary of total project costs by Federal, State and local funding codes by fiscal year. Note that all project costs are shown in Year of Expenditure (YOE) dollars, meaning the costs reflect the adjusted value of the work at the time the funds will be expended on the project.




Table 1 – Allocation of Project Costs by Funding Sources and Year

Funding Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
Federal	\$19,955,979	\$10,907,312	\$14,682,866	\$13,973,246	\$12,429,402	\$71,948,805
Local	\$1,016,996	\$3,298,441	\$1,555,264	\$1,255,474	\$1,281,590	\$8,407,765
R/W and Bridge Bonds	\$0	\$0	\$14,842,796	\$0	\$0	\$14,842,796
State 100%	\$45,251,423	\$45,480,234	\$42,552,856	\$39,236,840	\$76,216,866	\$248,738,219
Toll/Turnpike	\$2,487,200	\$15,994,766	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$18,581,966
Total	\$68,711,598	\$75,680,753	\$73,633,782	\$54,565,560	\$89,927,858	\$362,519,551

Summary by Funding Sources and Fiscal Year



Summary by Funding Sources and Fiscal Year



2.2 PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS

Per 23 CFR 450.332(c), federally funded projects are selected by the MPO in conjunction with the development of the FDOT Tentative Work Program and through the cooperation of the public transit operator who provides the MPO with estimates of available federal and state funds in order for the MPO to develop its financial plan.

In addition, the 2045 LRTP plays a major role in identifying projects for selection. Contained within the LRTP is an evaluation of existing conditions, an evaluation of projected conditions, the identification of policy and project needs, and a determination of the cost feasibility of implementing these projects.

Both the FDOT Tentative Work Program and the 2045 LRTP provide the basis for establishing project priorities consistent with the planning factors considered in their annual selection, and subsequent development of the TIP under the requirements outlined in Federal legislation.

2.3 PROJECT PRIORITY STATEMENT

As required under 339.175 (8) (b) F.S., the annual list of project priorities was developed based on criteria that included the:

- Approved 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP);
- Strategic Intermodal System Plan (SIS);
- Results of the transportation management systems; and
- MPO's public involvement procedures.

Annually MPO staff meet with FDOT staff and local government staff to discuss project priorities. During this process, priorities are identified based on those of the previous year and the priorities listed in the Cost Feasible Plan of the current LRTP. This new list of priorities is discussed with the MPO Advisory Committees and then approved by the MPO Board. These priorities are then submitted to FDOT and used to program projects accordingly.

Surface Transportation Program (STP) Priorities

The Surface Transportation Program (STP) provides flexible funding that may be used by States and localities for projects to preserve and improve the conditions and performance on any Federal-aid highway, bridge and tunnel projects on any public road, pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, and transit capital projects.

2.4 CHANGES TO PROJECT PRIORITIES

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.326(n)(1), MPOs are required to explain any changes in priorities from previous TIPs.

Changes from last year's TIP were made based on funding availability and construction. The Transportation Alternatives Priorities have been updated to reflect recent Transportation Alternatives Program applications, as well as previously funded projects. The Public Transit Priorities table reflects funding estimates.

The FY26 – FY30 LOPP has maintained the widening of SR-710 as the MPO's #1 priority due to the serious injury crashes and fatalities that have occurred along this corridor over the years. The SR-710 widening project limits were updated, which extends the project to SW Van Buren Ave from the Martin/Okeechobee County Line. SR-710 at CR-609/SW Allapattah Rd. intersection improvements moved up to Priority #7 from being Priority #13. Mid-block pedestrian crosswalks along Monterey Rd. and East Ocean Blvd moved down to Priority #11 from Priority #8. Willoughby Blvd Extension moved down two spots and is sitting on the list at Priority #12. The Widening Project for CR-713/High Meadows Ave. from I-95 to CR-714/Martin Hwy has moved down two priorities and is the MPO's Priority #13. The Resurfacing of Dixie Hwy from Cove Rd. to Jefferson St. has moved down to #14 from #12. CR-609 resurfacing project from SR-710 to 2,800 feet north of Minute Maid Rd. is #16, moving down from #13. The resurfacing of CR-609 from approximately three miles north of Minute Maid Road. to the St. Lucie County Line is Priority #17, moving down 3 spaces. Mitigation along N Sewall's Point Rd. from E Ocean Blvd to NE Palmer St. has moved down two spaces and is #18. The addition of right turn lanes and a new traffic signal at Kanner Hwy and SW South River Drive has moved down the list and is the MPO's Priority #19.

Three new projects were added to the FY26-FY30 LOPP, which include a resurfacing project on SE Commerce Ave. from SE Indian St. to SE Salerno Rd. (Priority #8), an intersection reconstruction project at US-1 and SW Palm City Rd (Priority #9), and complete streets improvements along SW Palm City Rd from Monterey Rd to US-1 (Priority #10).

2.5 LIST OF PROJECT PRIORITIES

The projects in the following tables have been formally reviewed by the MPO Citizen's Advisory Committee, Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, and Technical Advisory Committee, and were approved by the MPO Policy Board at its meeting on June 17, 2024.

Table 2
FY26 – FY30 - List of Project Priorities

FY26 Rank	Facility	Segment Limits		Project Description	2045 L RTP Page	Prev. Rank
		From	To			
1	SR-710	SW Van Buren Ave	Martin/ Okeechobee County Line	Widen from 2-lanes to 4-lanes	76	1
2	SE Cove Rd.	SR-76/ Kanner Hwy.	US-1	Widen from 2-lanes to 4-lanes including bike lanes and shared use pathway	69	2
3	CR-714	Realignment		Flatten curve of CR-714 before intersection at SR-710	Appx. G, pg. 1	3
4	Monterey Rd.	At FEC Railroad		Railroad/roadway grade separation	Appx. H, pg. 5	4
5	NW Alice St.	FEC Crossing		Pedestrian facilities/realign roadway with NW Wright Blvd.	Appx. H, pg. 11	9
6	CR-708/ SE Bridge Rd.	Bascule Bridge		Bridge Replacement	132	5

Table 2 – Continued

7	SR-710	At CR-609/SW Allapattah Rd.		Intersection improvements (Signal and Turn Lanes)	128, 132	13
8	SE Commerce Ave.	SE Indian St.	SE Salerno Rd.	Roadway leveling, resurfacing, shoulder widening, drainage improvements, pedestrian crosswalks	128, 132	
9	US-1	At SW Palm City Rd.		Intersection reconstruction/Feasibility Study-Alternative 5	128, 132	
10	SW Palm City Rd.	Monterey Rd.	US-1	Complete Streets improvements	128, 132	
11	Monterey Rd. & East Ocean Blvd.	Kingswood Ter.	St. Lucie Blvd.	Mid-block pedestrian crosswalks	Appx. H, pg. 11	8
12	Willoughby Blvd. Extension	Monterey Rd.	US-1	New 2-lane road with bike lanes and sidewalks/shared use pathways	69	10
13	CR-713/High Meadow Ave.	I-95	CR-714/ Martin Hwy.	Widen from 2 lanes to 4 lanes with shared-use pathway	69	11
14	Dixie Hwy.	Cove Rd.	Jefferson St.	Resurfacing/Complete Street improvements/CEI	84	12
15	SW Citrus Blvd.	SW Hemingway Ter.	SR-710	Resurfacing/shoulder widening and bike lanes/safety improvements	128, 132	15
16	CR-609/ SW Allapattah Rd.	SR-710	2,800 feet north of Minute Maid Rd.	Resurfacing/southbound left turn lane/shoulder widening/CEI	128, 132	13

Table 2 – Continued

17	CR-609/ SW Allapattah Rd.	Approx. 3 miles North of Minute Maid Rd.	St. Lucie County Line	Resurfacing/shoulder widening/safety improvements	128, 132	14
18	N Sewall's Point Rd.	East Ocean Blvd.	NE Palmer St.	Mitigate for sea level rise impact	87	16
19	SR-76/ Kanner Hwy.	At SW South River Dr.		New southbound right turn lane at South River Dr. & traffic signal	80	6

Table 3
FY26 – FY30
List of Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Priorities

Project Description	2026	2027	2028	2029	Comments
SE Washington St. Sidewalks	\$731,024				Funded
S Dixie Highway Improvements		\$770,407			Funded
SW Bull Dog Way Sidewalks	\$5,000		\$1,173,062		Funded
Fisherman's Cove Sidewalks					Pending

Table 4
FY26 – FY30
List of Public Transit Priorities

Facility/ Equipment	Project Location/Description	Estimated Amount	Funding Source	2045 LRTP or TDP Page #	Project Status/Notes
Bus Replacement / Expansion	Rolling Stock	\$146,920	\$5339	LRTP -pg. 74	Amount of funds programmed is based on anticipated procurements and estimated costs and will change year to year.
Operating	Operating Assistance	\$812,370	\$5307	LRTP -pg. 74	
Security	1% Security	\$18,104	\$5307	LRTP -pg. 74	
Safety	.75% Safety	\$13,578	\$5307	LRTP -pg. 74	

2.6 MPO 2045 LRTP GOALS

The 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) for the Martin MPO is an analysis of the current and projected conditions in the region that will impact the transportation network. It contains an evaluated list of transportation improvements that will be necessary to maintain an adequate level of mobility and to accommodate anticipated population growth. The goals contained in the LRTP guide the transportation planning process in the MPO Planning Area and help to establish project priorities for the TIP.

- **2045 LRTP Goal 1:** An efficient multimodal transportation system that supports economic growth and enhances the quality of life.
- **2045 LRTP Goal 2:** A safe multimodal transportation system that meets the needs of all the users.
- **2045 LRTP Goal 3:** Preserve natural environment and promote equity and healthy communities.
- **2045 LRTP Goal 4:** A transportation system with an ability to harness changes in the future.
- **2045 LRTP Goal 5:** A transportation system that reflects the community's needs and desires.

2.7 Performance Measures

Transportation Performance Management (TPM) is a strategic approach to connect transportation investment and policy decisions to help achieve performance goals. Performance measures are quantitative expressions used to evaluate progress toward goals. Performance targets are quantifiable levels of performance to be achieved within a period. Federal transportation law requires state departments of transportation (DOT), MPOs, and public transportation providers to conduct performance-based planning by tracking performance and establishing data-driven targets to assess progress toward achieving goals. Performance-based planning supports the efficient investment of transportation funds by increasing accountability, providing transparency, and linking investment decisions to key outcomes related to seven national goals established by the U.S. Congress:

- Improving Safety
- Maintaining Infrastructure Condition
- Reducing Traffic Congestion
- Improving the Efficiency of the System and Freight Movement
- Protecting the Environment
- Reducing Delays in Project Delivery

Federal law requires the FDOT, the MPOs, and public transportation providers to coordinate when selecting performance targets. FDOT and the MPOAC developed the TPM Consensus Planning Document to describe how these agencies will cooperatively develop and share information on transportation performance management and target setting.

Highway Safety Measures (PM1)

The first of FHWA's performance management rules establishes measures to assess fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. The rule requires state DOTs and MPOs to annually establish targets and report performance and progress toward targets to FHWA for the following safety-related performance measures:

1. Number of Fatalities
2. Rate of Fatalities per 100 million Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)
3. Number of Serious Injuries
4. Rate of Serious Injuries per 100 million VMT
5. Number of Nonmotorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries

Statewide Targets

Safety performance measure targets are required to be adopted annually. In August of each calendar year, FDOT reports targets to FHWA for the following calendar year. On August 31, 2024, FDOT established statewide safety performance targets for calendar year 2025. Table 5 presents FDOT's statewide targets.

Table 5 – Statewide Safety Performance Targets

Performance Measure	Calendar Year 2025 Statewide Target
Number of fatalities	0
Rate of fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT)	0
Number of serious injuries	0
Rate of serious injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT)	0
Number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries	0

FDOT adopted a vision of zero traffic-related fatalities in 2012. This, in effect, became FDOT's target for zero traffic fatalities and quantified the policy set by Florida's Legislature more than 35 years ago (Section 334.046(2), Florida Statutes, emphasis added):

*"The mission of the Department of Transportation shall be to provide a **safe** statewide transportation system..."*

FDOT and Florida's traffic safety partners are committed to eliminating fatalities and serious injuries. As stated in the Safe System approach promoted by FHWA, the death or serious injury of any person is unacceptable. [The Florida Transportation Plan](#) (FTP), the state's long-range transportation plan, identifies eliminating transportation-related fatalities and serious injuries as the state's highest transportation priority. Therefore, FDOT established 0 as the only acceptable target for all five federal safety performance measures.

MPO Safety Targets

MPOs are required to establish safety targets annually within 180 days of when the FDOT established targets. MPOs establish targets by either agreeing to program projects supporting the statewide targets or developing their own quantitative targets for the MPO planning area.

The Martin MPO, along with FDOT and other traffic safety partners, shares a high concern about the unacceptable number of traffic fatalities, both statewide and nationally. As such, on February 24, 2025, the Martin MPO agreed to support FDOT's statewide safety performance targets for calendar year 2025, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the statewide targets. The safety initiatives within this TIP are intended to contribute toward achieving these targets.

FDOT Safety Planning and Programming

Florida's Strategic Highway Safety Plan

[Florida's Strategic Highway Safety Plan](#) (SHSP), published in March 2021, identifies strategies to achieve zero traffic deaths and serious injuries. The SHSP was updated in coordination with Florida's 27 MPOs, the MPOAC, and other statewide traffic safety partners. The SHSP development process included a review of safety-related goals, objectives, and strategies in MPO plans. The SHSP guides FDOT, MPOs, and other safety partners in addressing safety and defines a framework for implementation activities to be carried out throughout the state.

Florida's transportation safety partners have focused on reducing fatalities and serious injuries through the 4Es of engineering, education, enforcement, and emergency response. To achieve zero, FDOT and other safety partners will expand beyond addressing specific hazards and influencing individual behavior to reshape transportation systems and communities to create a safer environment for all travel. The updated SHSP calls on Florida to think more broadly and inclusively by addressing four additional topics, which are referred to as the 4Is: information intelligence, innovation, insight into communities, and investments and policies. The SHSP also embraces an integrated "Safe System" approach that involves designing and managing road infrastructure to keep the risk of a mistake low and to ensure that when a mistake leads to a crash, the impact on the human body does not result in a fatality or serious injury. The five Safe System elements together create a holistic approach with layers of protection: safer road users, safer vehicles, safer speeds, safer roads, and post-crash care.

The SHSP also expands the list of emphasis areas for Florida's safety programs to include six evolving emphasis areas, which are high-risk or high-impact crashes that are a subset of an existing emphasis area or emerging risks and new innovations, where safety implications are unknown. These evolving emphasis areas include work zones, drowsy and ill driving, rail grade crossings, roadway transit, micromobility, and connected and automated vehicles.

Florida's Highway Safety Improvement Program

While the FTP and the SHSP both highlight the statewide commitment to a vision of zero deaths, the Florida Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Annual Report documents statewide performance and progress toward that vision. It also lists all HSIP projects that were obligated during the reporting year and the relationship of each project to the SHSP.

As discussed above, in the 2024 HSIP Annual Report, FDOT reported calendar year 2025 statewide safety performance targets at "0" for each safety performance measure to reflect the vision of zero deaths. Annually, FHWA determines whether Florida has met the targets or performed better than the baseline for at least four of the five measures. If this does not occur, FDOT must submit an annual implementation plan with actions it will take to meet targets in the future.

On April 20, 2023, FHWA reported the results of its 2022 safety target assessment. FHWA concluded that Florida had not met or made significant progress toward its 2022 safety targets, noting that zero had not been achieved for any measure and that only three out of five measures (number of serious injuries, serious injury rate, and number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries) were better than the baseline. Subsequently, FDOT developed an HSIP Implementation Plan to highlight additional strategies it will undertake in support of the safety targets. [The HSIP Implementation Plan](#) was submitted with the HSIP Annual Report to FHWA on August 31, 2024.

Consistent with FHWA requirements, the HSIP Implementation Plan focuses specifically on the implementation of the HSIP as a core federal-aid highway program and documents the continued enhancements planned for Florida's HSIP to better leverage the benefits of this program. However, recognizing that FDOT already allocates all HSIP funding to safety programs - and building on the integrated approach that underscores FDOT's safety programs - the HSIP Implementation Plan also documents how additional FDOT and partner activities may contribute to progress toward zero. Building on the foundation of prior HSIP Implementation Plans, the 2024 HSIP Implementation Plan identifies the following key commitments:

- Improve partner coordination and align safety activities.

- Maximize HSIP infrastructure investments.
- Enhance safety data systems and analysis.
- Implement key safety countermeasures.
- Focus on safety marketing and education on target audiences.
- Capitalize on new and existing funding opportunities.

Florida conducts extensive safety data analysis to understand the state's traffic safety challenges and identify and implement successful safety solutions. Florida's transportation system is evaluated using location-specific analyses that evaluate locations where the number of crashes or crash rates are the highest and where fatalities and serious injuries are most prominent. These analyses are paired with additional systemic analyses to identify characteristics that contribute to certain crash types and prioritize countermeasures that can be deployed across the system as a whole. As countermeasures are implemented, Florida also employs predictive analyses to evaluate the performance of roadways (i.e., evaluating results of implemented crash modification factors against projected crash reduction factors).

FDOT's State Safety Office works closely with FDOT Districts and regional and local traffic safety partners to develop the annual HSIP updates. Historical, risk-based, and predictive safety analyses are conducted to identify appropriate proven countermeasures to reduce fatalities and serious injuries associated with Florida's SHSP emphasis areas, resulting in a list of projects that reflect the greatest needs and are anticipated to achieve the highest benefit. While these projects and the associated policies and standards may take years to implement, they are built on proven countermeasures for improving safety and addressing serious crash risks or problems identified through a data-driven process. Florida continues to allocate all available HSIP funding to safety projects. [FDOT's HSIP Guidelines](#) provide detailed information on this data-driven process and funding eligibility.

Florida received an allocation of approximately \$156 million in HSIP funds for use during the 2024 state fiscal year from July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024, and fully allocated those funds to safety projects. FDOT used these HSIP funds to complete projects that address intersections, lane departure, pedestrian and bicyclist safety, and other programs representing the remaining SHSP emphasis areas. This year's HSIP allocated \$134.5 million in infrastructure investments on state-maintained roadways and \$20.8 million in infrastructure investments on local roadways. A list of HSIP projects can be found in the [HSIP 2024 Annual Report](#).

Beginning in fiscal year 2024, HSIP funding is distributed among FDOT Districts based on a statutory formula. This allows the Districts to have more clearly defined funding levels, which allows them to better plan to select and fund projects. MPOs and local agencies coordinate with FDOT Districts to identify and implement effective highway safety improvement projects on non-state roadways.

Additional FDOT Safety Planning Activities

In addition to HSIP, safety is considered as a factor in FDOT planning and priority setting for projects in preservation and capacity programs. Data is analyzed for each potential project, using traffic safety data and traffic demand modeling, among other data. The [Florida PD&E Manual](#) requires the consideration of safety when preparing a proposed project's purpose and need as part of the analysis of alternatives. Florida design and construction standards include safety criteria and countermeasures incorporated in every construction project. FDOT also recognizes the importance of the American Association of State Highway Transportation Official (AASHTO) Highway Safety Manual (HSM). Through dedicated and consistent training and messaging over the last several years, the HSM is now an integral part of project development and design.

FDOT holds Program Planning Workshops annually to determine the level of funding to be allocated over the next 5 to 10 years to preserve and provide for a safe transportation system. Certain funding types are further analyzed and prioritized by FDOT Central Offices after projects are prioritized collaboratively by the MPOs, local governments, and FDOT Districts; for example, the State Safety Office is responsible for the HSIP and Highway Safety Program (HSP) and the Systems Implementation Office is responsible for the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS). Both the Safety and SIS programs consider the reduction of traffic fatalities and serious injuries in their criteria for ranking projects.

Safety Investments in the TIP

The Martin MPO in coordination with FDOT, aims to make roads safe for all users in Martin County and to meet the safety performance targets set on an annual basis. The TIP considers potential projects that fall into specific investment priorities established by the MPO in the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The process used to develop the MPO's Long-Range Transportation Plan includes analysis of safety data trends, including the location and factors associated with crashes with emphasis on fatalities and serious injuries. This data is used to help identify regional safety issues and potential safety strategies for the LRTP and TIP. Consistent with the MPO's 2045 LRTP, the TIP includes funding that is used for programs that improve safety in areas with a high number of bicycle and pedestrian crashes. The TIP also includes planning funds that are used by the MPO to educate and reinforce the message of how to walk, bicycle, and drive safely. For the Martin MPO, this includes programs, projects, and activities such as:

- o *Annually launching a 'Call for Projects' for eligible Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) projects.* TAP provides funding for programs and projects defined as transportation alternatives, including on- and off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and infrastructure projects for enhanced mobility and overall safety. Projects approved by the MPO Grant Screening Committee go through the MPO process, including presentations at the MPO advisory committee and Policy Board meetings for approval.

- o Implementing congestion mitigation projects, such as FM# 441700-1 – Cove Road widening which will include shared-use paths along both sides of the road and FM# 419669-3 – Willoughby Blvd. extension that will include a new two-lane roadway with bike lanes and a shared-use pathway.
- o Martin MPO staff attends the Regional Treasure Coast Community Traffic Safety Team (CTST) quarterly meetings. Staff regularly presents upcoming Transportation Planning studies and public outreach previously held within the MPO planning boundary.
- o CR-714/SW Martin Highway Realignment at SR-710 this project has been developed as a safety concern to flatten out the curve along CR-714 into Okeechobee County.
- o SW Warfield Blvd/SR-710 Widening Project; this roadway is a high crash corridor that has been identified the MPO's as our top priority for safety.
- o Walk to School Day/Walk and Roll to School Day are events that the MPO has implemented to ensure the safety of all road users. These events educate students on how to walk or bike to school safely and the benefits of doing so for your physical wellbeing. The MPO partnered with two local schools to conduct these events and hopes to partner with more in the future.
- o The Martin MPO conducted Safety Public Outreach at Walmart in December of 2024 to share information on safer practices for drivers, pedestrians, and cyclists.

The TIP includes specific investment priorities that support all the MPO's goals including safety, using the prioritization and project selection process established in the LRTP totaling over 54 million dollars. This process evaluates projects that have an anticipated effect of reducing both fatal and injury crashes. The MPO's goal of reducing fatal and serious injury crashes is linked to this investment plan and the process used in prioritizing the projects is consistent with federal requirements. The FY26 – FY30 TIP includes improving safety conditions County-wide. These projects fall into the categories below. The Martin MPO continues monitoring investments in the TIP and demonstrating progress toward goals and objectives.

- | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| • Bicycle Lane/Sidewalk | • Corridor improvements |
| • Lighting | • Add turning lanes |
| • Traffic control devices/system | • Signing and pavement markings |
| • Safety projects | |

Because safety is inherent in so many FDOT and Martin MPO programs and projects, and because of the broad and holistic approach FDOT is undertaking with its commitment to Vision Zero, the program of projects in this TIP is anticipated to support progress towards achieving the safety targets.

Pavement and Bridge Condition Measures (PM2)

FHWA's Bridge & Pavement Condition Performance Measures Final Rule, which is also referred to as the PM2 rule, requires state DOTs and MPOs to establish targets for the following six performance measures:

1. Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) classified as in good condition.
2. Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) classified as in poor condition.
3. Percent of Interstate pavements in good condition.
4. Percent of Interstate pavements in poor condition.
5. Percent of non-Interstate National Highway System (NHS) pavements in good condition; and
6. Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in poor condition.

For the pavement measures, five pavement metrics are used to assess condition:

- International Roughness Index (IRI) - an indicator of roughness (applicable to asphalt, jointed concrete, and continuously reinforced concrete pavements)
- Cracking percent - percentage of pavement surface exhibiting cracking (applicable to asphalt, jointed concrete, and continuously reinforced concrete pavements)
- Rutting - extent of surface depressions (applicable to asphalt pavements only)
- Faulting - vertical misalignment of pavement joints (applicable to jointed concrete pavements only); and
- Present Serviceability Rating (PSR) – a quality rating applicable only to NHS roads with posted speed limits of less than 40 miles per hour (e.g., toll plazas, border crossings). States may choose to collect and report PSR for applicable segments as an alternative to the other four metrics.

Pavement and Bridge Condition Statewide Targets

Federal rules require state DOTs to establish two-year and four-year targets for bridge and pavement condition measures. On December 16, 2022, FDOT established statewide bridge and pavement targets for the second performance period ending in 2025. These targets are identical to those set for 2019 and 2021, respectively. Florida's performance through 2021 exceeds the targets. The two-year targets represent bridge and pavement conditions at the end of calendar year 2023, while the four-year targets represent conditions at the end of 2025. Table 6 presents the statewide targets. Federal rules require state DOTs to establish two-year and four-year targets for the system performance and freight targets. On December 16, 2022, FDOT established 2023 and 2025 statewide performance targets, and in September 2024, adjusted

the 2025 targets for percent of person miles traveled on the Interstate and on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable. Table 6 presents 2023 actual performance and the 2023 and 2025 statewide targets.

Table 6 – Statewide Bridge and Pavement Condition Performance Targets

Performance Measure	2023 Statewide Conditions	2023 Statewide Target	2025 Statewide Target
Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) in good condition	55.3%	≥50.0%	≥50.0%
Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) in poor condition	0.6%	≤10.0%	≤5.0%
Percent of Interstate pavements in good condition	67.6%	≥60.0%	≥60.0%
Percent of Interstate pavements in poor condition	0.2%	≤5.0%	≤5.0%
Percent of non-Interstate pavements in good condition	50.8%	≥40.0%	≥40.0%
Percent of non-Interstate pavements in poor condition	0.5%	≤5.0%	≤5.0%

Source: 2023 Statewide Conditions fdotsourcebook.com.

In determining its approach to establishing performance targets for the federal bridge and pavement condition performance measures, FDOT considered many factors.

- Florida Statute 334.046 mandates FDOT to preserve the state’s bridges and pavement to specific state-defined standards. To adhere to the statutory guidelines, FDOT prioritizes funding allocations to ensure the current transportation system is adequately preserved and maintained before funding is allocated for capacity improvements. These state statutory guidelines envelope the statewide federal targets that have been established for bridges and pavements.
- In addition FDOT also developed a [Transportation Asset Management Plan](#) (TAMP) for the state NHS bridge and pavement assets. The TAMP must include investment strategies leading to a program of projects that would make progress toward the achievement of the State’s targets for asset condition and performance of the NHS. FDOT’s current TAMP was submitted on December 30, 2022, and recertified by FHWA on February 23, 2023.
- Further, the federal pavement condition measures require a data collection methodology that is a departure from the methods historically used by FDOT. For bridge condition, performance is measured in the deck area under the federal

measure, while FDOT programs its bridge repair or replacement work on a bridge-by-bridge basis. As such, the federal measures are not directly comparable to the methods that are most familiar to FDOT.

FDOT collects and reports bridge and pavement data to FHWA annually to track performance and progress toward the targets. The percentage of Florida's bridges in good condition is slowly decreasing, which is to be expected as the bridge inventory grows older. Reported bridge and pavement data through 2023 exceeded the established targets. FHWA determined that FDOT made significant progress toward its 2023 PM2 targets.

Pavement and Bridge Condition Targets for Martin MPO

MPOs must set four-year targets for the six bridge and pavement condition measures within 180 days of when FDOT established targets. MPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the statewide targets or establish their own quantifiable targets for the MPO's planning area for one or more measures.

On April 21, 2025, the Martin MPO agreed to support the FDOT's statewide bridge and pavement performance targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the statewide targets.

Pavement and Bridge Investments in the TIP

The Martin MPO TIP reflects investment priorities established in the 2045 LRTP. The TIP devotes a significant amount of resources to projects that will maintain pavement and bridge condition performance or will replace bridges with a deteriorating condition. Investments in pavement and bridge condition include resurfacing and bridge replacement/rehabilitation projects. The following are some example projects funded in this TIP that address system preservation/maintenance of pavement and bridge conditions:

- SR-A1A from NE Shore Village Ter to SR-732/Jensen Beach Causeway – Resurfacing
- SR-714/SW Martin Hwy from East of SW Stuart W Blvd to West of Citrus Blvd – Resurfacing
- SR-5/US-1 from .5 miles South of SR-A1A/SE Dixie Hwy to Osprey Street – Resurfacing
- SR-9/I-95 from South of SR-76/Kanner Hwy to Martin/St. Lucie County Line – Resurfacing
- CR-76A/SW 96th Street Arundel Bridge – Bridge Rehabilitation
- Green River Parkway from NE Jensen Beach Blvd to Martin County Line – Resurfacing
- SR-714/SE Monterey Rd from SW Palm City Rd to 400 feet South of SR-5/US-1 – Resurfacing

- CR-707/SE Dixie Hwy Bridge #890003 – Bridge Rehabilitation
- CR-A1A/SE Dixie Hwy from Cove Rd. to Jefferson St. – Resurfacing
- SE County Line Road / SE Wooden Bridge Lane to US-1/SR-5 – Resurfacing
- US-1/SR-5 Roosevelt Bridge over St. Lucie River Bridges 890151 & 890152 – Bridge Rehabilitation
- SR-A1A/ NE Ocean Blvd. “Ernest F. Lyons” Bridge over ICWW – Bridge Rehabilitation
- SW Kansas Avenue from 100 feet South of Camp Valor to SE Kanner Highway – Resurfacing
- SR-5/US-1 from SE Osprey St to South of SE Heritage Blvd – Resurfacing
- Bridge Replacement – 890083 (SR 91) (MP 138) Martin County – Bridge Replacement
- SR-5/US-1 from SE Osprey St to South of SE Heritage Blvd – Resurfacing

The TIP seeks to address system preservation in the metropolitan planning area and provides funding for targeted improvements. The Infrastructure Maintenance and Congestion Management Goal in the 2045 LRTP includes the objective of Prioritizing improvements that help maintain existing roadways and bridges as well as identifying the PM2 performance measures and targets as metrics to monitor progress. Further, investments in pavement and bridge conditions include resurfacing, bridge replacement, and bridge rehabilitation projects in the TIP. The TIP includes over \$78,145,748 million in resurfacing and bridge projects.

The projects included in the TIP are consistent with FDOT's Five Year Work Program. Therefore, they reflect FDOT's approach of prioritizing funding to ensure the transportation system is adequately preserved and maintained. Per federal planning requirements, the state selects projects on the NHS in cooperation with the MPO from the approved TIP. Given the significant resources devoted in the TIP to pavement and bridge projects, the MPO anticipates that once implemented, the TIP will contribute to progress towards achieving the statewide pavement and bridge condition performance targets.

System Performance, Freight, Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Improvement Program Measures (PM3)

FHWA's System Performance/Freight/CMAQ Performance Measures Final Rule, which is referred to as the PM3 rule, requires state DOTs and MPOs to establish targets for the following six performance measures:

National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)

1. Percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate system that is reliable;
2. Percent of person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that is reliable;

National Highway Freight Program (NHFP)

3. Truck Travel Time Reliability index (TTTR);

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ)

4. Annual hours of peak hour excessive delay per capita (PHED);
5. Percent of non-single occupant vehicle travel (Non-SOV); and
6. Cumulative 2-year and 4-year reduction of on-road mobile source emissions (NOx, VOC, CO, PM10, and PM2.5) for CMAQ funded projects.

Because all areas in Florida meet current national air quality standards, the three CMAQ measures do not apply in Florida. Below is a description of the first three measures.

The first two performance measures assess the percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate or the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable. Reliability is defined as the ratio of longer travel times to a normal travel time over all applicable roads, across four time periods between the hours of 6 a.m. and 8 p.m. each day.

The third performance measure assesses the reliability of truck travel on the Interstate system. The TTTR assesses the reliability of the Interstate network by comparing trucks' worst travel times against the travel times they typically experience.

System Performance and Freight Statewide Targets

Federal rules require state DOTs to establish two-year and four-year targets for the system performance and freight targets. On December 16, 2022, FDOT established 2023 and 2025 statewide performance targets, and in September 2024, adjusted the 2025 targets for percent of person miles traveled on the Interstate and on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable. Table 7 presents 2023 actual performance and the 2023 and 2025 statewide targets.

Table 7 – System Performance and Freight Targets

Performance Measure	2023 Statewide Conditions	2023 Statewide Target	2025 Statewide Target
Percent of person-miles on the Interstate system that are reliable	82.8%	≥75.0%	≥75.0%
Percent of person-miles on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable	89.1%	≥50.0%	≥60.0%
Truck travel time reliability (Interstate)	1.48	1.75	2.00

Source: 2023 Statewide Conditions fdotsourcebook.com.

FDOT collects and reports reliability data to FHWA annually to track performance and progress toward the reliability targets. Actual performance in 2023 was better than the 2023 targets.

System performance and freight are addressed through several statewide initiatives:

- Florida’s Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) comprises transportation facilities of statewide and interregional significance. The SIS is a primary focus of FDOT’s capacity investments and is Florida’s primary network for ensuring a strong link between transportation and economic competitiveness. These facilities, which span all modes and include highways, are the workhorses of Florida’s transportation system and account for a dominant share of the people and freight movement to, from, and within Florida. The SIS includes 92 percent of NHS lane miles in the state. Thus, FDOT’s focus on improving the performance of the SIS goes hand-in-hand with improving the NHS, which is the focus of the FHWA’s TPM program. The [SIS Policy Plan](#) was updated in early 2022, consistent with the updated FTP. The SIS Policy Plan defines the policy framework for designating which facilities are part of the SIS, as well as how SIS investment needs are identified and prioritized. The development of the SIS Five-Year Plan by FDOT considers scores on a range of measures, including mobility, safety, preservation, and economic competitiveness, as part of FDOT’s Strategic Investment Tool (SIT).
- In addition, the [Florida Mobility and Trade Plan](#) (FMTP) defines policies and investments that will enhance Florida’s economic development efforts into the future. The FMTP identifies truck bottlenecks and other freight investment needs and defines the process for setting priorities among these needs to receive funding from the National Highway

Freight Program (NHFP). Project evaluation criteria tie back to the FMTP objectives to ensure high-priority projects support the statewide freight vision. In May 2020, FHWA approved the FMTP as FDOT's State Freight Plan. An update to the FMTP will be adopted in 2025.

System Performance and Freight Targets for Martin MPO

MPOs must establish four-year targets for all three performance measures. They can either agree to program projects that will support the statewide targets or establish their own quantifiable targets for one or more measures for their planning area.

On April 17, 2023 and April 21, 2025, the Martin MPO agreed to support FDOT's statewide system performance and freight targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the statewide targets.

System Performance and Freight Investments in TIP

The Martin MPO TIP reflects investment priorities established in the 2045 LRTP. The focus of Martin MPO's investments that address system performance and freight include the some of the following example projects detailed in this TIP:

- Willoughby Blvd from Monterey Road to US 1 – New two-lane road
- Cove Road from Kanner Highway to US-1 – Widening
- Monterey Road at FEC Railroad Crossing - Grade Separation
- I-95 Martin Weigh Station – Inspection Barn Upgrades
- Martin Mainline Weigh in Motion (WIM) Screening
- CR 713/High Meadow Ave from I-95 to Martin Hwy – Widening
- Turnpike from SW Martin Hwy to St. Lucie County Line - Widening
- SR 710/Warfield Boulevard Widening projects

The TIP devotes a significant number of resources to programs and projects that will improve system performance and freight reliability on the Interstate and non-Interstate NHS. The Martin MPO TIP reflects priorities in the Martin MPO 2045

LRTP that looked to address system reliability and congestion mitigation through various means, including capacity expansion and operational improvements. The Infrastructure Maintenance and Congestion Management Goal includes several objectives, such as managing traffic congestion, supporting improvements to major freight corridors, implementing strategies to reduce per capita vehicle miles of travel, and prioritizing funding to support smaller-scale congestion management projects and programs. Further, several performance measures including PM3 are identified to evaluate and prioritize projects. As part of the 2045 LRTP, several strategies were included in the CMP Update. The Martin MPO's investments in the TIP that address system performance and freight on the NHS include over \$108.5 million in intersection/congestion management and freight projects.

The projects included in the TIP are consistent with FDOT's Five-Year Work Program. Therefore, they reflect FDOT's approach of prioritizing funding to address performance goals and targets. Per federal planning requirements, the state selects projects on the NHS in cooperation with the MPO from the approved TIP. Given the significant resources devoted in the TIP to programs that address system performance and freight, the MPO anticipates that once implemented, the TIP will contribute to progress towards achieving the statewide reliability performance targets.

Transit Asset Management Measures

FTA's Transit Asset Management (TAM) regulations apply to all recipients and subrecipients of Federal transit funding that own, operate, or manage public transportation capital assets. The regulations define the term "state of good repair" requires that public transportation providers develop and implement TAM plans and establish state of good repair standards and performance measures for four asset categories: equipment, rolling stock, transit infrastructure, and facilities. Table 8 identifies the TAM performance measures.

Table 8 – FTA TAM Performance Measures

Asset Category	Performance Measure
1. Equipment	Percentage of non-revenue, support-service, and maintenance vehicles that have met or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark
2. Rolling Stock	Percentage of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have either met or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark
3. Infrastructure	Percentage of track segments with performance restrictions
4. Facilities	Percentage of facilities within an asset class rated below condition 3 on the TERM scale

For equipment and rolling stock classes, the useful life benchmark (ULB) is defined as the expected lifecycle of a capital asset or the acceptable period of use in service for a particular transit provider's operating environment. ULB considers a provider's unique operating environment, such as geography, service frequency, etc.

Public transportation providers must establish and report TAM targets annually for the following fiscal year. Each public transportation provider or its sponsors must share its targets with each MPO in which the public transportation provider's projects and services are programmed in the MPO's TIP. MPOs are not required to establish TAM targets annually each time the transit provider establishes targets. Instead, MPO targets must be established when the MPO updates the LRTP (although it is recommended that MPOs reflect the most current transit provider targets in the TIP if they have not yet taken action to update MPO targets). When establishing TAM targets, the MPO can either agree to program projects to support the transit provider targets or establish its own regional TAM targets for the MPO planning area. MPO targets may differ

from agency targets, especially if multiple transit agencies are in the MPO planning area. To the maximum extent practicable, public transit providers, states, and MPOs must coordinate to select performance targets.

The TAM regulation defines two tiers of public transportation providers based on size parameters. Tier I providers are those that operate rail service, or more than 100 vehicles in all fixed route modes, or more than 100 vehicles in one non-fixed route mode. Tier II providers are those that are a subrecipient of FTA 5311 funds, or an American Indian Tribe, or have 100 or less vehicles across all fixed route modes or have 100 or less vehicles in one non-fixed route mode. A Tier I provider must establish its own TAM targets, as well as report performance and other data to FTA. A Tier II provider can establish its own targets or participate in a Group Plan with other Tier II providers whereby targets are established for the entire group in coordination with a group plan sponsor, typically a state DOT.

Tier I	Tier II
Operates rail service OR ≥ 101 vehicles across all fixed route modes OR ≥ 101 vehicles in one non-fixed route mode	Subrecipient of FTA 5311 funds OR American Indian Tribe OR ≤ 100 vehicles across all fixed route modes OR ≤ 100 vehicles in one non-fixed route mode

Transit Asset Management Targets

Martin County Public Transit (MCPT) is the sole Tier II provider of public transit in the Martin MPO planning area. MCPT reviewed and approved TAM targets for each of the applicable asset categories on February 1, 2023. Table 9 on the following page presents these targets.

Table 9 – MCPT Asset Management Targets

Asset Category	Performance Measure	Asset Class		Performance Target				
				2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
Revenue Vehicles	Age - percent of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded their Useful Life	BU	Bus	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%
		CU	Cutaway	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Equipment	Age - percent of vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded their Useful Life	Non-Revenue/Service Automobile 2017		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles 2018		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Facilities	Condition - percent of facilities with a condition rating below 3.0 on the FTA Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM) Scale	Maintenance facility (leased)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The transit provider's TAM targets are based on the condition of existing transit assets and planned investments in equipment, rolling stock, infrastructure, and facilities. The targets reflect the most recent data available on the number, age, and condition of transit assets, and capital investment plans for improving these assets. The table summarizes both existing conditions for the most recent year available, and the current targets.

MPO Transit Asset Management Targets

As discussed above, MPOs are not required to establish TAM targets annually each time the transit provider establishes targets. Instead, MPOs must revisit targets each time the MPO updates the LRTP. MPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the transit provider targets or establish separate regional TAM targets for the MPO planning area. MPO targets may differ from agency targets, especially if multiple transit agencies are in the MPO planning area.

On May 6, 2024, the Martin MPO agreed to support the Martin County Public Transit's TAM targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that, once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the provider's targets.

Transit Asset Management Investments in the TIP

The Martin MPO TIP was developed and is managed in cooperation with MCPT. It reflects the investment priorities established in the 2045 LRTP.

FTA funding, as programmed by the region's transit providers and FDOT, is used for programs and products to improve the condition of the region's transit assets. The focus of Martin MPO's investments that address transit state of good repair include:

- Section 5307 Formula Funds
- Section 5339 Capital for Bus & Bus Facilities

Transit asset condition and state of good repair is a consideration in the methodology Martin MPO uses to select projects for inclusion in the TIP. The TIP includes specific investment priorities that support all the MPO's goals, including supporting improvements to transit, using a prioritization and project selection process established in the LRTP. This process evaluates projects that, once implemented, are anticipated to improve transit state of good repair in the MPO's planning area. This prioritization process considers factors such as transit supply, demand and cost; system reliability; system performance; maintenance of resources; maintain fleet revenue vehicles; and maintenance of other equipment.

The TIP devotes resources to projects that will maintain and improve transit state of good repair. Investments in transit assets in the TIP include over \$6 million for capital purchases.

The Martin MPO TIP has been evaluated and the anticipated effect of the overall program is that, once implemented, progress will be made towards achieving the TAM performance targets. The Martin MPO will continue to coordinate with Martin County Public Transit to maintain the region's transit assets in a state of good repair. For more information on these programs and projects, see Section B of the appendix.

Transit Safety Performance

FTA's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) regulations established transit safety performance management requirements for providers of public transportation systems that receive federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.

The regulations apply to all operators of public transportation that are recipients or sub-recipients of FTA Urbanized Area Formula Grant Program funds under 49 U.S.C. Section 5307, or that operate a rail transit system that is subject to FTA's State Safety Oversight Program. The PTASP regulations do not apply to certain modes of transit service that are subject to the safety jurisdiction of another Federal agency, including passenger ferry operations regulated by the United States Coast Guard, and commuter rail operations that are regulated by the Federal Railroad Administration.

The PTASP must include performance targets for the performance measures established by FTA in the [National Public Transportation Safety Plan](#), which was published on January 28, 2017, and updated on April 9, 2024. The transit safety performance measures are:

- Total number of reportable fatalities and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- Total number of reportable injuries and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- Total number of reportable safety events and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- System reliability – mean distance between major mechanical failures by mode.

Each Section 5307 or 5311 public transportation provider in Florida must develop a System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) under Chapter 14-90, Florida Administrative Code. FDOT technical guidance recommends that Florida's transit agencies revise their existing SSPPs to be compliant with the FTA PTASP requirements.¹

¹ FDOT Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan Guidance Document for Transit Agencies. Available at [ptasp-14-90-guidance-document_09112019.docx \(live.com\)](#)

Each public transportation provider that is subject to the PTASP regulations must certify that its SSPP meets the requirements for a PTASP, including annual transit safety targets for the federally required measures. Once the public transportation provider establishes safety targets, it must make them available to MPOs to aid in planning. MPOs are not required to establish transit safety targets annually each time the transit provider establishes targets. Instead, MPO's establish targets when the MPO updates the LRTP (although it is recommended that MPOs reflect the current transit provider targets in their TIPs).

When establishing transit safety targets, the MPO can either agree to program projects to support the transit provider targets or establish its own regional transit safety targets for the MPO planning area. In addition, the Martin MPO must reflect those targets in LRTP and TIP updates.

Transit Agency Safety Targets

MCPT established the transit safety targets identified in Table 10 below on October 24, 2024:

Table 10 – MCPT Safety Performance Targets

Mode of Transit	Fatalities (Total)	Fatalities (Rate per Total VRM)	Injuries (Total)	Injuries (Rate per Total VRM)	Safety Events (Total)	Safety Events (Rate per Total VRM)	System Reliability (VRM/failures)
Fixed Route Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	41,220
Commuter Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,340
ADA Paratransit	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,506

MPO Transit Safety Targets

As discussed above, MPOs are not required to establish transit safety targets annually each time the transit provider establishes targets. Instead, MPO's must revisit targets each time the MPO updates the LRTP. MPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the transit provider targets or establish separate regional transit safety targets for the

MPO planning area. MPO targets may differ from agency targets, especially if there are multiple transit agencies in the MPO planning area.

On September 21, 2020, the Martin MPO agreed to support MCPT's transit safety targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the provider's targets.

Transit Safety Improvements in the TIP

The Martin MPO TIP was developed and is managed in cooperation with MCPT. It reflects the investment priorities established in the 2045 LRTP. Factors such as travel time reliability, level of service, delay, funding, quality of life, safety (number of fatalities and injury crashes), environment, environmental justice, accessibility to jobs, strategic projects, and community support are considered when creating the LRTP. Transit projects were prioritized consistent with Martin County's Transit Development Plan (TDP), 2020-2029 adopted in August 2019.

FTA funding, as programmed by the region's transit providers and FDOT, is used for programs and products to improve the safety of the region's transit systems. The MCPT PTASP is included in the appendix. Transit safety is a consideration in the methodology Martin MPO uses to select projects for inclusion in the TIP. The TIP includes specific investment priorities that support all of the MPO's goals, including transit safety, using a prioritization and project selection process established in the LRTP. This prioritization process considers safety as a factor in this prioritization process.

The TIP is also consistent with the Goals and Objectives in the 2045 LRTP. An objective under Goal #2 in the LRTP is to *reduce transit vehicle crashes and facility accidents*. This process evaluates projects that, once implemented, are anticipated to improve transit safety in the MPO's planning area. The Martin MPO TIP has been evaluated and the anticipated effect of the overall program is that, once implemented, progress will be made towards achieving the transit safety performance targets. The Martin MPO will continue to coordinate with MCPT to maintain and improve the safety of the region's transit system and maintain transit assets in a state of good repair. To read the PTASP, please see Appendix B.

2.8 CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL AND LOCAL PLANS

The 2045 LRTP was adopted by the MPO on October 19, 2020, after a duly advertised public hearing. The transportation goals found within Martin County's Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and the City of Stuart's Comprehensive Plan are consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies in the 2045 LRTP as well as the Florida Transportation Plan.

To the maximum extent feasible, the TIP is consistent with the 2045 Treasure Coast Regional Long Range Transportation Plan (RLRTP), Witham Field Airport Master Plan, the Martin County Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan, the Transit Development Plan, and the approved Comprehensive Plans of Martin County, the City of Stuart, the Town of Sewall's Point, the Town of Jupiter Island, the Village of Indiantown, and the Town of Ocean Breeze.

2.9 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS

Maintenance of a Congestion Management Process (CMP) or System is a requirement for all MPOs under Florida law and for MPOs in Transportation Management Areas under Federal law. A CMP is a tool that provides information needed to evaluate and improve traffic flows. The CMP is intended to help relieve congestion and enhance mobility by establishing methods to monitor and evaluate performance, identify alternative actions, assess and implement cost-effective actions, and evaluate the effectiveness of implemented actions.

Candidate road sections are selected in a three-phase selection. The first phase identifies road segments that have a potential for congestion. The second phase is the development of a preliminary list of congested segments. The third phase is to determine and verify potential congested segments. The map for these candidate road sections can be found in Figure 5-3 and page 46 of the 2045 LRTP. Based on the analysis, there were a total of 14 corridors that were determined to have potential segments for congestion:

- | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| o SE Bridge Road | o US-1/Federal Highway | o Indian River Drive |
| o NW Jensen Beach Boulevard | o SW Murphy Road | o CR 723/NE Causeway Drive |
| o SW Kanner Highway/SR-76 | o SE Dixie Highway | o SR-A1A |
| o SW Martin Highway/CR-714 | o SW Ocean Boulevard | |
| o SE Monterey Road | o SW Joan Jefferson Way | |

3.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

3.1 CONSISTENCY WITH PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PLAN

The TIP was developed in accordance with the adopted MPO Public Participation Plan (PPP). Once the Draft TIP is completed, a notice will be advertised announcing that it is available for a 45-day public review period. During the public review process, the Draft TIP will be made available on the MPO website. It will also be presented at public meetings in conjunction with the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), the Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC), and the MPO Board. After the 45-day review period, the Draft TIP will be brought before the MPO Board for a public hearing and final approval. This process fulfills the public involvement requirements for the Martin County Public Transit (MCPT) Program of Projects (POP) under Section 5307.

As described above, TIP Amendments and Administrative Modifications will also be advertised and made available for public review and comment before being brought before the MPO Board for final review and approval. Comments received during the review period will be summarized by category and addressed through documented modifications to the TIP.

3.2 TIMELINE OF EFFORTS

See Table 11 for the Martin MPO timeline of Public Involvement efforts for this TIP.

Table 11
Timeline of Public Involvement Efforts

TASK	DATE
Distribute Draft TIP in the TAC Agenda Packet	28-Apr-25
Distribute Draft TIP in the Joint BPAC and CAC Agenda Packet	30-Apr-25
Publish Notice of Public Hearing for MPO	1-May-25
Begin 45 Days Public Review Period	2-May-25
Post Draft TIP on the MPO Website	2-May-25
Draft TIP on Martin County Government/Library System website	2-May-25
Review Draft TIP @ Technical Advisory Committee Meeting	5-May-25
Distribute Draft TIP in the MPO Policy Board Agenda Packet	5-May-25
Review Draft TIP @ Joint BPAC and CAC Meeting	7-May-25
Send Draft TIP to Federal and State agencies for preliminary review	12-May-25
Review Draft TIP @ MPO Board Meeting	12-May-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the TAC Agenda Packet	26-May-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the CAC Agenda Packet	28-May-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the FTAC Agenda Packet	29-May-25
Review Final Draft TIP @ TAC Meeting	2-Jun-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the BPAC Agenda Packet	2-Jun-25
Review Final Draft TIP @ CAC Meeting	4-Jun-25
Review Final Draft TIP @ FTAC Meeting	5-Jun-25
Review Final Draft TIP @ BPAC Meeting	9-Jun-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the MPO Board Agenda Packet	9-Jun-25
Review and Approve Final TIP @ MPO Board Meeting / Public Hearing	16-Jun-25

3.3 PUBLIC COMMENTS & RESPONSE

Martin County does not have any Tribes or Federal Lands. Thus, the federal requirement to coordinate with these entities does not apply to Martin MPO. Public comments related to the TIP can be submitted in various ways:

Online through the MPO website - www.martinmpo.com

Email - martinmpo@martin.fl.us

Phone - (772) 223-7983

Mail/Hand Delivery - 3481 SE Willoughby Boulevard, Suite 101, Stuart, FL 34994

TIP Public Hearing – June 16, 2025, at 9:00 AM in the Martin County Administrative Center, 2401 SE Monterey Road, Stuart, FL 34996

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
Federal	19,955,979	10,907,312	14,682,866	13,973,246	12,429,402	71,948,805
Local	1,016,996	3,298,441	1,555,264	1,255,474	1,281,590	8,407,765
R/W and Bridge Bonds	0	0	14,842,796	0	0	14,842,796
State 100%	45,251,423	45,480,234	42,552,856	39,236,840	76,216,866	248,738,219
Toll/Turnpike	2,487,200	15,994,766	0	100,000	0	18,581,966
Total	68,711,598	75,680,753	73,633,782	54,565,560	89,927,858	362,519,551

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ACFP - AC FREIGHT PROG (NFP)							
4226815	SR-9/I-95 FROM HIGH MEADOWS AVE TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
Total		500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP							
4226815	SR-9/I-95 FROM HIGH MEADOWS AVE TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	2,100,000	0	0	0	0	2,100,000
4475551	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HWY	0	0	0	717,000	6,005,183	6,722,183
4491601	SR-9/ I-95 FROM S OF KANNER HWY TO MARTIN/ ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	65,000	0	0	0	0	65,000
4533331	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	817,339	1,249,934	85,000	2,110,000	589,864	4,852,137
4533332	SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD	4,175,000	1,266,000	205,000	6,173,186	40,000	11,859,186
Total		7,157,339	2,515,934	290,000	9,000,186	6,635,047	25,598,506
ACNR - AC NAT HWY PERFORM RESURFACING							
4476501	A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	583,339	0	0	0	0	583,339
Total		583,339	0	0	0	0	583,339
ACPR - AC - PROTECT GRANT PGM							
4416991	CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	415,600	0	0	0	0	415,600
4417001	COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	25,760	0	0	0	0	25,760
4476501	A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	2,138,451	0	0	0	0	2,138,451
Total		2,579,811	0	0	0	0	2,579,811
ACSS - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SS,HSP)							
4475551	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HWY	113,160	168,450	0	0	0	281,610

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ACSS -							
Total		113,160	168,450	0	0	0	281,610
ACSU - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SU)							
4416991	CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	1,511,356	0	0	0	0	1,511,356
4417001	COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	564,352	0	0	0	0	564,352
Total		2,075,708	0	0	0	0	2,075,708
ARTW - ARTERIAL WIDENING PROGRAM							
4533332	SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD	0	0	0	20,000,000	0	20,000,000
Total		0	0	0	20,000,000	0	20,000,000
BNIR - INTRASTATE R/W & BRIDGE BONDS							
4533331	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	0	0	5,971,501	0	0	5,971,501
4533332	SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD	0	0	8,871,295	0	0	8,871,295
Total		0	0	14,842,796	0	0	14,842,796
BRRP - STATE BRIDGE REPAIR & REHAB							
4505872	SR-707/DIXIE HWY. BRIDGE # 890003	0	12,215,962	0	0	0	12,215,962
4529221	US-1/SR-5 ROOSEVELT BRIDGE OVER ST LUCIE RIVER BRIDGES 890151 & 890152	0	350,000	0	0	5,084,700	5,434,700
4533211	SR-A1A/NE OCEAN BLVD. "ERNEST F. LYONS" BRIDGE OVER ICWW	659,073	0	0	2,686,151	0	3,345,224
Total		659,073	12,565,962	0	2,686,151	5,084,700	20,995,886
CM - CONGESTION MITIGATION - AQ							
4416991	CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	0	0	0	124,160	0	124,160
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	1,156,902	0	0	1,156,902
Total		0	0	1,156,902	124,160	0	1,281,062

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
D - UNRESTRICTED STATE PRIMARY							
2337031	MARTIN CO STATE HWY SYS ROADWAY	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	0	1,200,000
2337032	MARTIN CO STATE HWY SYS BRIDGES	35,000	35,000	35,000	0	0	105,000
2342651	MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-ROADWAY	10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0	30,000
2342652	MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-BRIDGES	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	0	48,000
4505591	MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE	3,258,390	2,758,390	2,758,390	2,667,905	2,667,905	14,110,980
4505592	MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	2,500,000	3,000,000	5,500,000
4515801	MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM	0	0	766,779	694,556	980,886	2,442,221
Total		3,615,390	3,115,390	3,882,169	6,174,461	6,648,791	23,436,201
DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE							
4071894	MARTIN COUNTY BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	404,165	417,575	430,102	430,102	0	1,681,944
4278035	MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM	0	256,694	0	0	0	256,694
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	263,000	558,793	1,155,325	0	0	1,977,118
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	0	0	2,287,098	0	6,798,943	9,086,041
4435051	SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE	0	0	0	77,798	0	77,798
4444161	SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD	0	287,568	0	0	0	287,568
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	1,241,354	168,000	2,988,355	0	0	4,397,709
4484461	SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD	772,213	0	0	0	0	772,213
4484471	SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	662,439	0	0	0	0	662,439
4498291	SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1	0	1,421,345	4,874,639	0	0	6,295,984
4533334	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR SW ALLAPATTAH RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	0	0	0	0	13,886,429	13,886,429
Total		3,343,171	3,109,975	11,735,519	507,900	20,685,372	39,381,937
DI - ST. - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY							

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
DI - ST. - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY							
4533332	SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD	0	6,746,519	0	0	0	6,746,519
Total		0	6,746,519	0	0	0	6,746,519
DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT							
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	18,000	0	72,112	0	0	90,112
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	90,000	120,000	252,000	0	430,005	892,005
4435051	SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE	113,260	0	0	0	0	113,260
4444161	SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD	0	33,019	0	0	0	33,019
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	12,000	24,000	96,448	0	0	132,448
4476501	A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	93,084	0	0	0	0	93,084
4484461	SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD	82,116	0	0	0	0	82,116
4484471	SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	49,061	0	0	0	0	49,061
4498291	SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1	0	124,361	0	0	0	124,361
4505872	SR-707/DIXIE HWY. BRIDGE # 890003	0	103,848	0	0	0	103,848
4529221	US-1/SR-5 ROOSEVELT BRIDGE OVER ST LUCIE RIVER BRIDGES 890151 & 890152	0	5,000	0	0	5,845	10,845
4533211	SR-A1A/NE OCEAN BLVD. "ERNEST F. LYONS" BRIDGE OVER ICWW	5,000	0	0	5,660	0	10,660
4533334	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR SW ALLAPATTAH RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	0	0	0	0	72,451	72,451
4559671	SR5/US1 FROM SE OSPREY ST TO S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
Total		467,521	410,228	420,560	5,660	508,301	1,812,270
DITS - STATEWIDE ITS - STATE 100%.							
4278035	MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM	569,040	346,489	0	0	0	915,529

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
DITS -							
Total		569,040	346,489	0	0	0	915,529
DPTO - STATE - PTO							
4071894	MARTIN COUNTY BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	0	0	0	0	447,306	447,306
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	0	0	13,054,045	0	0	13,054,045
4459781	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT PDC AND MIRL REPLACEMENT 7-25	0	3,680,000	0	0	0	3,680,000
4481171	WITHAM FIELD MILL & RESURFACE, MITL REPLACEMENT TAXIWAY C & C1	0	0	0	1,368,000	0	1,368,000
4496401	WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (DESIGN & CONSTRUCT)	14,500	0	0	0	0	14,500
4533841	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT - AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPGRADE	0	0	96,000	0	0	96,000
4548201	WITHAM FIELD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT	92,800	0	0	0	0	92,800
4549001	WITHAM FIELD SOUTH AIRPORT FACILITIES (DESIGN)	0	0	531,200	0	0	531,200
Total		107,300	3,680,000	13,681,245	1,368,000	447,306	19,283,851
DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS & PTO							
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	223,159	0	0	223,159
4476501	A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	3,730,003	0	0	0	0	3,730,003
4484461	SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD	4,440,274	0	0	0	0	4,440,274
4484471	SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	17,270,823	0	0	0	0	17,270,823
4498291	SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1	0	0	4,904,354	0	0	4,904,354
Total		25,941,100	0	5,127,513	0	0	31,068,613
DU - STATE PRIMARY/FEDERAL REIMB							

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
DU - STATE PRIMARY/FEDERAL REIMB							
4259774	MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5311, OPERATING RURAL FUNDS	171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
Total		171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
DWS - WEIGH STATIONS - STATE 100%							
4419951	MARTIN MAINLINE WEIGH IN MOTION (WIM) SCREENING	0	0	4,455,850	0	0	4,455,850
4478681	I-95 MARTIN WEIGH STATION - INSPECTION BARN UPGRADES	0	549,613	0	0	0	549,613
Total		0	549,613	4,455,850	0	0	5,005,463
FAA - FEDERAL AVIATION ADMIN							
4496401	WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (DESIGN & CONSTRUCT)	261,000	0	0	0	0	261,000
Total		261,000	0	0	0	0	261,000
FC5 - OPEN GRADE FRICTION COURSE FC5							
4559671	SR5/US1 FROM SE OSPREY ST TO S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD	495,041	0	0	0	0	495,041
Total		495,041	0	0	0	0	495,041
FTA - FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION							
4134931	PSL UZA - MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5307 FORMULA FUNDS	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	5,800,000
4346611	PSL UZA - MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5339 CAPITAL FOR BUS & BUS FACILITIES	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	650,000
Total		1,290,000	1,290,000	1,290,000	1,290,000	1,290,000	6,450,000
GRSC - GROWTH MANAGEMENT FOR SCOP							
4480891	CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION	285,938	0	0	0	0	285,938
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	50,000	0	0	0	50,000
4496941	GREENRIVER PARKWAY FR NE JENSEN BCH BLVD TO MARTIN COUNTY LINE	0	0	0	0	1,147,162	1,147,162

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
GRSC - GROWTH MANAGEMENT FOR SCOP							
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	1,832,051	0	0	0	1,832,051
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	137,805	0	0	137,805
Total		285,938	1,882,051	137,805	0	1,147,162	3,452,956
LF - LOCAL FUNDS							
4071894	MARTIN COUNTY BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	405,165	417,575	430,102	430,102	447,306	2,130,250
4196693	WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY	0	0	0	0	256,201	256,201
4259774	MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5311, OPERATING RURAL FUNDS	171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
4459781	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT PDC AND MIRL REPLACEMENT 7-25	0	920,000	0	0	0	920,000
4480891	CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION	251,411	0	0	0	0	251,411
4481171	WITHAM FIELD MILL & RESURFACE, MITL REPLACEMENT TAXIWAY C & C1	0	0	0	342,000	0	342,000
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	371,440	0	0	0	371,440
4496401	WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (DESIGN & CONSTRUCT)	14,500	0	0	0	0	14,500
4496941	GREENRIVER PARKWAY FR NE JENSEN BCH BLVD TO MARTIN COUNTY LINE	0	0	0	0	382,388	382,388
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	1,101,212	0	0	0	1,101,212
4508231	SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE	150,805	0	0	0	0	150,805
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	719,194	0	0	719,194
4529971	SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	0	308,187	0	0	0	308,187
4533841	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT - AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPGRADE	0	0	24,000	0	0	24,000
4539191	SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	0	295,204	0	295,204

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
LF - LOCAL FUNDS							
4548201	WITHAM FIELD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT	23,200	0	0	0	0	23,200
4548761	BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL	0	0	61,000	0	0	61,000
4549001	WITHAM FIELD SOUTH AIRPORT FACILITIES (DESIGN)	0	0	132,800	0	0	132,800
Total		1,016,996	3,298,441	1,555,264	1,255,474	1,281,590	8,407,765
PKYI - TURNPIKE IMPROVEMENT							
4461651	SR91 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS AT SR714 (MP 133.7 - 134.8)	1,891,800	500,931	0	100,000	0	2,492,731
4463331	WIDEN TPK(SR91), SW MARTIN HWY TO ST.LUCIE C/L (MP134.8-138.08) (4TO8)	595,400	0	0	0	0	595,400
Total		2,487,200	500,931	0	100,000	0	3,088,131
PKYR - TURNPIKE RENEWAL & REPLACEMENT							
4466181	THOMAS B MANUEL BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (SB ONLY) (MP 131.2)	0	13,369,835	0	0	0	13,369,835
4485241	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT - 890083 (SR 91) (MP 138) MARTIN COUNTY	0	2,124,000	0	0	0	2,124,000
Total		0	15,493,835	0	0	0	15,493,835
PL - METRO PLAN (85% FA; 15% OTHER)							
4393285	MARTIN COUNTY FY 2024/2025-2025/2026 UPWP	571,463	0	0	0	0	571,463
4393286	MARTIN COUNTY FY 2026/2027-2027/2028 UPWP	0	571,463	571,463	0	0	1,142,926
4393287	MARTIN COUNTY UPWP FY 2028/2029-2029/2030	0	0	0	571,463	571,463	1,142,926
Total		571,463	571,463	571,463	571,463	571,463	2,857,315
SA - STP, ANY AREA							
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	0	0	452,710	0	0	452,710
4417001	COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	0	684,000	6,573,000	0	0	7,257,000
4444151	SR-5/US-1 AT BAKER RD	0	828,081	0	0	0	828,081

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
SA - STP, ANY AREA							
4444171	SR-5/US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD	0	36,960	0	0	0	36,960
4447052	NW ALICE ST @ FEC CROSSING	5,000	129,382	360,000	0	0	494,382
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	0	477,740	0	0	0	477,740
4475551	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HWY	18,000	18,000	0	0	0	36,000
4484471	SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	1,599,111	50,585	0	0	0	1,649,696
Total		1,622,111	2,224,748	7,385,710	0	0	11,232,569
SCED - 2012 SB1998-SMALL CO OUTREACH							
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	487,805	0	0	0	487,805
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	25,016	0	0	0	25,016
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	487,805	457,058	0	944,863
Total		0	512,821	487,805	457,058	0	1,457,684
SCOP - SMALL COUNTY OUTREACH PROGRAM							
4480891	CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION	468,293	0	0	0	0	468,293
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	5,053	0	0	0	5,053
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	462,896	0	0	0	462,896
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	454,146	0	0	454,146
4539191	SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	0	442,805	0	442,805
Total		468,293	467,949	454,146	442,805	0	1,833,193
SCWR - 2015 SB2514A-SMALL CO OUTREACH							
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	568,293	0	0	0	568,293

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
SCWR - 2015 SB2514A-SMALL CO OUTREACH							
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	93,245	0	0	0	93,245
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	570,244	0	0	570,244
4539191	SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	0	442,806	0	442,806
Total		0	661,538	570,244	442,806	0	1,674,588
SIWR - 2015 SB2514A-STRATEGIC INT SYS							
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	0	9,931,699	0	0	41,695,234	51,626,933
Total		0	9,931,699	0	0	41,695,234	51,626,933
SL - STP, AREAS <= 200K							
4444171	SR-5/US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD	0	1,258,497	0	0	0	1,258,497
Total		0	1,258,497	0	0	0	1,258,497
SM - STBG AREA POP. W/ 5K TO 49,999							
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	0	0	523,537	0	0	523,537
Total		0	0	523,537	0	0	523,537
SU - STP, URBAN AREAS > 200K							
4196693	WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY	380,000	0	0	0	2,191,424	2,571,424
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	0	0	1,260,644	0	0	1,260,644
4416991	CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	404,689	0	0	1,394,888	1,545,773	3,345,350
4417001	COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	901,639	0	0	0	0	901,639
4444151	SR-5/US-1 AT BAKER RD	0	833,905	0	0	0	833,905
4444161	SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD	0	821,450	0	0	0	821,450
4447052	NW ALICE ST @ FEC CROSSING	0	580,618	0	0	0	580,618

5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
SU - STP, URBAN AREAS > 200K							
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	758,586	0	904,380	1,404,381	0	3,067,347
Total		2,444,914	2,235,973	2,165,024	2,799,269	3,737,197	13,382,377
TALM - TAP AREA POP. 5K TO 50,000							
4529971	SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	0	78,426	0	0	0	78,426
Total		0	78,426	0	0	0	78,426
TALT - TRANSPORTATION ALTS- ANY AREA							
4508231	SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE	214,508	0	0	0	0	214,508
4529971	SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	0	206,657	0	0	0	206,657
4548761	BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL	5,000	0	772,300	0	0	777,300
Total		219,508	206,657	772,300	0	0	1,198,465
TALU - TRANSPORTATION ALTS- >200K							
4508231	SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE	365,711	0	0	0	0	365,711
4529971	SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	0	177,137	0	0	0	177,137
4548761	BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL	0	0	339,762	0	0	339,762
Total		365,711	177,137	339,762	0	0	882,610
TLWR - 2015 SB2514A-TRAIL NETWORK							
4435002	SE OSPREY ST TO SE GOMEZ AVE	0	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000
4435051	SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE	0	0	0	7,151,999	0	7,151,999
4473981	SAILFISH CAPITAL TRAIL/MARTIN TRAIL	0	0	1,600,000	0	0	1,600,000
4484472	SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO OSPREY STREET	9,299,556	0	0	0	0	9,299,556
Total		9,299,556	1,500,000	1,600,000	7,151,999	0	19,551,555

Martin County FY25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

FY 2025
MARTIN COUNTY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (CIP)
ROADS EXPENDITURE SUMMARY

Project	Project #	C or N	Total	To Date	Unfunded	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030 - FY2034
SIDEWALKS / PATHWAYS											
Multimodal Pathways	1011	N	800,000	0	0	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	400,000
SE MacArthur Boulevard Crosswalk	101108	N	365,000	0	365,000	0	0	0	0	0	365,000
Riverside Park Neighborhood Improvements - City of Stuart	101111	N	2,369,011	0	0	2,369,011	0	0	0	0	0
SE Avalon Drive Sidewalk	101112	N	533,228	0	0	533,228	0	0	0	0	0
SE Washington Street Sidewalk	101113	N	715,219	0	0	65,000	650,219	0	0	0	0
INTERSECTIONS											
Intersection Improvements	1016	C	5,950,000	0	0	595,000	595,000	595,000	595,000	595,000	2,975,000
Traffic Signals and Streetlight Rehabilitations	101601	N	10,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	5,000,000
SE Salerno Road- SE Cable Drive Turn Lane	101603	N	480,000	0	0	480,000	0	0	0	0	0
SR-76 (S Kanner Hwy) Turn Lane at SW South River Drive	101605	C	995,300	151,804	0	0	814,443	29,053	0	0	0
US-1 (NW Federal Highway) Turn Lane at NW Baker Road	101608	C	2,067,964	235,755	0	80,000	264,397	1,487,812	0	0	0
US-1 (SW Federal Highway) Turn Lane at SR-76 (S Kanner Highway)	101609	C	8,588,892	0	0	0	861,680	1,820,000	4,502,831	1,404,381	0
SR-714 SE Monterey Road and CR-A1A Multimodal Pathway	101611	C	1,216,997	80,000	0	1,136,997	0	0	0	0	0
SE Bridge Road Turn Lane at SE Powerline Avenue	101612	C	860,000	30,000	700,000	130,000	0	0	0	0	700,000
SW 96th Street Pedestrian Lighting	101614	N	100,000	0	0	100,000	0	0	0	0	0
ROADWAY / DRAINAGE / SEWER											
Resurfacing and Drainage Maintenance	1017	N	47,577,314	0	0	537,483	422,483	379,967	882,483	767,483	44,587,415
Pavement Marking Maintenance	1017PM	N	1,000,000	0	0	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	500,000
Jensen Beach Neighborhood Restoration	101719	N	2,281,000	571,000	0	0	0	0	1,710,000	0	0
Old Palm City (South) Neighborhood Restoration	101738	N	5,141,500	2,541,500	0	0	0	2,600,000	0	0	0
Port Salerno/New Monrovia Neighborhood Restoration	101739	N	3,812,756	1,835,500	0	0	0	1,977,256	0	0	0
Coral Gardens Neighborhood Restoration	101742	N	2,740,000	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,240,000	0	0	0
Rocky Point Neighborhood Restoration	101747	N	5,538,000	288,000	0	2,200,000	1,000,000	0	2,050,000	0	0
Tropic Vista Neighborhood Restoration	101760	N	1,910,000	0	0	0	110,000	1,800,000	0	0	0
SPS/Manatee Business Park Improvements	101762	N	1,930,000	130,000	0	450,000	1,350,000	0	0	0	0
Zeus Park Neighborhood Restoration	101765	N	3,050,000	0	0	0	0	0	250,000	2,800,000	0
Old Palm City (North) Neighborhood Restoration	101766	N	7,057,372	40,500	0	485,000	6,531,872	0	0	0	0
South County Neighborhood Restoration	101767	N	1,800,000	0	0	150,000	0	1,650,000	0	0	0
SE Shell Avenue Realignment	101773	N	980,000	0	825,000	155,000	0	0	0	0	825,000
Rio Neighborhood Restoration	101776	N	3,885,000	0	0	0	185,000	1,850,000	1,850,000	0	0
South Fork Neighborhood Restoration	101777	N	3,050,000	0	0	0	0	250,000	2,800,000	0	0
Dirt Road Paving (Urban Service District)	101778	N	3,500,000	0	0	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	1,750,000
SW Fox Brown Road Resurfacing	101784	N	2,805,914	0	0	2,805,914	0	0	0	0	0
NE Candice Avenue Extension	101785	N	1,705,000	0	1,705,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,705,000
Citrus Blvd Resurfacing (SR-714 to C-23 Canal)	101786	N	3,024,304	0	0	0	80,000	2,944,304	0	0	0
SW Kansas Avenue Resurfacing	101787	N	1,295,611	0	0	0	0	0	1,295,611	0	0
SE Countyline Road Resurfacing (US-1 to Wooden Bridge Lane)	101788	N	2,250,000	0	0	100,000	0	0	2,150,000	0	0
CR-609 Resurfacing (SR-710 to North of Minute Maid Road)	101789	N	100,000	0	0	0	0	0	100,000	0	0
Gomez West Neighborhood Restoration	101790	N	2,350,000	0	0	0	0	0	150,000	2,200,000	0
Browning - MHG Neighborhood Restoration	101791	N	1,550,000	0	0	0	0	0	150,000	1,400,000	0
SE Green River Parkway Resurfacing	101792	N	150,000	0	0	0	0	0	150,000	0	0
SE Commerce Avenue Resurfacing	101793	N	250,000	0	0	0	0	0	250,000	0	0
ANNUAL COMMITMENTS											

Annual Commitments	1019	N	4,500,000	0	0	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	2,500,000
BRIDGES											
Bridge Replacements/Renovations	1053	N	3,000,000	0	0	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	1,500,000
NW Pine Lake Drive Bridge Replacement	105307	N	2,600,000	0	0	0	250,000	0	0	2,350,000	0
SE Island Way West Bridge Replacement	105313	N	2,600,000	0	0	300,000	0	2,300,000	0	0	0
CR 708 Bridge Scour Protection	105314	N	1,554,231	0	0	100,000	0	1,454,231	0	0	0
Arundel Bridge (SW 96th St.) Scour Repair	105315	N	1,585,760	0	0	0	100,000	0	1,485,760	0	0
TRAFFIC / CAPACITY											
Traffic Safety Measures	1064	N	5,000,000	0	0	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	2,500,000
SR-710 (SW Warfield Boulevard) Widening	1066A	C	50,349,089	0	0	2,635,000	8,099,019	12,246,519	16,272,920	11,095,631	0
SR-714 (SW Martin Highway) Widening	1123A	C	23,816,729	20,388,739	0	3,427,990	0	0	0	0	0
SE Willoughby Boulevard Extension	1124	C	1,225,985	845,985	0	0	380,000	0	0	0	0
CR-713 (SW High Meadow Avenue) Widening	1125	C	2,696,043	0	0	0	1,176,995	0	0	1,519,048	0
SE Cove Road Widening	1126	C	4,937,050	0	0	3,345,299	1,591,751	0	0	0	0
LANDSCAPE/BEAUTIFICATION											
Enhanced Landscape Rehabilitation	TBD	N	2,500,000	0	2,500,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,500,000
HEAVY EQUIPMENT											
Heavy Equipment Replacement	4957	N	8,050,000	0	0	805,000	805,000	805,000	805,000	805,000	4,025,000
Expenditure Totals			260,190,269	28,638,783	6,095,000	25,715,922	27,997,859	38,159,142	40,179,605	27,666,543	71,832,415

ROADS REVENUE SUMMARY

Revenue		Total	To Date	Carryover	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030- FY2034
Road MSTU		31,760,342	1,186,000	1,949,512	2,862,483	2,862,483	2,862,483	2,862,483	2,362,483	14,812,415
Ad Valorem		2,012,000	2,012,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stormwater MSTU		1,000,000	0	0	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	500,000
Gas Tax		16,934,500	211,500	143,000	1,608,000	1,608,000	1,608,000	1,608,000	1,608,000	8,540,000
Private Contribution		259,744	0	0	259,744	0	0	0	0	0
Grant		14,167,740	0	3,242,500	3,732,631	580,219	4,076,779	2,535,611	0	0
FPL Franchise Fee		90,121,372	3,627,000	3,574,372	8,217,000	8,217,000	8,217,000	8,217,000	8,217,000	41,835,000
State Funds		95,814,049	21,622,283	0	10,625,286	13,188,285	15,583,384	20,775,751	14,019,060	0
Impact Fees		880,000	80,000	0	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	400,000
City Funds		845,522	0	0	845,522	0	0	0	0	0
Fire MSTU		300,000	0	0	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	150,000
Revenue Total		254,095,269	28,738,783	8,909,384	28,360,666	26,665,987	32,557,646	36,208,845	26,416,543	66,237,415

Town of Sewall's Point FY24 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

Draft 5 Year Capital Improvement Plan

**TOWN OF SEWALL'S POINT
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2024 - 2028**



PROJECT COSTS

CAPITAL PROJECTS

	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	5-YR TOTAL
South Sewall's Point Road Phase 1 Part 4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000
South Sewall's Point Road Phase 2	\$ 6,170,000	\$ 4,226,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,546,000
South Sewall's Point Road Phase 3	\$ 10,538,046	\$ 150,780	\$ 1,798,988	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,487,814
South Sewall's Point Road Phase 4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 6,900,000	\$ -	\$ 14,400,000
North Sewall's Point Road	\$ 387,000	\$ 26,948,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27,335,000
Stormwater/Vulnerability Master Plan	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
South Sewall's Point Septic to Sewer	\$ 5,062,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,062,000
Police Department Remodel	\$ 109,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 109,500
Police Patrol Cars	\$ 55,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 58,000	\$ 58,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 286,000
Computer Replacements	\$ 20,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000
Town Hall Resiliency Project	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 5,000,000
TOTAL	\$ 22,341,546	\$ 31,389,780	\$ 12,016,988	\$ 9,458,000	\$ 2,560,000	\$ 77,766,314

CAPITAL MAINTENANCE

Engineering	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 375,000
Streetlights & Signs	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 75,000
Streets & Bridges/Seawalls	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 500,000
Storm Water System Maintenance	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 625,000
Parks & Landscaping						
Streetscaping	\$ 25,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 165,000
Tree Maintenance	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 100,000
Town Hall	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 120,000
TOTAL	\$ 380,000	\$ 395,000	\$ 395,000	\$ 395,000	\$ 395,000	\$ 1,960,000

COST GRAND TOTAL	\$ 22,721,546	\$ 31,784,780	\$ 12,411,988	\$ 9,853,000	\$ 2,955,000	\$ 79,726,314
-------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Village of Indiantown FY24 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

Capital Improvements – 5 Year CIP

FY 2024
Budget
Workshop



Project Name	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	FY 25-26	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	Total Projected Cost
Tyler Technologies ERP System	570,000					570,000
CDGB Seminole Avenue	400,000	300,000				700,000
CDBG-MIT Civic Center	225,000	225,000				450,000
Lincoln Street	500,000	300,000				800,000
Uptown Drainage Design	350,000	350,000				700,000
Uptown Drainage Construction		1,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000		7,000,000
12-Inch Fire Loop (ARPA / MC)	2,000,000	1,300,000				3,300,000
SRF Water Plant Construction	3,000,000	5,000,000	4,100,000			12,100,000
FDEP Sewer Improvements	10,000,000	14,000,000	14,000,000			38,000,000
Wastewater Plant Construction	5,000,000	5,000,000				10,000,000
New ROWTP	5,000,000	15,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000		60,000,000
Railroad Avenue Water Main	500,000	4,000,000	150,000			6,000,000
151st Street Water Main	500,000	2,500,000				3,000,000
Water/Sewer R&R	300,000	309,000	318,300	427,800	440,600	1,795,700
Total	28,345,000	49,284,000	41,568,300	23,427,800	440,600	144,415,700

Town of Jupiter Island FY25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

Town of Jupiter Island																						
Road Microsurfacing and Asphalt Overlay Rotation																						
Last Update		3/28/2025																				
Road	Group	Grading	Length	Width	Sq. Feet	Sq. Yards	Type	Last Paved	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024	2024-2025	2025-2026	2026-2027	2027-2028
Gomez Road	15	90	11409	19	216,771	24,085	AO	2013														X
Reed Place	1	95	429	9	3,816	429	AO	2015	X													
Estrada Road	2	100	705	13	14,940	1,660	FDR	2015	X													
Greenville West	1	98	394	13	5,122	569	AO	2016	X													
Greenville East	3	100	394	13	5,122	569	AO	2016		X												
Bunker Hill Road	8	85	530	13	6,890	765	AO	2017														
River Road (the ramble)	1	90	1614	9	14,526	1,614	MS	2018				X										X
Grassy Trail	7	95	530	13	6,890	765	MS	2018				X										X
Palmetto Trail	7	95	530	13	6,890	765	MS	2018				X										X
Rabbit Run	7	95	530	13	9,903	741	MS	2018				X										X
Black Bear Trail	7	95	530	13	6,916	768	MS	2018				X										X
South Trail	7	85	530	8	4,240	471	AO	2018				X										X
Palm Trail	11	95	303	13	3,939	438	MS	2018				X										X
Angas Trail	11	95	300	13	3,900	433	MS	2018				X										X
Allen Trail	11	95	347	13	4,511	501	MS	2018				X										X
Links Road fka Delespine	9	95	576	15	37,592	960	AO	2018				X										X
Links Road	9	90	2000	15	37,592	3,333	AO	2018				X										X
Pitou Trail	12	90	384	17	6,528	725	MS	2018				X										X
Public Safety Parking	5	95			17,163	1,907	AO	2018				X										X
North Beach Road Drainag	5	100	8,884	18	159,912	17,768	FDR	2019					X									
North Beach Road	6	100	8,884	18	159,912	17,768	FDR	2020						X								
Town Hall Parking	6	50			43,515	4,835	AO	2024										X				
Devonshire Lane West	3	50	786	13	10,218	1,139	AO	2024										X				
Barrow Place West	3	60	496	13	5,148	572	MS	2024										X				
Barrow Place East	3	60	496	13	5,148	572	MS	2024										X				
Clear View Avenue	13	60	387	12	4,644	516	AO	2024										X				
Osceola Avenue	13	70	434	16	6,944	772	MS	2001										X				
Bright View Avenue	13	80	720	16	11,520	1,280	AO	2024										X				
Harmony Lane	13	84	771	12	9,252	1,028	MS	2024										X				
Bassett Creek Trail	14	70	1013	18	18,234	2,026	MS	2024										X				
Isle Ridge E/W Street	10	50	600	16	9,600	1,067	AO+Widening	1999											X			
Isle Ridge N/S Streets	10	50	1200	9	10,800	1,200	AO	1999											X			
Edge Repairs	-	-	varies	-	-	-	Edge	-	\$3,500		\$3,500		\$3,500		\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500
Mobilization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500
Total	-	-	40,246	-	632,571	65,867	-	-	\$3,500	\$2,500	\$6,000	\$2,500	\$6,000	\$2,500	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
Notes:																						
Micro-Surfacing: is a polymer modified, asphalt emulsion based, dense graded, cold mixed, quick setting, asphalt resurfacing material. It is designed to be applied in a semi-liquid condition with a specialized mixing and paving machine. By design it chemically changes from a semi-liquid material to a solid material.																						
Asphalt Overlay: An "overlay" is the paving of a second layer of asphalt over existing asphalt. An overlay can be done when the existing asphalt is in overall good condition, but will require limited repairs prior to application. This method is recommended over micro-surfacing when greater than 20% of the existing asphalt is in poor condition.																						
(FDR) Full Depth Reclamation: This process rebuilds worn out asphalt pavements by recycling the existing roadway. The old asphalt and base materials are pulverized and compacted to produce a strong durable base for either an asphalt or concrete surface. Alternately, new base material may be used.																						
North Beach Road will need a combination of Roadway Milling, Asphalt overlay and micro surfacing, approximately 4500 sq yards will be Asphalt overlay roadway and 13,268 sq yards will be Micro Surface.																						
Root Pruning should be utilized periodically where ficus or other plants may impact the structural integrity of the roadway. Root pruning is shown in alternating years and would be utilized as needed throughout the Town.																						

City of Stuart FY25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

	Title	Fund	Bud Acct	Orgn	Project	Proj Acct	OG #	Notes	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
1	ALT WATER SUPPLY PHASE II	410	56563	610	21032605	531	311	DESIGN - FA #2		600,000					
2	ALT WATER SUPPLY PHASE II	410	56563	610	21032605	563	311	CONSTRUCTION - FA #2 (DRILL WELL & CASING)			4,600,000				
3	RO TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION PHASE III	410	56363	610	TBD	531	TBD	DESIGN (WELL & ADDL RO EQUIP) FA #3				2,000,000			
4	RO TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION PHASE III	410	56363	610	TBD	563	TBD	CONSTRUCTION (WELL & ADDL RO EQUIP) FA #3					4,800,000	4,800,000	1,800,000
5	REHAB RAW WATER WELL 27	410	53531	610	TBD	531	TBD	DESIGN		45,000					
6	REHAB RAW WATER WELL 27	410	53546	610	TBD	546	TBD	CONSTRUCTION			1,500,000				
7	EFF PUMP STATION WET WELL EXPANSION MODIFICATION	410	56563	620	21032605	563	345	DESIGNED IN 2023, SEEK POSSIBLE GRANT FUNDING				500,000			
8	REHAB/REPLACE CLARIFIER BASINS	410	56563	620	TBD	563	314	GRAVITY PIPING MANIFOLDS				1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	
9	TURBO BLOWERS	410	56564	620	20044201	531	313	DESIGN - DEFER TO FY26. SEEK GRANT FUNDING OPP FY25			150,000				
10	TURBO BLOWERS	410	56564	620	20044201	564	313	TURBO BLOWERS - CONSTRUCTION				1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	
11	WRF HEADWORKS IMPROVEMENTS	410	56562	620	22046101	562	308	WRF HEADWORKS IMPS	750,000	750,000					
12	WRF HEADWORKS IMPROVEMENTS PH 2 (TANK ROOF STRUCTURES)	410		620				EQUIPMENT			800,000				
13	WRF HEADWORKS IMPROVEMENTS PH 2 (TANK ROOF STRUCTURES)	410		620				DESIGN & CEI			315,000				
14	WRF HEADWORKS IMPROVEMENTS PH 2 (TANK ROOF STRUCTURES)	410	56562	620	TBD	TBD	TBD	INSTALLATION			1,300,000				
15	FIRE HYDRANT/WATER MAIN UPGRADES	410	56563	630	TBD	531	347	ST LUCIE BLVD FIRE HYDRANTS (5) INSTALLATION IN FY24	75,000	~~75,000~~					
16	B&A FLEA MARKET UTILITIES	410	56563	630	22046301	563	346	B&A FLEA MARKET UTILITIES				20,000	30,000		
17	FORCE MAIN EVALUATIONS (EXISTING)-CITYWIDE	410	53531	630	TBD	531	348	EVALUATE FM CONDITIONS	100,000						
18	FORCE MAIN UPGRADES-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051601	531	348	DESIGN- DEFER FROM FY25. NO CURRENT FUNDING.			150,000				
19	FORCE MAIN UPGRADES-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051601	563	348	CONSTRUCTION				500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000
20	GRINDER STA INTALLS	410	53552	630	22011201	552	302	GRINDER INSTALL RESTORATION		10,000	10,000	7,150	5,200		
21	GRINDER STA INTALLS	410	56563	630	22011201	563	302	GRINDER SYSTEMS		274,738	300,000	203,713	168,200		
22	LIFTSTATION REHAB C25 & C45 (CONQUISTADOR)	410	56563	630	050101	531	349	DESIGN/CONSULTANT/CEI (CONQUISTADOR)	150,000	25,000					
23	LIFTSTATION REHAB C25 & C45 (CONQUISTADOR)	410	56563	630	050101	563	349	CONSTRUCTION (CONQUISTADOR)		500,000					
24	L/S C25 N. STUART	410	56563	630	TBD	563	TBD	CONSTRUCTION			1,000,000				
25	MONTEREY RD FORCE MAIN CONSTRUCTION	410	56563	630	21040501	531	312	DESIGN	75,000	75,000					
26	MONTEREY RD FORCE MAIN CONSTRUCTION	410	56563	630	21040501	563	312	RECONSTRUCT MONTEREY RD FORCE MAIN @ US-1			425,000				
27	KRUEGER FORCEMAIN REPLACEMENT PH3	410	56563	630	20044403	531	352	DESIGN		100,000	150,000				
28	KRUEGER FORCEMAIN REPLACEMENT PH3	410	56563	630	20044403	563	352	CONSTRUCTION & CEI (SAILFISH PH 3)			2,000,000	2,000,000			
29	WATER MAIN EVALUATIONS (EXISTING)-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051501	531	344	EVALUATE WM CONDITIONS W/HYDRAULIC MODELING		100,000	150,000				
30	WATER MAIN UPGRADES-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051501	531	344	DESIGN			250,000				
31	WATER MAIN UPGRADES-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051501	563	344	CONSTRUCTION			250,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000
32	LPS WATERCRESS WAY SW	410	56563	630	051701	531		DESIGN		25,000					
33	LPS WATERCRESS WAY SW	410	56563	630	051701	563		CONSTRUCTION		50,000					
34	PUBLIC WORKS COMPLEX	420	53531	720	20042503	531	350	ENG DESIGN SVCS PW/SAN/VEH MAINT FACILITY (CONCEPTUAL)	515,000						
35	PUBLIC WORKS COMPLEX	420	56562	720	20042503	531	350	ENG DESIGN SVCS PW/SAN/VEH MAINT FACILITY		985,000					
36	FLOURIDE SYSTEM							REPLACE SYSTEM & RECOAT CONTAINMENT AREA		150,000					
										3,689,738	13,350,000	5,730,863	3,703,400	3,500,000	
	PUBLIC WORKS														
32	BANDSHELL IMPROVEMENTS	001	56563	220		563	328	BANDSHELL IMPROVEMENTS	-			75,000			
33	VETERANS MEMORIAL PK AMPHITHEATRE	001	56563	220	47110	563	329	VETERANS MEMORIAL PK AMPHITHEATRE	1,271,000	2,819,402					
34	COURTESY DOCK WAVE ATTENUATOR	001	56563	230	PWP00394	563	301	COURTESY DOCK WAVE ATTENUATOR	50,000	1,150,000	1,150,000				
35	HANEY CREEK NATURE TRAILS	001	56563	230		563	318	HANEY CREEK NATURE TRAILS					555,710		
36	SE CENTRAL PKWY DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	325			17,000	111,000				
37	SE FLAMINGO AVE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810	47115	563	326	SE FLAMINGO AVE DRAINAGE IMPRS					500,000		
38	SE OCEAN AVE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	324	DESIGN				38,000			
39	SE OCEAN AVE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	324	CONSTRUCTION					273,000		
40	SW SOUTH CAROLINA DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	327	DESIGN			12,000				

	Title	Fund	Bud Acct	Orgn	Project	Proj Acct	OG #	Notes	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
41	SW SOUTH CAROLINA DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	327	CONSTRUCTION				90,720			
42	DYER DR. STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	321	DESIGN	50,000						
43	DYER DR. STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	321	CONSTRUCTION		262,000					
44	LAKE CHARLOTTE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	323	DESIGN				30,800			
45	LAKE CHARLOTTE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	323	CONSTRUCTION					221,600		
46	LONITA STREET DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	322	DESIGN	80,000						
47	LONITA STREET DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	322	CONSTRUCTION		327,600					
48	(119, 312, 300) SE MARTIN AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				75,000			
49	(119, 312, 300) SE MARTIN AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					636,000		
50	201 SE CHANNEL AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				25,000			
51	201 SE CHANNEL AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				182,000			
52	540 SE ST LUCIE CRESCENT DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN			12,000				
53	540 SE ST LUCIE CRESCENT DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION			95,000				
54	713 SW BRYANT AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				8,000			
55	713 SW BRYANT AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				25,000			
56	834-906 SE LINCOLN AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN		50,000					
57	834-906 SE LINCOLN AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION			521,000				
58	SW NORTH CAROLINA DRIVE @ DEAD END DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN			30,000				
59	SW NORTH CAROLINA DRIVE @ DEAD END DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				300,000			
60	SE STYPMANN BLVD NEIGHBORHOOD DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				200,000	200,000		
61	SE STYPMANN BLVD NEIGHBORHOOD DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION						1,600,000	
62	304 SW INDIAN GROVE DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				70,000			
63	304 SW INDIAN GROVE DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					670,000		
64	HOLIDAY MH PARK/FRAZIER CREEK TRIB DITCH DRAINAGE	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN		60,000					
65	HOLIDAY MH PARK/FRAZIER CREEK TRIB DITCH DRAINAGE	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION			578,000				
66	(502) SE DOLPHIN DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN					50,000		
67	(502) SE DOLPHIN DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION						424,000	
68	(510) SW SOUTH CAROLINA DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN					15,000		
69	(510) SW SOUTH CAROLINA DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION						131,000	
70	(100) SW ATLANTA AVE/ANCHORAGE WAY DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN					30,000		
71	(100) SW ATLANTA AVE/ANCHORAGE WAY DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION						236,000	
72	(201) SE DUNSCOMBE RD DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN					40,000		
73	(201) SE DUNSCOMBE RD DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION						327,000	
74	WRIGHT BLVD/DIXIE HWY DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN					150,000		
75	WRIGHT BLVD/DIXIE HWY DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION						1,250,000	
76	(518) NW 3RD STREET DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN					50,000		

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

Annual Obligations Report

HIGHWAYS
=====

ITEM NUMBER:413253 2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-9/I-95 FROM MARTIN/PALM BEACH COUNTY LINE TO CR-708/BRIDGE ROAD	*SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:PD&E/EMO STUDY
ROADWAY ID:89095000	PROJECT LENGTH: 7.131MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3/ 3/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
NHPP	284,378	
TOTAL 413253 2	284,378	
TOTAL 413253 2	284,378	

ITEM NUMBER:413254 2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-9/I-95 FROM CR-708/BRIDGE ROAD TO HIGH MEADOWS	*SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:PD&E/EMO STUDY
ROADWAY ID:89095000	PROJECT LENGTH: 6.440MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3/ 3/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
NHPP	349,832	
TOTAL 413254 2	349,832	
TOTAL 413254 2	349,832	

ITEM NUMBER:419669 3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:PD&E/EMO STUDY
ROADWAY ID:	PROJECT LENGTH: .000	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 0/ 0/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
CARU	796,716	
CM	755,246	
SA	26,000	
SU	2,737,531	
TOTAL 419669 3	4,315,493	
TOTAL 419669 3	4,315,493	

ITEM NUMBER:422681 5	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-9/I-95 FROM HIGH MEADOWS TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	*SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:PD&E/EMO STUDY
ROADWAY ID:89095000	PROJECT LENGTH: 10.918MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3/ 3/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
NHPP	289,338	
TOTAL 422681 5	289,338	
TOTAL 422681 5	289,338	

HIGHWAYS
=====

ITEM NUMBER:434273 4	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-9/I-95 FROM PALM BEACH/MARTIN CO LINE TO CR-708 INTERCHANGE	*SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	
ROADWAY ID:89095000	PROJECT LENGTH: 7.910MI	TYPE OF WORK:SAFETY PROJECT
		LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3/ 3/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
HSP		-30
TOTAL 434273 4		-30
TOTAL 434273 4		-30

ITEM NUMBER:435139 2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:CR-707/SE BEACH ROAD FROM PALM BEACH/MARTIN CL TO CR-708/SE BRIDGE RD	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	
ROADWAY ID:89030000	PROJECT LENGTH: 7.052MI	TYPE OF WORK:RESURFACING
		LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SU		-25,305
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C		
SA		1,000
SU		59,350
TOTAL 435139 2		35,045
TOTAL 435139 2		35,045

ITEM NUMBER:436425 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:MURPHY ROAD BRIDGE	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	
ROADWAY ID:89000002	PROJECT LENGTH: .020MI	TYPE OF WORK:BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
		LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SU		-158,004
TOTAL 436425 1		-158,004
TOTAL 436425 1		-158,004

ITEM NUMBER:437838 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-5/US-1 FROM S. OF SE HERITAGE BLVD. TO NORTH OF SE SALERNO RD.	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	
ROADWAY ID:89010000	PROJECT LENGTH: 3.570MI	TYPE OF WORK:RESURFACING
		LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3/ 3/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SA		1,000
TOTAL 437838 1		1,000
TOTAL 437838 1		1,000

HIGHWAYS

=====

ITEM NUMBER:438346 2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SE OCEAN BLVD FROM WEST OF SE HOSPITAL AVE TO SE PALM BEACH ROAD	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:BIKE LANE/SIDEWALK
ROADWAY ID:89040000	PROJECT LENGTH: .440MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SA	-13,188	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C		
SU	-6,591	
TOTAL 438346 2	-19,779	
TOTAL 438346 2	-19,779	

ITEM NUMBER:440811 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:CR-708/SW BRIDGE RD FROM CR-711/PRATT WHITNEY TO SR-5/US-1	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION
ROADWAY ID:89510000	PROJECT LENGTH: 8.680MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SU	-107,309	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C		
SA	-91,530	
SU	-50,429	
TOTAL 440811 1	-249,268	
TOTAL 440811 1	-249,268	

ITEM NUMBER:441699 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM SR-9/I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT
ROADWAY ID:89000032	PROJECT LENGTH: 2.670MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 2
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SA	28,591	
TOTAL 441699 1	28,591	
TOTAL 441699 1	28,591	

ITEM NUMBER:441700 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:PD&E/EMO STUDY
ROADWAY ID:89000003	PROJECT LENGTH: 3.230MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 2
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SU	249,456	
TOTAL 441700 1	249,456	
TOTAL 441700 1	249,456	

HIGHWAYS
=====

ITEM NUMBER:441701 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:COVE ROAD FROM SR-5/US-1 TO DIXIE HIGHWAY	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION
ROADWAY ID:89000003	PROJECT LENGTH: 1.080MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SU	10,074	
TOTAL 441701 1	10,074	
TOTAL 441701 1	10,074	

ITEM NUMBER:443992 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-5/US-1 FR N OF NW JENSEN BEACH BLVD TO MARTIN/ST LUCIE COUNTY LINE	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:RESURFACING
ROADWAY ID:89010000	PROJECT LENGTH: 1.426MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 4/ 4/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SA	3,000	
TOTAL 443992 1	3,000	
TOTAL 443992 1	3,000	

ITEM NUMBER:446072 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SALERNO ROAD FROM SOUTHEAST WILLOUGHBY TO SOUTHEAST CABLE DRIVE	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:BIKE LANE/SIDEWALK
ROADWAY ID:89000004	PROJECT LENGTH: .911MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
TALT	6,986	
TALU	14,409	
TOTAL 446072 1	21,395	
TOTAL 446072 1	21,395	

ITEM NUMBER:447002 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:INTERSECTION LIGHTING RETROFIT IMPROVEMENT	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:LIGHTING
ROADWAY ID:89091000	PROJECT LENGTH: .015MI	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 4/ 0/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
HSP	17,463	
TOTAL 447002 1	17,463	
TOTAL 447002 1	17,463	

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT
=====

HIGHWAYS
=====

ITEM NUMBER:447555 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-710/SW WARFIELD BOULEVARD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HIGHWAY
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN
ROADWAY ID:89090500	PROJECT LENGTH: .485MI
FUND CODE	2024
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT	
SU	547,719
TOTAL 447555 1	547,719
TOTAL 447555 1	547,719

SIS
TYPE OF WORK:INTERSECTION (NEW)
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 0/ 2

ITEM NUMBER:448307 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:CITY OF STUART - VARIOUS LOCATIONS
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN
ROADWAY ID:89000044	PROJECT LENGTH: .748MI
FUND CODE	2024
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT	
TALT	-1,726
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT	
TALT	22,061
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C	
SM	580,473
TALT	346,270
TALU	596,746
TOTAL 448307 1	1,543,824
TOTAL 448307 1	1,543,824

NON-SIS
TYPE OF WORK:SIDEWALK
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 1/ 1/ 0

ITEM NUMBER:448397 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD TURN LANE AT TOMMY CLEMENTS STREET
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN
ROADWAY ID:89070000	PROJECT LENGTH: .386MI
FUND CODE	2024
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT	
SU	-9,707
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT	
SU	151,548
TOTAL 448397 1	141,841
TOTAL 448397 1	141,841

SIS
TYPE OF WORK:ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 0/ 2

ITEM NUMBER:449159 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-9/I-95 N OF BRIDGE RD TO S OF SR-76/KANNER HWY
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN
ROADWAY ID:89095000	PROJECT LENGTH: 3.675MI
FUND CODE	2024
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT	
SA	12,904
TOTAL 449159 1	12,904
TOTAL 449159 1	12,904

SIS
TYPE OF WORK:RESURFACING
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3/ 3/ 0

HIGHWAYS
=====

ITEM NUMBER:449160 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-9/I-95 FR S OF SR-76/KANNER HWY TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	*SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	
ROADWAY ID:89095000	PROJECT LENGTH: 13.327MI	TYPE OF WORK:RESURFACING
		LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 6/ 3/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
NHPP	637,007	
TOTAL 449160 1	637,007	
TOTAL 449160 1	637,007	
ITEM NUMBER:450794 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:CR-708/SE BRIDGE RD BRIDGE# 890107	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	
ROADWAY ID:89030000	PROJECT LENGTH: 7.420MI	TYPE OF WORK:FEASIBILITY STUDY
		LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SU	162,279	
TOTAL 450794 1	162,279	
TOTAL 450794 1	162,279	
ITEM NUMBER:450823 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	
ROADWAY ID:89900076	PROJECT LENGTH: .671MI	TYPE OF WORK:SIDEWALK
		LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 0/ 0
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
TALT	5,000	
TOTAL 450823 1	5,000	
TOTAL 450823 1	5,000	
ITEM NUMBER:453333 1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	*SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	
ROADWAY ID:89070000	PROJECT LENGTH: 5.939MI	TYPE OF WORK:ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT
		LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 4/ 2/ 2
FUND CODE	2024	
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT		
SA	150,000	
TOTAL 453333 1	150,000	
TOTAL 453333 1	150,000	
TOTAL DIST: 04	8,378,558	
TOTAL HIGHWAYS	8,378,558	

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT
=====

PLANNING
=====

ITEM NUMBER:439328 4	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:MARTIN COUNTY FY 2022/2023-2023/2024 UPWP	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ROADWAY ID:	PROJECT LENGTH: .000	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 0/ 0/ 0

FUND CODE	2024
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C	
PL	372,913
TOTAL 439328 4	372,913
TOTAL 439328 4	372,913

ITEM NUMBER:439328 5	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:MARTIN COUNTY FY 2024/2025-2025/2026 UPWP	*NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04	COUNTY:MARTIN	TYPE OF WORK:TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ROADWAY ID:	PROJECT LENGTH: .000	LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 0/ 0/ 0

FUND CODE	2024
PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C	
PL	200,294
TOTAL 439328 5	200,294
TOTAL 439328 5	200,294
TOTAL DIST: 04	573,207
TOTAL PLANNING	573,207

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT
=====

MISCELLANEOUS
=====

ITEM NUMBER:436735 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION:JONATHAN DICKINSON STATE PARK-FLAP GRANT FOR TRAIL & US-1 SIGNALIZATN *NON-SIS*
DISTRICT:04 COUNTY:MARTIN TYPE OF WORK:ENVIRONMENTAL TEST/MITIGATE
ROADWAY ID:89010000 PROJECT LENGTH: .070MI LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0

FUND CODE	2024
PHASE: GRANTS AND MISCELLANEOUS / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT	
SA	24,004
TOTAL 436735 2	24,004
TOTAL 436735 2	24,004
TOTAL DIST: 04	24,004
TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS	24,004
GRAND TOTAL	8,975,769

2024 Federally Obligated Transit Funds

FM#	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	FUND	WORK MIX	PHASE	2024 FUNDING
4134931	MARTIN COUNTY TRANSIT SECTION 5307	FTA	FIXED ROUTE	TRANSIT OPERATING	408,141
N/A	MARTIN COUNTY TRANSIT SECTION 5307-CARES ACT	FTA	FIXED ROUTE	TRANSIT OPERATING	532,472
425977-3-84-01	MARTIN COUNTY TRANSIT SECTION-5311	FTA	FIXED ROUTE	TRANSIT OPERATING	139,721


Table 12 – Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) Program – FY25

Trip & Equipment Grant Allocation			Planning Grant Allocation		
TD Trust Fund	Local Match	Total	TD Trust Fund	Local Match	Total
\$255,725	\$38,413	\$284,138	\$26,488	\$0	\$26,488

Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) services are provided pursuant to Florida Statute 427.015. In Martin County, the MPO is the Designated Official Planning Agency (DOPA) and the Senior Resource Association is the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC). For FY 2024/25, the Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged has programmed the following funds for the Martin County TD program:

TD is defined as those persons who, because of physical or mental disability, income status or age are unable to transport themselves or to purchase transportation and are, therefore, dependent on others to obtain access to health care, employment, education, shopping, social activities or other life-sustaining activities. These persons also include children who are handicapped or high-risk or at risk as defined in Ch. 411, F.S.

Martin MPO Planning Area Map



Safety Plan

for

Marty

Martin County's Public Transit Service



For

Federal Transit Administration

Martin County Board of County Commissioners

Version 4

Table of Contents

Transit Agency Information	Page 3
Plan Development, Approval, and Updates	Page 3
Safety Performance Targets	Page 5
Safety Management Policy	Page 6
Safety Risk Management	Page 9
Safety Assurance	Page 11
Safety Promotion	Page 14
Additional Information	Page 16
Definitions	Page 17
List of Acronyms	Page 21
Joint Safety Committee Signatures of Approval	Page 22

1. Transit Agency Information

Transit Agency Name	Martin County Board of County Commissioners			
Transit Agency Address	2401 SE Monterey Road, Stuart, FL 34996			
Name and Title of Accountable Executive	James Gorton, Public Works Director			
Name of Chief Safety Officer or SMS Executive	Ashman Beecher, Transit Administrator			
Mode(s) of Service Covered by This Plan	Fixed Route Bus; Commuter Bus; Paratransit	List All FTA Funding Types (e.g., 5307, 5337, 5339)	5307 5339 5311	
Mode(s) of Service Provided by the Transit Agency (Directly operated or contracted service)	Fixed Route Bus; Commuter Bus; Paratransit This is a contracted service.			
Does the agency provide transit services on behalf of another transit agency or entity?	Yes ☐	No ☒	Description of Arrangement(s)	N/A
Name and Address of Transit Agency(ies) or Entity(ies) for Which Service Is Provided	N/A			

2. Plan Development, Approval, and Updates

Name of Entity That Drafted This Plan	Ashman Beecher, Transit Administrator		
Approval by the Joint Safety Committee	Date of Approval 10/15/2024		
Signature by the Accountable Executive	Signature of Accountable Executive	Date of Signature	10-24-2024
	James Gorton, Public Works Director		

Approval by the Board of Directors or an Equivalent Authority	Martin County Board of County Commissioners	Date of Approval
	Approved BOCC meeting agenda item 25-0257	11/19/2024
	Relevant Documentation (Title and Location)	
	Copy of meeting agenda and action summary approving the Agency Safety Plan (ASP), is maintained on file by the Chief Safety Officer, in the Martin County Public Works Department.	

Version Number and Updates

Record the complete history of successive versions of this plan.

Version Number	Section/Pages Affected	Reason for Change	Date Issued
1		New Document	06/02/2020
2	Pages 1-16	Annual Update	11/18/2022
3	Pages 1-19	Annual Update	10/09/2023
4	Pages 1 - 21	Annual Update	11/19/2024

Annual Review and Update of the Agency Safety Plan

This plan will be reviewed by a Joint labor-management Safety Committee (JSC) and updated by the Chief Safety Officer on an annual basis. The Accountable Executive will review and approve any changes, signing the ASP. The updated ASP will then go to the Board of County Commissioner for final approval by December 31.

3. Safety Performance Targets

Safety Performance Targets

Specify performance targets based on the safety performance measures established under the National Public Transportation Safety Plan. Safety performance targets will be evaluated over a fiscal year period with baseline year Fiscal Year 2025 (October 1, 2024 – September 30, 2025).

Mode of Transit Service	Fatality (Total)	Fatality (Rate per Total VRM)	Injury (Total)	Injury (Rate per Total VRM)	Major Safety Event (Total)	Major Safety Event (Rate per Total VRM)	System Reliability (VRM / failures)
Fixed Route Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	41,220
Commuter Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,340
ADA Paratransit	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,506

Safety Performance Targets (Transit Worker)

Mode of Transit Service	Transit Worker Fatality (Total)	Transit Worker Fatality (Rate per Total VRM)	Transit Worker Injury (Total)	Transit Worker Injury (Rate per Total VRM)	Assault on Transit Worker (Total VRM)	Assault on Transit Worker (Rate per VRM)
Fixed Route Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commuter Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0
ADA Paratransit	0	0	0	0	0	0

Safety Performance Targets (Collisions)

Mode of Transit Service	Total Collisions	Collision (Rate per Total VRM)	Total Pedestrian Collision	Pedestrian Collision (Rate per Total)	Vehicular Collision (Rate per Total VRM)
Fixed Route Bus	0	0	0	0	0
Commuter Bus	0	0	0	0	0
ADA Paratransit	0	0	0	0	0

Safety Performance Target Coordination

Describe the coordination with the State and Metropolitan Planning Organization(s) (MPO) in the selection of State and MPO safety performance targets.

The Chief Safety Officer shares the ASP, including safety performance targets, with the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) each year after its formal adoption by the Martin County Board of County Commissioners. (MCBOCC) The Chief Safety Officer also provides a copy of our formally adopted plan to the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). Transit personnel are available to coordinate with FDOT and the MPO in the selection of FDOT and MPO safety performance targets upon request.

Targets Transmitted to the State	State Entity Name	Date Targets Transmitted
	Florida Department of Transportation	11/19/2024
Targets Transmitted to the Metropolitan Planning Organization(s)	Metropolitan Planning Organization Name	Date Targets Transmitted
	Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization	11/19/2024

4. Safety Management Policy

Safety Management Policy Statement

including safety objectives.

Martin County's Public Transit service, MARTY is committed to providing safe, secure, clean, reliable, and efficient transportation services to its patrons. This policy statement serves to express management's commitment to and involvement in providing and maintaining a safe and secure transit system.

In the interest of safety and security, MARTY has developed and adopted this Safety Management System (SMS) that complies with 49 CFR PART 673 and is dedicated to the following safety objectives:

- Communicating the purpose and benefits of the SMS to all staff, managers, supervisors, and employees.
- Providing a culture of open reporting of all safety concerns, ensuring that no action will be taken against any employee who discloses a safety concern through MARTY's Employee Safety Reporting Program (ESRP), unless such disclosure indicates, beyond any reasonable doubt, an illegal act, gross negligence, or a deliberate or willful disregard of regulations or procedures.
- Providing appropriate management involvement and the necessary resources that will encourage employees to communicate and report any unsafe work conditions, hazards, or at-risk behavior to management.
- Identifying hazardous and unsafe work conditions and investigating any reported safety concerns by employees.

- Establishing safety performance targets that are realistic, measurable, and data driven. Continually improving our safety performance through management processes that ensure appropriate safety management action is taken and is effective.

MARTY and its On-Road Contractor are authorized and responsible for maintaining a coordinated safety system in order to identify and prevent unsafe acts and conditions that present a potential danger or threat to public safety. Management commits to maintain and implement the ASP and comply with the policies, procedures, and standards included in this document. All MARTY and its On-Road Operator staff is charged with the responsibility of adhering to this ASP. Any violation of safety and security practices is subject to disciplinary actions. Management is ultimately responsible for enforcing the ASP and maintaining a safe and secure system.

Safety Management Policy Communication

The Transit Administrator, who leads SMS activities, communicates SMS updates to transit staff annually or as needed via written communication or in-person meetings. The MARTY Safety Management Policy Statement is distributed to each employee and the On-Road Contractor. All parties receiving a copy of the statement and subsequent updates are required to sign for its receipt and acknowledge their responsibility in implementation. Distribution of the Safety Management Policy Statement has also been incorporated into the new-hire training and annual refresher training.

Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities

Accountable Executive	The Public Works Director serves as MARTY's Accountable Executive with the following authorities, accountabilities, and responsibilities under this plan: • Accountable for ensuring that the agency's SMS is effectively implemented. • Ensures action is taken, as necessary, to address substandard performance in the agency's SMS. • Assumes ultimate responsibility for carrying out MARTY's ASP, and SMS. • Designates an adequately trained Chief Safety Officer who is a direct report. • Controls and directs human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain the ASP and SMS. • Maintains responsibility for carrying out the agency's Transit Asset Management Plan.
------------------------------	---

Chief Safety Officer or SMS Executive	The Accountable Executive designates the Transit Administrator as MARTY's Chief Safety Officer. The Chief Safety Officer has the following authorities, accountabilities, and responsibilities under this plan: • Holds a direct line of reporting to the Accountable Executive. • Has the authority and responsibility for day-to-day implementation and operation of the agency's SMS. • Manages MARTY's ESRP • Develops MARTY's ASP and SMS policies and procedures. • Advises the Accountable Executive on SMS progress and status.
Agency Leadership and Executive Management	MARTY's Transit Specialist has been identified to have the following authorities and responsibilities for day-to-day SMS implementation and operation of the SMS under this plan.: • Complete training on SMS and ASP elements • Oversee day-to-day operations of the SMS
Key Staff	The Contracted On-Road Operations and Safety Manager are responsible for Driver training. • Drivers' Meetings: A permanent agenda item in all monthly Drivers' Meetings is dedicated to safety. Safety issues are discussed and documented. • Safety Event Investigations • Re-Training
Joint Safety Committee	Pursuant to the requirements of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law 49 U.S.C § 5329(d)(5) a Joint labor-management Safety Committee (JSC) has been established. The MARTY/MTM, Transit JSC meets quarterly to address safety-related matters, including the annual update of the. PTASP..

Employee Safety Reporting Program

Martin County's Employee Safety Reporting Program (ESRP) encourages employees or contracted employees to report safety conditions to senior management. Employees may report safety concerns in good faith without fear of retribution in the following ways:

- Report conditions directly to Chief Safety Officer, or Transit Systems Coordinator
- Report conditions anonymously via a locked comment box in the County General Services Yard.
- Comments via a sealed envelope can be dropped off at the County Administration Office at the security desk. Must have the words "For MARTY Chief Safety Officer" on the front of the envelope to ensure proper delivery.
- Report conditions at the monthly staff/contractor or driver safety meetings.

- Report conditions electronically in “Workday” Electronic application.

The comment box is checked weekly with any safety comments given directly to the Chief Safety Officer. Any safety conditions identified will be logged into a Safety Risk Register and reviewed by the Chief Safety Officer and addressed through the Safety Risk Management (SRM) process, including documentation by the Joint Safety Committee.

MARTY encourages participation in the ESRP by protecting employees that report safety conditions in good faith. However, disciplinary action may be required if the report involves any of the following:

- Willful participation in illegal activity, such as assault or theft.
- Gross negligence, such as knowingly utilizing heavy equipment for purposes other than intended such that people or property are put at risk; or
- Deliberate or willful disregard of regulations or procedures, such as reporting to work under the influence of controlled substances.

5. Safety Risk Management

Safety Risk Management Process

Describe the Safety Risk Management process, including:

- *Safety Hazard Identification: The methods or processes to identify hazards and consequences of the hazards.*
- *Safety Risk Assessment: The methods or processes to assess the safety risks associated with identified safety hazards.*
- *Safety Risk Mitigation: The methods or processes to identify mitigations or strategies necessary as a result of safety risk assessment.*

MARTY uses the SRM process as a primary method to ensure the safety of our operations, passengers, employees, vehicles, and facilities. It is a process whereby hazards and their consequences are identified, assessed for potential safety risk, and resolved in a manner acceptable to leadership. The SRM process allows us to carefully examine what could cause harm and determine whether we have taken sufficient precautions to minimize the harm, or if further mitigations are necessary.

Safety Hazard Identification

The safety hazard identification process offers MARTY the ability to identify hazards and potential consequences in the operation and maintenance of our system. Hazards can be identified through a variety of sources, including:

- ESRP
- Review of vehicle camera footage.
- Review of monthly performance data and safety performance targets.

- Observations by Transit staff.
- Maintenance reports.
- Comments from passengers
- Daily Vehicle Inspection forms
- Annual Bus Safety Inspections report
- Investigations into safety events, incidents, and occurrences.
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and other oversight authorities.

When a safety concern is identified, whatever the source, it is reported to the Chief Safety Officer. Procedures for reporting hazards to the Chief Safety Officer are reviewed during Staff Meetings.

Any identified hazard that poses a real and immediate threat to life, property, or the environment must immediately be brought to the attention of the Accountable Executive and addressed. This means that the Chief Safety Officer believes immediate intervention is necessary to preserve life, prevent major property destruction, or avoid harm to the environment that would constitute a violation of Environmental Protection Agency or Florida State environmental protection standards.

Safety Risk Assessment

The Chief Safety Officer prioritizes safety hazards using MARTY's Safety Risk Matrix. This matrix expresses assessed risk as a combination of one severity category and one likelihood level, also referred to as a hazard rating. For example, a risk may be assessed as "1A" or the combination of a Catastrophic (1) severity category and a Frequent (A) probability level.

This matrix also categorizes combined risks into levels, High, Medium, or Low, based on the likelihood of occurrence and severity of the outcome. For purposes of accepting risk:

- "High" hazard ratings will be considered unacceptable and require action to mitigate the safety risk.
- "Medium" hazard ratings will be considered undesirable and require the Chief Safety Officer to make a decision regarding their acceptability, and
- "Low" hazard ratings may be accepted by the Chief Safety Officer without additional review.

Safety Risk Matrix		1	2	3	4
		Catastrophic	Critical	Marginal	Negligible
A	Frequent	High	High	High	Low
B	Probable	High	High	Medium	Low
C	Occasional	High	Medium	Medium	Low
D	Remote	Medium	Medium	Low	Low
E	Improbable	Low	Low	Low	Low

Using a categorization of High, Medium, or Low allows for hazards to be prioritized for mitigation based on their associated safety risk

Once the Chief Safety Officer has assessed the safety risk, they will document the safety risk assessment, including the hazard rating and mitigation options for each identified safety hazard. The Chief Safety Officer will maintain a file for each identified safety risk for a period of three years from the date of generation.

Safety Risk Mitigation

The Chief Safety Officer will review current methods of safety risk mitigation and establish methods or procedures to mitigate or eliminate safety risk associated with specific hazards. MCPT can reduce safety risk by reducing the likelihood and/or severity of potential consequences of hazards.

Prioritization of safety risk mitigations is based on the results of the safety risk assessments. The Chief Safety Officer tracks and updates safety risk mitigation information in the identified safety risk file.

The Chief Safety Officer will also document any specific measures or activities, such as review, observation, or audits that will be conducted to monitor the effectiveness of mitigation once implemented in a Safety Risk Register.

6. Safety Assurance

Through our Safety Assurance process, MARTY:

- Evaluates our compliance with operations and maintenance procedures to determine whether our existing rules and procedures are sufficient to control our safety risk;
- Assesses the effectiveness of safety risk mitigations to make sure the mitigations are appropriate and are implemented as intended.
- Investigates safety events to identify causal factors; and
- Analyzes information from safety reporting, including data about safety failures, defects, or conditions.

Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement

Describe activities to monitor the system for compliance with procedures for operations and maintenance.

MARTY has many processes in place to monitor its entire transit system for compliance with operations and maintenance procedures, including:

- Internal Safety audits
- Compliance with System Safety Program Plan

- Random inspections for safety compliance
- Facility inspections
- Daily Safety/Security data acquisition and analysis
- Daily Vehicle Inspections
- Regular review of onboard camera footage to assess drivers and specific incidents,
- Annual safety inspections
- Investigations of safety complaints
- Event investigations
- External safety audits
- Regular vehicle inspections and preventative maintenance.

Results from the above processes are compared against recent performance trends quarterly and annually by the Chief Safety Officer to determine where action needs to be taken. The Chief Safety Officer enters any identified non-compliant or ineffective activities, including mitigations, and puts them back through the Safety Risk Management Process.

Describe activities to monitor operations to identify any safety risk mitigations that may be ineffective, inappropriate, or were not implemented as intended.

MARTY monitors safety risk mitigations to determine if they have been implemented and are effective, appropriate, and working as intended. The Chief Safety Officer maintains a list of safety risk mitigations in the Safety Risk Register. The mechanism for monitoring safety risk mitigations varies depending on the mitigation.

The Chief Safety Officer establishes one or more mechanisms for monitoring safety risk mitigations as part of the mitigation implementation process and assigns monitoring activities to the appropriate staff. These monitoring mechanisms may include tracking a specific metric on daily, weekly, or monthly logs or reports; conducting job performance observations; or other activities. The Chief Safety Officer will endeavor to make use of existing MARTY processes and activities before assigning new information collections activities.

MARTY Chief Safety Officer will review the performance of individual risk mitigations based on the reporting schedule determined for each mitigation and determine if a specific safety risk mitigation is not implemented or performing as intended. If the mitigation is not implemented or performing as intended, the Chief Safety Officer will modify the mitigation or take other action to manage the safety risk.

Describe activities to conduct investigations of safety events, including the identification of causal factors.

MARTY conducts safety investigations of events (accidents, incidents, and occurrences, as defined by FTA) to find causal and contributing factors and review the existing mitigations in place at the time of the event.

In the case of any of these events, drivers are required to contact dispatch immediately. Dispatch calls 911 should emergency services be needed. The Operations & Safety Manager will be immediately notified and will be sent to the scene. Each investigation will be documented in a final report that includes a description of the investigation's activities, identified causal factors and any identified corrective action plan. For Specific procedures for conducting safety investigations see Transit's Contractor Corporate Policy Statement for Injury Investigation and Accident/Incident Reporting.

The Final Report and all documentation of the investigation, will be given to the Chief Safety Officer, for determination whether:

- The accident was preventable or non-preventable.
- Personnel require discipline or retraining.
- The causal factor(s) indicate(s) that a safety hazard contributed to or was present during the event; and
- The accident appears to involve underlying organizational causal factors beyond just individual employee behavior.

All records will be maintained by the Chief Safety Officer for a minimum of five years from the date of completion of the investigation.

Describe activities to monitor information reported through internal safety reporting programs.

The Chief Safety Officer will routinely review safety data captured in the ERSP, the monthly safety performance data, customer complaints and other safety communication channels. Any safety conditions identified will be logged into a Safety Risk Register and addressed through the Safety Risk Management (SRM) process.

7. Safety Promotion

Competencies and Training

Describe the safety training program for all agency employees and contractors directly responsible for safety.

MARTY 's comprehensive safety training program applies to all agency employees and contractors directly responsible for safety in the agency's public transportation system including:

- Bus Vehicle Operators
- Dispatchers
- Maintenance Technicians
- Managers and Supervisors
- Agency Leadership and Executive Management
- Chief Safety Officer
- Accountable Executive

The scope of the safety training, including annual refresher training, is appropriate to each employee's individual safety-related job responsibilities and their role in the MARTY SMS. Safety training is conducted/coordinated by the Operations and Safety Manager, Training Coordinator, and Chief Safety Officer. Basic training requirements, including frequencies and refresher training are documented in the following:

- MARTY SSPP, Section 7
- Contractor Safety Management System (SMS) Plan
- MARTY Vehicle Maintenance Plan, Pg 9, (Maintenance Technicians)
- Martin County Safety Manual
- County personnel safety training is conducted using a software system called NEO GOV there are a minimum of 6 online courses that are required annually, these would include the Accountable Executive and Executive Management.

Operations safety-related skill training includes the following:

- New-hire bus vehicle operator classroom and hands-on skill training
- Bus vehicle operator refresher training
- Bus vehicle operator retraining (recertification or return to work)
- Classroom and on-the-job training for dispatchers
- Classroom and on-the-job training for operations supervisors and managers
- Accident investigation training for operations supervisors and managers

Vehicle maintenance safety-related skill training includes the following:

- Ongoing Vehicle Maintenance Technician skill training
- Ongoing skill training for Vehicle Maintenance Supervisors
- Ongoing Event Investigation training for Vehicle Maintenance Supervisors via contractor web-based platform “Knowledge College”..
- Ongoing hazardous material training for Vehicle Maintenance Technicians and Supervisors

MARTY’s Accountable Executive, Chief Safety Officer or SMS Executive, Agency Leadership and Executive Management may take online FTA safety classes through the FTA-sponsored USDOT Transportation Safety Institute (TSI).

MARTY requires the On-road and Support Services Contractor to have a comprehensive Transit Operator Development Course in place that promotes safety for transit workers and riders. The topics covered in this course includes:

- Protecting Transit Workers from Assaults
- Protecting pedestrians from collisions
- Preventing rear-end collisions
- Preventing intersection accidents
- Preventing backing accidents
- De-escalation techniques

Safety Communication

Describe processes and activities to communicate safety and safety performance information throughout the organization.

The Joint Safety Committee, Chief Safety Officer, and Training Manager coordinate MARTY’s safety communication activities for the SMS.

Safety and safety performance information is communicated to the contractor and County staff during the monthly Staff/Contractor meetings and to the Drivers at the monthly Drivers’ Safety Meeting. Information typically conveyed during these meetings includes safety performance statistics, lessons learned from recent occurrences, upcoming events that may impact safety, and information on hazards and safety risks relevant to employees’ roles and responsibilities.

During these meetings the employees are informed of any action taken in response to reports submitted through the ESRP and gives staff and driver’s an opportunity to report any new safety conditions.

Additional Information

Supporting Documentation

Include or reference documentation used to implement and carry out the ASP that are not included elsewhere in this Plan.

MARTY aims to reduce safety risks with the use of Performance Measures, Safety Committee recommendations, safety risk mitigations, and de-escalation training.

MARTY will maintain documentation related to the implementation of its SMS; the programs, policies, and procedures used to carry out this ASP; and the results from its SMS processes and activities for a minimum of three years after creation. They will be available to the FTA or other Federal or oversight entity upon request.

Documents used to develop the ASP:

- Martin County Emergency Action Plan
- Martin County BOCC Security Policy
- MARTY SSPP
- MARTY SPP
- MARTY Hurricane Plan
- MARTY Bomb Threat Procedures
- MARTY Vehicle Maintenance Plan
- MARTY Event Investigation Procedure
- Contractor SMS Plan
- Contractor Operator Development Course

Definitions of Special Terms Used in the ASP

MARTY incorporates all of FTA's definitions that are in §673.5 of the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan regulation.

- **Accident** means an Event that involves any of the following: A loss of life; a report of a serious injury to a person; a collision of public transportation vehicles; a runaway train; an evacuation for life safety reasons; or any derailment of a rail transit vehicle, at any location, at any time, whatever the cause.
- **Assault on a Transit Worker** means, as defined under U.S.C. 5302, a circumstance in which an individual knowingly, without lawful authority or permission, and with intent to endanger the safety of any individual, or with a reckless disregard for the safety of human life, interferes with, disables, or incapacitates a transit worker while the transit worker is performing the duties of the transit worker.
- **Accountable Executive** means a single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the Agency Safety Plan (ASP) of a public transportation agency; responsibility for carrying out the agency's Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan; and control or direction over the human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the agency's ASP
- **Chief Safety Officer** means an adequately trained individual who has responsibility for safety and reports directly to a transit agency's chief executive officer, general manager, president, or equivalent officer. A Chief Safety Officer may not serve in other operational or maintenance capacities, unless the Chief Safety Officer is employed by a transit agency that is a small public transportation provider as defined in this part, or a public transportation provider that does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.
- **Collison** means A vehicle/vessel accident in which there is an impact of a transit vehicle/vessel with:
 - Another transit vehicle
 - A non-transit vehicle
 - A fixed object
 - A person(s) (suicide/attempted suicide included)
 - An animal
 - A rail vehicle
 - A vessel
 - A dock
- **Equivalent Authority** means an entity that carries out duties similar to that of a Board of Directors, for a recipient or subrecipient of FTA funds under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, including sufficient authority to review and approve a recipient or subrecipient's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan.
- **Event** means any Accident, Incident, or Occurrence.
- **FTA** means the Federal Transit Administration, an operating administration within the United States Department of Transportation.

- **Hazard** means any real or potential condition that can cause injury, illness, or death; damage to or loss of the facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure of a public transportation system; or damage to the environment.
- **Incident** means an event that involves any of the following: A personal injury that is not a serious injury; one or more injuries requiring medical transport; or damage to facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure that disrupts the operations of a transit agency.
- **Investigation** means the process of determining the causal and contributing factors of an accident, incident, or hazard, for the purpose of preventing recurrence and mitigating risk.
- **Injury** means Any damage or harm to persons as a result of an event that requires immediate medical attention away from the scene.
- **National Public Transportation Safety Plan** means the plan to improve the safety of all public transportation systems that receive Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.
- **Non-major Summary Incident/Event** - means less severe incidents or events that do not meet the requirements of Reportable Events:
- **Occurrence** means an Event without any personal injury in which any damage to facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure does not disrupt the operations of a transit agency.
- **Operator of a public transportation system** means a provider of public transportation as defined under 49 U.S.C. 5302(14).
- **Performance measure** means an expression based on a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess progress toward meeting the established targets.
- **Performance target** means a quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for the measure, to be achieved within a time period required by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).
- **Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan** means the documented comprehensive agency safety plan for a transit agency that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329 and this part.
- **Rail fixed guideway public transportation system** means any fixed guideway system that uses rail, is operated for public transportation, is within the jurisdiction of a State, and is not subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Railroad Administration, or any such system in engineering or construction. Rail fixed guideway public transportation systems include but are not limited to rapid rail, heavy rail, light rail, monorail, trolley, inclined plane, funicular, and automated guideway.
- **Rail transit agency** means any entity that provides services on a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.
- **Reportable Event** - A safety or security event occurring on transit right-of-way or infrastructure, at a transit revenue facility, at a transit maintenance facility or rail yard, during a transit related maintenance activity or involving a transit revenue vehicle that results in one or more of the following conditions:
 - A fatality confirmed within 30 days of the event.

- An injury requiring immediate medical attention away from the scene for one or more person.
 - Property damage equal to or exceeding \$25,000.
 - Collisions involving transit revenue vehicles that require towing away from the scene for a transit roadway.
- **Risk** means the composite of predicted severity and likelihood of the potential effect of a hazard.
- **Risk mitigation** means a method or methods to eliminate or reduce the effects of hazards.
- **Safety Assurance** means processes within a transit agency's Safety Management System that functions to ensure the implementation and effectiveness of safety risk mitigation.
- **Safety Committee** means the formal joint labor-management committee on issues related to safety that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329.
- **Safety Management Policy** means a transit agency's documented commitment to safety, which defines the transit agency's safety objectives and the accountabilities and responsibilities of its employees in regard to safety.
- **Safety Management System (SMS)** means the formal, top-down, organization-wide approach to managing safety risk and assuring the effectiveness of a transit agency's safety risk mitigation. SMS includes systematic procedures, practices, and policies for managing risks and hazards.
- **Safety Management System (SMS) Executive** means a Chief Safety Officer or an equivalent.
- **Safety performance target** means a Performance Target related to safety management activities.
- **Safety Promotion** means a combination of training and communication of safety information to support SMS as applied to the transit agency's public transportation system.
- **Safety risk assessment** means the formal activity whereby a transit agency determines Safety Risk Management priorities by establishing the significance or value of its safety risks.
- **Safety Risk Management** means a process within a transit agency's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan for identifying hazards and analyzing, assessing, and mitigating safety risk.
- **Serious injury** means any injury which:
 - Requires hospitalization for more than 48 hours, commencing within 7 days from the date of the injury was received.
 - Results in a fracture of any bone (except simple fractures of fingers, toes, or noses);
 - Causes severe hemorrhages, nerve, muscle, or tendon damage.
 - Involves any internal organ; or
 - Involves second- or third-degree burns, or any burns affecting more than 5 percent of the body surface.
- **Small public transportation provider** means a recipient or subrecipient of Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. 5307 that has one hundred (100) or

fewer vehicles in peak revenue service and does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

- **State** means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Virgin Islands.
- **State of good repair** means the condition in which a capital asset is able to operate at a full level of performance.
- **State Safety Oversight Agency** means an agency established by a State that meets the requirements and performs the functions specified by 49 U.S.C. 5329(e) and the regulations set forth in 49 CFR part 674.
- **Transit agency** means an operator of a public transportation system.
- **Transit Asset Management Plan** means the strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles, for the purpose of providing safe, cost-effective, and reliable public transportation, as required by 49 U.S.C. 5326 and 49 CFR part 625.

List of Acronyms Used in the ASP

Acronym	Word or Phrase
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990
ASP	Agency Safety Plan (also referred to as PTASP in Part 673)
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
ESRP	Employee Safety Reporting Program
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
JSC	Joint Safety Committee
MCBOCC	Martin County Board of County Commissioners
MCPT	Martin County Public Transit (aka MARTY)
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
Part 673	49 CFR Part 673 (public Transportation Agency Safety Plan)
SMS	Safety Management System
SPT	Safety Performance Targets
SRM	Safety Risk Management Process
SSPP	System Safety Program Plan
TSI	Transportation Safety Institute
U.S.C.	United States Code
VRM	Vehicle Revenue Miles

October 2024 Joint Safety Committee- PTASP Attendance Sign-in

Trainer Mike Riley

Date Oct. 15, 2024



Attendance List

Printed Name

Signature

Joy Acved
Anes Jean-Baptiste
Ash Beecher
Mike Riley



Agency Safty Plan		PTASP VERSION	4
Committee Member Name (Print)	Role	Signature	Date
Anes Jean-Baptiste	Member		10/15/24
Joey Acevedo	member		10/15/24
Mike Riley	CHAIR		10/15/24

By signing above, I agree to the adoption and implementation of this plan /policy as a minimum requirement



[County seal]

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

ACTION SUMMARY
NOVEMBER 19, 2024 ~ 9:00 AM

COMMISSION CHAMBERS
2401 SE MONTEREY ROAD, STUART, FLORIDA 34996

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Eileen Vargas, District 1
Stacey Hetherington, District 2
J. Blake Capps, District 3
Sarah Heard, District 4
Edward V. Ciampi, District 5

Don G. Donaldson, P.E., County Administrator
Sarah W. Woods, County Attorney
Carolyn Timmann, Clerk of the Circuit
Court and Comptroller

PRESETS

9:05 AM - Public Comment
5:05 PM - Public Comment

CALL TO ORDER AT 9:07 AM

1. INVOCATION ~ **Pastor Blane Albright**, Christ Fellowship Stuart
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
3. ADDITIONAL ITEMS – [The Additional Item of CNST-8 was added to the Agenda.](#)
4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA – [The Agenda was approved.](#)
5. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA – [The Consent Agenda was approved minus CNST-4.](#)
Consent Agenda items are considered routine and are enacted by one motion and will have no action noted, but the "Recommendation" as it appears on the Board item is the approved action.

PROCLAMATIONS AND SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

PROC-1 ORGANIZATION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

The Board of County Commissioners is requested to adhere to the outlined steps for restructuring in accordance with the policy.

Agenda Item: 25-0001 **RESOLUTION NOS. 24-11.22, 24-11.23, and 24-11.24**

ACTION TAKEN: The Board appointed Commissioner Heard as the Chair and Commissioner Edward V. Ciampi as the Vice Chairman; approved the use of the prior Vice Chair's signature plate for check signing purposes until the new signature plate arrives; adopted a Resolution designating who may sign documents on behalf of the Board; make appointments to the Boards and Councils requiring Commission membership; confirmed Howard Brown and Rick Hartman to be the Commission Districts 3 and 5 respectively representatives on the Local Planning Agency; confirmed Michael Dooley to be the Commission District 3 representative on the Board of Zoning Adjustment; approved their meeting schedule for 2025; approved the holidays for 2025; and amended their Rules of Procedure.

COMMENTS

1. PUBLIC - PLEASE LIMIT COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES.
2. COMMISSIONERS – Commissioner Heard requested an update on the conservation lands sales tax and the committee.
3. COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

CONSENT

ADMINISTRATION

CNST-1 CONTRACTS THAT MEET THE THRESHOLD FOR BOARD APPROVAL

This item is a placeholder on all Board meeting agendas to streamline the process for items that meet the Board approval threshold. Specific items requiring approval, if any, will be provided by Supplemental Memorandum. If there are no items, a Supplemental Memorandum will not be attached.

Agenda Item: 25-0006 **Supplemental Memorandum (2 items)**

CNST-2 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' APPROVAL OF WARRANT LIST FOR DISBURSEMENT VIA CHECKS AND ELECTRONIC PAYMENTS TO COMPLY WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

Pursuant to Chapter 136.06, Florida Statutes, checks, and electronic payments issued by the Board of County Commissioners are to be recorded in the Board meeting minutes. In compliance with statutory requirements, the Warrant List is added to the Consent Agenda for approval by the Board of County Commissioners. This Warrant List is for disbursements made between October 28, 2024 and November 3, 2024. Additional details related to these disbursements may be viewed in the office of the Martin County Clerk of Court and Comptroller or on the Clerk's website.

Agenda Item: 25-0013

CNST-3 NOTED ITEMS

Noted items are documents for the Board's information that must be a part of the record but do not require any action.

Agenda Item: 25-0017

GROWTH MANAGEMENT

CNST-4 REQUEST FOR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING PRESERVE AREA AND AMENDMENT OF AN APPROVED PRESERVE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN

This is a request from Kevin and Emily Bellucy for an amendment to the existing preserve area boundary under an approved Preserve Area Management Plan (PAMP) that was issued in 2008. Pursuant to Section 4.36.C, Land Development Regulations (LDR), Martin County Code, any alteration to the size, shape, or design of a previously approved preserve area shall be approved by the Board of County Commissioners.

Agenda Item: 25-0251

ACTION TAKEN: The Board approved the proposed preserve area alteration and Amended PAMP.

PUBLIC WORKS

CNST-5 REQUEST THAT MARTIN COUNTY GRANT AN UNDERGROUND EASEMENT TO FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (FPL) FOR UTILITY SERVICES ON COUNTY OWNED PROPERTY IN PALM CITY

FPL has requested approval of an Underground Easement to bury overhead power lines on County-owned property adjacent to Danforth Creek in Palm City. FPL requires that the County grant a non-exclusive Underground Easement prior to providing this service.

Agenda Item: 25-0252

CNST-6 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) released a final rule outlining Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) requirements on July 19, 2019. The final rule requires all transit agencies that receives funds under 49 U.S.C. Section 5307 to adopt a PTASP no later than July 20, 2020, and to certify this plan each year thereafter. PTASPs commit transit agencies to designate a Chief Safety Officer and to develop and implement a safety program.

Agenda Item: 25-0257

CNST-7 ADOPT A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND ACCEPTING A WARRANTY DEED FOR PROPERTY WITH A UTILITY LIFT STATION, LOCATED ON SALERNO ROAD, AS A CONDITION OF APPROVAL OF THE PLAT FOR HUNTER LAKE IN STUART

This is a request for the adoption of a resolution approving and accepting a Warranty Deed for real property that contains a utility lift station, from Hunter Lakes, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, as a condition of approval of the Hunter Lake plat, located in Stuart off Salerno Road.

Agenda Item: 25-0265

RESOLUTION NO. 24-11.25

ADMINISTRATION

CNST-8 MARTIN COUNTY LOCAL BILL REQUEST – INMATE MEDICAL COSTS

The Board of County Commissioners is requested to approve adding a potential local bill for the 2025 Legislative Session regarding county jail inmate medical costs. Due to publishing deadlines, the purpose of this item is to publish the proposed bill language. A formal presentation of the bill and accompanying data will be given at a later date.

Agenda Item: 25-0275 Additional Item

BOARD AND COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

B&C-1 NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

After solicitation of applicants due to vacancies, terms expiring, and a resignation, the Board is asked to make the necessary appointments to the Golden Gate, Hobe Sound, and Port Salerno Neighborhood Advisory Committees.

Agenda Item: 25-0044

RESOLUTION NO. 24-11.X

ACTION TAKEN: The Board appointed Mary Gavin and Michael Banas to the Hobe Sound Neighborhood Advisory Committee – both terms will begin immediately with Mr. Banas's term ending February 20, 2025 and Mary Gavin's term ending February 22, 2027; appointed Gerald (Casey) S. Cass to the Port Salerno Neighborhood Advisory Committee for a term to begin immediately and ending February 20, 2025; and authorized the Chair to sign the Resolution of Appointment.

DEPARTMENTAL QUASI-JUDICIAL **GROWTH MANAGEMENT**

DPQJ-1 REQUEST APPROVAL OF THE REVISED FINAL SITE PLAN FOR WEST JENSEN PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD), PHASE 1B, PARCEL 12.7 (W038-110)

This is a request by Bowman Consulting Group on behalf of BW Jensen Federal LLC for a revised final site plan approval to develop an approximately 2,417 square-foot bank building with drive-through teller lanes and associated infrastructure. The 1.54-acre site currently contains a vacant restaurant building located at 4110 NW Federal Highway, at the southwest corner of NW Eugenia Street and NW Federal Highway, in Jensen Beach. The site is located on Parcel 12.7 of Phase 1B of the West Jensen PUD. Included is a request for a Certificate of Public Facilities Exemption.

Agenda Item: 25-0259

RESOLUTION NO. 24-11.26

ACTION TAKEN: The Board received and filed the agenda item and all attachments as an exhibit and adopted the Resolution approving the revised final site plan for West Jensen PUD, Phase 1B, Parcel 12.7.

**DEPARTMENTAL
ADMINISTRATION**

DEPT-1 OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE BOARD APPROVAL

This is a placeholder on all Board meeting agendas to streamline the process for grant applications, awards, budget resolutions, budget transfers from reserves, and CIP amendments. Specific items requiring approval, if any, will be provided by Supplemental Memorandum.

Agenda Item: 25-0023 **No items**

ACTION TAKEN: No action needed.

DEPT-2 CONTRACTS THAT MEET THE THRESHOLD FOR BOARD APPROVAL \$1 MILLION OR GREATER

This item is a placeholder on all Board meeting agendas to streamline the process for items that meet the Board approval threshold. Specific items requiring approval, if any, will be provided by Supplemental Memorandum. If there are no items, a Supplemental Memorandum will not be attached.

Agenda Item: 25-0029 **Supplemental Memorandum (2 items)**

ACTION TAKEN:

A. CONTRACTS OVER \$1,000,000

1. GRINDER ELECTRICAL CONTROL PANEL INSTALLATION (RFB2024-3627) – The Board awarded the contract to the lowest, responsive, and responsible bidder, Aapex Electric, Inc. and authorized the County Administrator or designee to execute all documents related to this request.

B. CONTRACTS AMENDMENTS OVER 10% OF ORIGINAL CONTRACT VALUE

1. BUILDING 17 RENOVATION FOR THE REACH CENTER (RFB2023-3538) – The Board approved Change Order #3 to All-Site Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$173,398.37 and authorized the County Administrator or designee to execute all documents related to this request.

PUBLIC - PLEASE LIMIT COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES.

ADJOURNED AT 11:54 AM

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback.

Martin County Public Transit (Marty)
FY2023 – FY2027 Transit Asset Management Plan
Annual Update
03/26/2025



Mission Statement

Provide a reliable, safe, and efficient public transit system to Martin County residents.

About Marty

Martin County Board of County Commissioners is a designated recipient of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Operating and Capital Assistance funds, pursuant to Section 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.

Martin County is managed by a five-member Board and oversees the public transit service known as the Marty in Martin County, Florida.

Marty provides service under the Purchased Transit model whereas a contractor(s) is hired to perform some of its operating tasks through a competitive bid process.

Marty has three transit locations in which work is performed. Administration, planning, trip reservation, and field operations are conducted from 2401 SE Monterey Rd, Stuart, FL. Fueling, bus washing, overnight parking and pre-trip inspections are conducted from 2225 SE Avenger Circle, Stuart FL. The vehicle maintenance and dispatching services are performed at 3210 SE Slater Street, Stuart FL.

Marty operates four fixed routes and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complementary Paratransit services, within a defined service area of the County, as well as a Commuter bus service which provides connection to the urbanized area south of Martin County. Marty's hours of operation are between 6:00am and 8:00pm, Monday thru Friday.

Acknowledgements

Marty TAM Plan Administrative Staff

James Gorton, Public Works Director, FTA Authorized Representative

Ashman Beecher, Transit Administrator & TAM Program Coordinator

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION AND APPLICABILITY	4
SECTION 2: ASSET INVENTORY PORTFOLIO	15
SECTION 3: ASSET CONDITION ASSESSMENT	20
SECTION 4: DECISION SUPPORT TOOLS & MANAGEMENT APPROACH	23
SECTION 5: PRIORITIZED LIST OF INVESTMENTS	30
SECTION 6: ANNUAL PREFORMANCE TARGETS AND MEASURES	31
SECTION 7: RECORDKEEPING & NTD REPORTING	33
SECTION 8: UPDATES & CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	34
PLAN & PERFORMANCE TARGETS APPROVAL	35
Tables	
1.1 Marty Annual TAM Goal	9
1.2 FTA Min Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	10
1.3 FTA NTD Max Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	11
1.4 Marty Asset Useful Life Benchmarks (ULB)	12
2.1 Marty Asset Inventory Summary	15
2.2 Marty Revenue Vehicle Inventory	17
2.3 Marty Equipment Inventory	18
2.4 Marty Facility TAM Plan	19
3.1 Marty Rating Scales	20
3.2 Marty Vehicle Condition Rating Report	21
3.3 Marty Equipment Condition Report	22
3.4 Marty Facility Condition Rating Report	22
4.1 Marty TAM Decision Support Tools & Capital Asset Investment Planning Process	23
4.2 Marty TAM Decision Support Tools	24
4.3 Marty Asset Management Approach: Acquisition and Renewal Strategy	25
4.4 Marty Asset Management Approach: Maintenance Strategy	26
4.5 Marty Asset Management Approach: Maintenance Strategy	27
4.6 Marty Asset Management Approach: Disposal Strategy	28
4.7 Marty Asset Management Approach: Risk Strategy	29
5.1 Marty Performance Measures	32

Executive Summary

A Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan is a business model that uses the condition of assets to guide the optimal prioritization of funding at transit agencies, to keep transit systems in a State of Good Repair (SGR). By implementing a TAM Plan, the benefits include:

- Improved transparency and accountability for safety, maintenance, asset use, and funding investments.
- Optimized capital investment and maintenance decisions.
- Data-driven maintenance decisions.
- System Safety & Performance outcomes.

The consequences of an asset not being in SGR include:

- Safety risks (accidents per 100,000 revenue miles).
- Decreased system reliability (on-time performance).
- Higher maintenance costs.
- Lower system performance (missed runs due to breakdown).

Transit Asset Management Plan (TAM) Plan Policy:

Marty has developed this TAM Plan to aid in: (1) Assessment of the current condition of capital assets; (2) determine what condition and performance of its assets should be (if they are not currently in a State of Good Repair); (3) identify the unacceptable risks, including safety risks, in continuing to use an asset that is not in a State of Good Repair; and (4) deciding how to best balance and prioritize reasonably anticipated funds (revenues from all sources) towards improving asset condition and achieving a sufficient level of performance within those means.

Agency Overview

Martin County's public transit service, Marty, provided over 118K unlinked passenger trips in FY2024 on its fixed route, Commuter Bus, and ADA paratransit service.

Below is the inventory of vehicles used to provide the Marty program:

- 11 County owned, Fixed Route, heavy duty vehicles
- 3 County owned, Commuter Bus, heavy duty vehicles
- 5 County owned, Paratransit vehicles
- 3 County owned, Driver transfer, compact vehicles
- 1 County owned, non-revenue, pickup truck
- 1 County owned, Driver transfer, SUV

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION & APPLICABILITY

Marty is committed to operating a public transit system that offers reliable, accessible, and convenient service with safe vehicles.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) is an administrative management process that combines the components of investment (available funding), rehabilitation and replacement actions, and performance measures with the outcome of operating assets in the parameters of State of Good Repair (SGR).

The County is currently operating as an FTA-defined Tier II transit operator in compliance with (49 CFR S.S. 625.45 (b)(1). Tier II transit providers are those transit agencies that do not operate rail fixed guideway public transportation systems and have 100 or fewer vehicles in fixed-route revenue service during peak regular service or have 100 or fewer vehicles in general demand response service during peak regular service hours.

This TAM Plan provides an outline of how Marty will assess, monitor, and report the physical condition of assets utilized in the operation of the public transportation system. The County's approach to accomplish an SGR includes the strategic and systematic process of operating, maintaining, and improving physical assets, with a focus on both engineering and economic analysis based on quality of information, to identify a structured sequence of maintenance, preservation, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement actions that will achieve and sustain a desired state of good repair over the lifecycle of the assets at a minimum practicable cost. This document shall cover a "horizon period" of time (10/1/2023 to 9/30/2027). This TAM Plan shall be amended during the four-year horizon period when there is a significant change to staff, assets, and/or operations occurring at the County.

The Accountable Executive:

Per FTA TAM requirements, each transit operator receiving FTA funding shall designate an "Accountable Executive" to implement the TAM Plan. The County's Accountable Executive shall be the County Public Works Director. The County's Accountable Executive must balance transit asset management, safety, day-to-day operations, and expansion needs in approving and carrying out the TAM Plan and public transportation agency safety plan.

The Accountable Executive shall be responsible for ensuring the development and implementation of the TAM Plan, in accordance with S.S. 625.25 (Transit Asset Management Plan requirements). Additionally, the Compliance & TAM Program Coordinator shall be responsible for ensuring reporting requirements in accordance with both 49 CFR § 625.53 (Recordkeeping for Transit Asset Management) and 49 CFR § 625.55 (Annual Reporting for Transit Assessment Management) are completed. Furthermore, the Accountable Executive shall approve the annual asset performance targets, TAM Plan document and SGR Policy. These required approvals shall be self-certified by the Public Works Director via the annual FTA Certifications and Assurances forms in TrAMS.

TAM Plan Elements

As a Tier II transportation provider, Marty has developed and implemented a TAM Plan containing the following elements:

- (1) Asset Inventory Portfolio: An inventory of the number and type of capital assets to include Rolling Stock.
- (2) Asset Condition Assessment: A condition assessment of those inventoried assets for which the County has direct ownership and capital responsibility.
- (3) Decision Support Tools & Management Approach: A description of the analytical processes and decision-support tools that the County uses to estimate capital investment needs over time and develop its investment prioritization.
- (4) Investment Prioritization: Marty's project-based prioritization of investments, developed in accordance with § 625.53.

Definitions

Accountable Executive: Means a single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the safety management system of the public transit agency; responsibility for carrying out transit asset management practices; and control or direction over human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the agency's public transit agency safety plan, in accordance with 49 U.S. Code § 5329 (d), and the agency's transit asset management plan in accordance with 49 U.S. Code § 5326.

Asset Category: Means a grouping of asset classes, including a grouping of equipment, a grouping of rolling stock, a grouping of infrastructure, and a grouping of facilities.

Asset Class: Means a subgroup of capital assets within an asset category. For example, buses, trolleys, and cutaway vans are all asset classes within the rolling stock asset category.

Asset Inventory: Means a register of capital assets, and information about those assets.

Capital Asset: Means a unit of rolling stock, a facility, a unit of equipment, or an element of infrastructure used for providing public transit.

Decision Support Tool: Means an analytic process or methodology: (1) To help prioritize projects to improve and maintain the state of good repair of capital assets within a public transportation system, based on available condition data and objective criteria; or (2) To assess financial needs for asset investments over time.

Direct Recipient: Means an entity that receives Federal financial assistance directly from the Federal Transit Administration.

Equipment: Means an article of nonexpendable, tangible property having a useful life of at least one year.

Exclusive-Use Maintenance Facility: Means a maintenance facility that is not commercial and either owned by a transit provider or used for servicing their vehicles.

Facility: Means a building or structure that is used in providing public transportation.

Full Level of Performance: Means the objective standard established by FTA for determining whether a capital asset is in a state of good repair.

Horizon Period: Means the fixed period of time within which a transit provider will evaluate the performance of its TAM Plan. FTA standard horizon period is four years.

Implementation Strategy: Means a transit provider's ranking of capital projects or programs to achieve or maintain a state of good repair. An investment prioritization is based on financial resources from all sources that a transit provider reasonably anticipates will be available over the TAM plan horizon period.

Infrastructure: Means a transit provider's ranking of capital projects or programs to achieve or maintain a state of good repair. An investment prioritization is based on financial resources from all sources that a transit provider reasonably anticipates will be available over the TAM plan horizon period.

Investment Prioritization: Means a transit provider's ranking of capital projects or programs to achieve or maintain a state of good repair. An investment prioritization is based on financial resources from all sources that a transit provider reasonably anticipates will be available over the TAM plan horizon period.

Key Asset Management Activities: Means the cost of managing an asset over its whole life.

Life-Cycle Cost: Means the cost of managing an asset over its whole life.

Participant: Means a tier II provider that participates in a group TAM plan.

Performance Measure: Means an expression based on a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess progress toward meeting the established targets (e.g., a measure for on-time performance is the percent of trains that arrive on time, and a corresponding quantifiable indicator of performance or condition is an arithmetic difference between scheduled and actual arrival time for each train).

Performance Target: Means a quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for the measure, to be achieved within a time period required by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

Public Transportation System: Means the entirety of a transit provider's operations, including the services provided through contractors.

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan: Means a transit providers documented comprehensive agency safety plan that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329.

Recipient: Means an entity that receives Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, either directly from FTA or as a sub recipient.

Rolling Stock: Means a revenue vehicle used in providing public transportation, including vehicles used for carrying passengers on fare-free services.

Service Vehicle: Means a unit of equipment that is used primarily either to support maintenance and repair work for a public transportation system or for delivery of materials, equipment, or tools.

State of Good Repair: (SGR): Means the condition in which a capital asset is able to operate at a full level of performance.

Sub recipient: Means an entity that receives Federal transit grant funds indirectly through a State or a direct recipient.

TERM Scale: Means the five (5) category rating system used in the Federal Transit Administration's Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM) to describe the condition of an asset: 5.0 – Excellent, 4.0 – Good, 3.0 – Adequate, 2.0 – Marginal, and 1.0 – Poor.

Tier I Provider: Means a recipient that owns, operates, or manages either (1) one hundred and one (101) or more vehicles in revenue service during peak regular service across all fixed route modes or in any one non-fixed route mode, or (2) rail transit.

Tier II Provider: Means a recipient that owns, operates, or manages (1) one hundred (100) or fewer vehicles in revenue service during peak regular service across all non-rail fixed route modes or in any one non-fixed route mode, (2) a sub recipient under the 5311 Rural Area Formula Program, (3) or any American Indian Tribe.

Transit Asset Management (TAM): Means the strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles, for the purpose of providing safe, cost-effective, and reliable public transportation.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan: Means a plan that includes an inventory of capital assets, a condition assessment of inventoried assets, a decision support tool, and a prioritization of investments.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) Strategy: Means the approach a transit provider takes to carry out its policy for TAM including its objectives and performance targets.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) System: Means a strategic and systematic process of operating, maintaining, and improving public transportation capital assets effectively, throughout the life cycle of those assets.

Transit Provider (provider): Means a recipient or sub recipient of Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 that owns, operates, or manages capital assets used in providing public transportation.

Useful life: Means either the expected life cycle of a capital asset or the acceptable period of use in a service determined by FTA.

Useful life benchmark (ULB): Means the expected life cycle or the acceptable period of use in service for a capital asset, as determined by a transit provider, or the default benchmark provided by FTA.

State of Good Repair (SGR) Standards Policy

A capital asset is in a state of good repair (SGR) when each of the following objective standards are met:

- (1) If the asset is in a condition sufficient for the asset to operate at a full level of performance. An individual capital asset may operate at a full level of performance regardless of whether or not other capital assets within a public transit system are in a SGR.
- (2) The asset is able to perform its manufactured design function.
- (3) The use of the asset in its current condition does not pose an identified unacceptable safety risk and/or deny accessibility.
- (4) The assets life-cycle investment needs have been met or recovered, including all scheduled maintenance, rehabilitation, and replacements (ULB).

The TAM Plan allows Marty to predict the impact of its policies and investment justification decisions on the condition of its assets throughout the asset's life cycle and enhances the ability to maintain an SGR by proactively investing in an asset before the asset's condition deteriorates to an unacceptable level.

Marty shall establish annual TAM goals, which are separate from annual SGR performance goals, based on tangible criteria related to asset performance. TAM goals include monitoring the following criteria: (Table1.1):

- Safety risks: Number of accidents per 100,000 revenue miles by mode
- $(\text{Number of accidents} \times 100,000 \text{ VRM}) / \text{Actual Annual VRM}$
- System reliability: On-time performance by mode
- Maintenance resources: Number of vehicles out of service for 30 or more days, by mode
- System performance: Missed runs due to major breakdown as a percentage of total runs by mode

Table 1.1

MARTY Annual TAM Goals

Criteria	Measure	FY24 Actual	FY25 Goal	FY25 Actual
Safety Risks	Number of accidents per 100,000 revenue miles by mode (MB)	1	1	TBD
Safety Risks	Number of accidents per 100,000 revenue miles by mode (DR)	0	1	TBD
Safety Risks	Number of accidents per 100,000 revenue miles by mode (CB)	1	1	TBD
Safety Risks	Number of facility-related incidents involving employees or customers	0	0	TBD
System Reliability	On-time performance (MB)	63%	92%	TBD
System Reliability	On-time performance (DR)	96%	92%	TBD
System Reliability	On-time performance (CB)	44%	92%	TBD
Maintenance Resources	Number of Vehicles out of service for 30 or more days by mode (MB)	1	1	TBD
Maintenance Resources	Number of Vehicles out of service for 30 or more days by mode (DR)	0	0	TBD
Maintenance Resources	Number of Vehicles out of service for 30 or more days by mode (CB)	0	0	TBD
System Performance	Missed runs due to major breakdown, as a percentage of total runs by mode (MB)	0	<6	TBD
System Performance	Missed runs due to major breakdown, as a percentage of total runs by mode (DR)	0	<6	TBD
System Performance	Missed runs due to major breakdown, as a percentage of total runs by mode (CB)	0	<6	TBD

TAM Plan implementation and monitoring provides a framework for maintaining an SGR by considering the condition of assets in relation to the local operating environment. Marty has developed its SGR policies to account for the prevention, preservation, maintenance, inspection, rehabilitation, disposal, and replacement of capital assets. The goal of these policies is to allow Marty to determine and predict the cost to improve asset conditions(s) at various stages of the asset life cycle, while balancing prioritization of capital, operating and expansion needs. The two foundational criteria of SGR performance measures are *Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)* and *Condition*.

Useful Life Benchmark

The Useful Life Benchmark (ULB) is defined as the expected lifecycle of a capital asset for a particular transit provider's operating environment, or the acceptable period of use in service for a particular transit provider's operating environment. ULB criteria are user defined, whereas ULB considers a provider's unique operating environment (service frequency, weather, geography). When developing Useful Life Benchmark's (ULB), the County recognized and considered the local operating environment of its assets within the service area, historical maintenance records, manufacturer guidelines, and the default asset ULB derived from the FTA. In most cases, if an asset exceeds its ULB, then it is a strong indicator that it may not be in a state of good repair.

For the purposes of this TAM Plan, Marty utilizes FTA ULB measures for transit assets and rolling stock financed with Federal funding. (Table 1.2 through Table 1.4). The FTA vehicle replacement and facilities lifecycles specifically those standards found in FTA Circular 5010.1E, IV-24: *Recipients of federal assistance must specify the expected minimum useful life in invitations for bids when acquiring new or replacement vehicles*. FTA guidelines for Minimum Useful Life are as follows:

Table 1.2

Minimum Service-life categories for Buses and Vans

Category	Typical Characteristics				Minimum Life	
	Length	Approx. GVW	Seats	Average Cost	(Whichever comes first)	
					Years	Miles
Heavy-Duty Large Bus	35 to 48 ft and 60 ft artic.	33,000 to 40,000	27 to 40	\$325,000 to over \$600,000	12	500,000
Heavy-Duty Small Bus	30 ft	26,000 to 33,000	26 to 35	\$200,000 to \$325,000	10	350,000
Medium-Duty and Purpose-Built Bus	30 ft	16,000 to 26,000	22 to 30	\$75,000 to \$175,000	7	200,000
Light-Duty Mid-Sized Bus	25 to 35 ft	10,000 to 16,000	16 to 25	\$50,000 to \$65,000	5	150,000
Light-Duty Small Bus, Cutaways, and Modified Van	16 to 28 ft	6,000 to 14,000	10 to 22	\$30,000 to \$40,000	4	100,000

NTD Maximum useful life is determined by years of service or accumulation of miles whichever comes first, by asset type as follows (Table 1.3):

Table 1.3

Vehicle Type		Default ULB (in years)
AB	Articulated bus	14
AG	Automated guideway vehicle	31
AO	Automobile	8
BR	Over-the-road bus	14
BU	Bus	14
CC	Cable car	112
CU	Cutaway bus	10
DB	Double decked bus	14
FB	Ferryboat	42
HR	Heavy rail passenger car	31
IP	Inclined plane vehicle	56
LR	Light rail vehicle	31
MO	Monorail vehicle	31
MV	Minivan	8
RL	Commuter rail locomotive	39
RP	Commuter rail passenger coach	39
RS	Commuter rail self-propelled passenger car	39
SB	School bus	14
	Steel wheel vehicles	25
SR	Streetcar	31
SV	Sport utility vehicle	8
TB	Trolleybus	13
	Trucks and other rubber tire vehicles	14
TR	Aerial tramway	12
VN	Van	8
VT	Vintage trolley	58


FTA

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

MARTY Asset Useful Life Benchmarks

Table 1.4

Asset Count	Asset Classification	Asset Item	NTD Max ULB* Years	FTA Min ULB* Years
14	Rolling Stock: Revenue Vehicles, Fixed-Route - 30ft	Gillig, Low Floor Diesel Bus	14	12
5	Rolling Stock: Revenue Vehicles, Paratransit - 23ft	Ford Paratransit	8	7
3	Rolling Stock: Non-Revenue Service Vehicle	Chevy Cruze	8	4
1	Rolling Stock: Non-Revenue Service Vehicle	Chevy 1500	8	4
1	Rolling Stock: Non-Revenue Service Vehicle	Chevy Equinox	8	4
1	Facility: Maintenance	Slater Street Building	40	40

FY24 Fixed-route Rolling Stock Report

Bus #	Vehicle Year	Make/Model	Date in Revenue Service	Current Date	FTA ULB	Actual Service (years)	Remaining Years	Actual Mileage	Minimum Useful Life Mileage	Remaining Mileage
50	2015	Gillig 30'Bus	10/1/2015	9/30/2024	12	9.005	2.995	395091	500,000	104,909
51	2016	Gillig 30'Bus	10/19/2016	9/30/2024	12	7.953	4.047	292067	500,000	207,933
52	2016	Gillig 30'Bus	12/1/2016	9/30/2024	12	7.836	4.164	365006	500,000	134,994
53	2017	Gillig 30'Bus	5/3/2017	9/30/2024	12	7.416	4.584	305249	500,000	194,751
54	2017	Gillig 30'Bus	12/1/2017	9/30/2024	12	6.836	5.164	357716	500,000	142,284
55	2017	Gillig 30'Bus	12/18/2017	9/30/2024	12	6.789	5.211	347768	500,000	152,232
56	2017	Gillig 30'Bus	1/9/2018	9/30/2024	12	6.729	5.271	347289	500,000	152,711
57	2018	Gillig 30'Bus	5/4/2018	9/30/2024	12	6.414	5.586	356916	500,000	143,084
58	2018	Gillig 30'Bus	5/4/2018	9/30/2024	12	6.414	5.586	399160	500,000	100,840
59	2018	Gillig 30'Bus	10/4/2018	9/30/2024	12	5.995	6.005	266373	500,000	233,627
60	2018	Gillig 30'Bus	4/30/2019	9/30/2024	12	5.425	6.575	360866	500,000	139,134
61	2019	Gillig 30'Bus	2/27/2020	9/30/2024	12	4.595	7.405	187612	500,000	312,388
62	2019	Gillig 30'Bus	4/9/2020	9/30/2024	12	4.479	7.521	188559	500,000	311,441
63	2020	Gillig 30'Bus	12/2/2020	9/30/2024	12	3.830	8.170	237175	500,000	262,825

FY24 Paratransit Vehicle Mileage Report

Bus #	Acquisiti on Year	Asset Owner	Asset Class	Make	ID/Serial No.	Vehicle Mileage
7	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62850	34607
8	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62778	37255
9	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62779	37645
10	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62812	43666
11	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62780	30439

FY24 Non-Rev Service Vehicle Mileage Report

Vehicle #	Vehicle Year	Vehicle Make	Mileage	Value	Age (Current year minus vehicle year)
60370	2017	Chevy Cruze	44486	\$39,386	7
60371	2017	Chevy Cruze	38192	\$31,099	7
61928	2018	Chevy Cruze	46342	\$35,932	6
61436	2017	Chevy P/U Truck	60505	\$48,435	7
64126	2022	Chevy Equinox	25521	\$10,167	2

Condition Assessment

The physical condition of an asset is rated as an SGR performance measure because it is a direct reflection of its ability to perform its intended function. As part of the TAM Plan SGR Standards, the County requires each vehicular asset and facility meeting FTA TAM Plan criteria to have a physical condition assessment conducted on an annual basis, where applicable. The condition assessments use a rating scale to rate the current physical appearance, maintenance requirements, safety, and accessibility of an asset, “as it currently sits”. See Section 3 for more on condition assessments.

SGR Performance Measures & Targets

SGR performance measures combine the measure of ULB and physical condition to create a performance measure from which asset performance targets can be derived on an annual basis. These performance measures are directly related to asset lifecycle (ULB & condition) and maintenance needs. By the time an asset meets or exceeds its assigned ULB, it should have reached its prescribed mileage, maintenance, and condition requirements. Further information related to SGR targets can be found in Section 6. FTA-defined SGR performance measures include:

- Rolling Stock: (Age) The SGR performance measure for rolling stock is the percentage of revenue vehicles (fixed route & paratransit) within a particular asset class that have either met or exceeded their ULB.
- Rolling Stock (non-revenue service vehicles): (Age) The SGR performance measure for non-revenue, support-service and maintenance vehicles is the percentage of those vehicles that have either met or exceeds their ULB.
- Facilities: (Condition) The SGR performance measure for facilities is the percentage of facilities within an asset class, rated below condition 3 on the FTA rating scale.

SECTION 2: ASSET INVENTORY PORTFOLIO

The capital asset items shown in that Marty owns, operates, and has direct capital responsibility, as well as contractor owned and operated are also included in the TAM Plan asset inventory, are comprised of: Rolling Stock, Equipment, and Facilities (Table 2.1)

MARTY TAM Asset Inventory Summary: FY2024, Authority owned with direct Capital Responsibility

Table 2.1
Asset Inventory Summary

Revenue Vehicles	Total Count	Avg Age (years)	Avg Mileage	Average Value
BU- Bus	14	6.4	314,775	\$405,026.0
CU- Cutaway Bus	5	6	36,772	\$89,229.0
Equipment	Total Count	Avg Age	Avg Mileage	Average Value
Non-Revenue/Service Automobile	4	5.8	38,635	\$16,548.0
Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	1	7.1	60,505	\$21,019.0
Facilities	Total Count	Avg Age	Avg Mileage	Average Value
Maintenance	1	40.0	N/A	N/A

Rolling Stock

Rolling stock is either a Marty-owned or a contractor owned, and operated vehicle used in the provision of public transportation, and includes vehicles used for support services. The following required data fields are maintained for each rolling stock asset (public transit vehicle):

External Vehicle	Asset Tag #
Asset Description	Classification
Vehicle Type	Last Maintenance Performed
Vehicle Title Ownership	Expected Useful Life
Mileage	Expected Useful Miles
VIN Number	Useful Life Benchmark (UBL)
Manufacturer	Anticipated Replacement or
Rehab Year	Year Built/In Service Date/Age
License Plate	Gross Vehicle Weight
Reported Condition Assessment	Vehicle Features
Purchase Cost	Capacity
Purchase Date	Length of Vehicle
Seating/Standing/Wheelchair	Current Status of Vehicle
Purchase Status (New/Used)	Storage Location
Purchase Source (Dealer/Vendor)	Disposition Date, Cost & Buyer
Grant Source Used to Purchase	Grant Number
Make/Model	Fuel Type
SGR Status	

Marty operates three modes of public transportation service, Fixed Route, Commuter Bus, and ADA Paratransit. The Fixed Route and Commuter bus service fleet inventory consists of 30' Gillig low floor diesel buses. The ADA paratransit fleet, 23' Ford Turtle Top E350's (Table 2.2).

Revenue Vehicle Inventory

Table 2.2

Fixed Route/Commuter

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Make	Model	Count No.	ID/Serial	Asset Owner	Acquisition Year	Vehicle Mileage	Replacement Cost/Value
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (50)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	59165	MCBOCC	2015	395,091.00	\$ 380,740.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (51)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	60039	MCBOCC	2016	292,067.00	\$ 392,643.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (52)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	60271	MCBOCC	2016	365,006.00	\$ 392,964.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (53)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	61412	MCBOCC	2016	305,249.00	\$ 399,826.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (54)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	61604	MCBOCC	2017	357,716.00	\$ 407,425.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (55)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	61605	MCBOCC	2017	347,768.00	\$ 407,425.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (56)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	61603	MCBOCC	2017	347,289.00	\$ 407,425.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (57)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	61718	MCBOCC	2018	356,916.00	\$ 409,597.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (58)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	61835	MCBOCC	2018	399,160.00	\$ 409,597.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (59)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	62003	MCBOCC	2018	266,373.00	\$ 410,105.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (60)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	62004	MCBOCC	2018	360,866.00	\$ 410,105.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (61)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	62871	MCBOCC	2019	187,612.00	\$ 410,105.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (62)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	62872	MCBOCC	2019	188,559.00	\$ 410,105.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (63)	Gillig	Low Floor	1	63383	MCBOCC	2020	237,175.00	\$ 422,304.00

Paratransit

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Make	Model	Count No.	ID/Serial	Asset Owner	Acquisition Year	Vehicle Mileage	Replacement Cost/Value
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bt 23' Bus (7)	TURTLETOP			1	62850	MCBOCC	2019	34607	\$ 89,229.00
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bt 23' Bus (8)	TURTLETOP			1	62778	MCBOCC	2019	37255	\$ 89,229.00
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bt 23' Bus (9)	TURTLETOP			1	62779	MCBOCC	2019	37645	\$ 89,229.00
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bt 23' Bus (10)	TURTLETOP			1	62812	MCBOCC	2019	43666	\$ 89,229.00
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bt 23' Bus (11)	TURTLETOP			1	62780	MCBOCC	2019	30439	\$ 89,229.00

Equipment:

Equipment evaluated per FTA requirements in the TAM Plan, is all non-revenue vehicles regardless of value, and any County-owned equipment with a cost of \$50,000 or less in acquisition value. Equipment includes non-revenue service vehicles that are primarily used to support maintenance and repair work for a public transportation system, supervisory work, or for the delivery of materials, equipment, or tools. Marty does not utilize or operate any third-party non-revenue service vehicle equipment assets.

Equipment: Non-Revenue Service Vehicles

Marty operates 5 non-revenue service vehicles in its daily operations (Table 2.3). Three Chevy Cruzes are primarily used for Driver exchanges. One Chevy Equinox is used for road Supervising. One pick-up truck is used for maintenance – related road calls.

The following required data fields are maintained for each non-revenue service vehicle equipment asset:

External Vehicle	Asset Tag #
Asset Description	Classification
Vehicle Type	Last Maintenance Performed
Vehicle Title Ownership	Expected Useful Life
Mileage	Expected Useful Miles
VIN Number	Useful Life Benchmark (UBL)
Manufacturer	Anticipated Replacement or
Rehab Year	Year Built/In Service Date/Age
License Plate	SGR Status
Reported Condition Assessment	Gross Vehicle Weight
Purchase Cost	Vehicle Features
Purchase Date	Capacity
Seating/Standing/Wheelchair	Book Value
Purchase Status (New/Used)	Length of Vehicle
Purchase Source (Dealer/Vendor)	Current Status of Vehicle
Fuel Type	Storage Location
Make/Model	Disposition Date, Cost & Buyer
Grant Source Used to Purchase	Grant Number

Equipment: At or over \$50,000 in Acquisition Value

Currently, Marty has no equipment in this category

MARTY Equipment Inventory						
Table 2.3						
Asset Category	Asset Class	Make	Model	ID/Serial No.	Acquisition Year	Replacement Cost/Value
Equipment	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	Chevrolet	1500	61436	2017	\$21,019.00
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Chevrolet	Cruze	60370	2017	\$16,936.00
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Chevrolet	Cruze	61928	2018	\$15,772.00
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Chevrolet	Cruze	60371	2017	\$16,936.00
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Chevrolet	Equinox	64126	2022	\$21,566.00

Facilities

Marty currently utilizes 1, third-party leased facility for exclusive use for maintenance of the Marty vehicles as well as other support functions. The following required data fields are maintained for each facility asset:

Asset Ownership	Build Cost
Asset Description/Name	Purchase Date
Physical Location/Address	In-Service Date
Asset Tag #	Purchase Status (New/Used)
External ID	Expected Useful Life
Classification	Land Owner
Asset Type	Building Owner
Status	Facility Size
Age/Year Built	Section of Larger Facility
Reported Condition	Percent Operational
Last Maintenance	Number of Structures
Book Value	Number of Floors
Rehabilitation Year	Number of Elevators or Escalator
Replacement Year	Number of Parking Spaces
Grant Source Used	(Public, Private, ADA)
Vendor/Builder	Line Number
FTA Facility Classification	Features & Amenities (ADA)
Interior (Sq. Ft)	Disposition Date, Cost & Buyer
Lot Size	Grant Number
SGR Status	

Marty Facility TAM Plan

Table 2.4

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	ID/Serial Count No.	Asset Owner	Acquisition t	Replacemen t Cost/Value
Facilities	Maintenance	Slater St Maint Cntr	1	1 Private	leased by 3rd party	0

SECTION 3: ASSET CONDITION ASSESSMENT

Marty will assess the condition of its assets on an annual basis by utilizing both a visual and physical condition rating assessment scale (Table 3.1). This rating scale assigns a numerical value or rank based on the visual and/or physical condition(s) presented by each individual asset throughout its life cycle. The rating scale is based on numbers 1 to 5, with (5) being new and (1) being poor. Assets with a rating of 2.5 or higher are in SGR. All completed asset inspection forms are documented.

Rolling Stock

The TAM Plan Rolling Stock condition assessment consists of assigning a condition rating to all rolling stock assets for which County owns and has a direct capital responsibility. The condition assessments ranking is not conducted in the TAM Plan for rolling stock assets for which the County does not own, the rolling stock asset is owned by a 3rd party, and/or where the County does not have a direct capital responsibility for the rolling stock asset. However, for the purposes of NTD reporting (Inventory & Condition Submittal), all County owned, and 3rd party owned rolling stock assets (regardless of direct capital responsibility) are assigned an asset condition rating. Currently the County owns 28 vehicles, has a true lease for 23 vehicles 5 of which are used for its paratransit service.

The fixed route, Paratransit vehicles, Commuter bus rolling stock condition assessment can be found on (Table 3.2).

Table 3.1

TERM Rating	Condition	Description
Excellent	4.8–5.0	No visible defects, near-new condition.
Good	4.0–4.7	Some slightly defective or deteriorated components.
Adequate	3.0–3.9	Moderately defective or deteriorated components.
Marginal	2.0–2.9	Defective or deteriorated components in need of replacement.
Poor	1.0–1.9	Seriously damaged components in need of immediate repair.

MARTY Vehicle Condition Rating Report FY24

Table 3.2

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	ID/Serial No.	Age (Yrs)	Replacement Cost/Value	Default Useful Life Benchmark (Yrs)	Past Useful Life Benchmark	Condition Assessment Score
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (50)	59165	9.0	\$ 380,740.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (51)	60039	8.0	\$ 392,643.00	14	No	4
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (52)	60271	7.8	\$ 392,964.00	14	No	4
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (53)	61412	7.4	\$ 399,826.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (54)	61604	6.8	\$ 407,425.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (55)	61605	6.8	\$ 407,425.00	14	No	4
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (56)	61603	6.7	\$ 407,425.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (57)	61718	6.4	\$ 409,597.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (58)	61835	6.4	\$ 409,597.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (59)	62003	6.0	\$ 410,105.00	14	No	4
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (60)	62004	5.4	\$ 410,105.00	14	No	4.8
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (61)	62871	4.6	\$ 422,978.00	14	No	4.8
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (62)	62872	4.5	\$ 422,978.00	14	No	4.8
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (63)	63383	3.8	\$ 422,304.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (7)	62850	7.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (8)	62778	7.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (9)	62779	6.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (10)	62812	7.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (11)	62780	2.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5

Equipment: Non-Revenue Service Vehicles

The TAM Plan Equipment condition assessment consists of assigning a physical condition rating to equipment that is either a non-revenue service vehicle or a non-vehicle equipment asset with an acquisition value of \$50,000 or more (individual line item or group). Furthermore, the equipment condition assessment contains only assets for which the County owns and has a direct capital responsibility.

A condition assessment ranking is not conducted in the TAM Plan for equipment assets which the County does not own, is owned by a 3rd party, the equipment has an acquisition cost below \$50,000 (individual line item or group), or where the County does not have direct capital responsibility.

However, for the purposes of NTD reporting (Inventory & Condition Submittal), all County owned equipment (with direct capital responsibility) that is a non-revenue service vehicle are reported (Table 3.3). Currently, the County does not own any non-revenue service vehicles or non-vehicle equipment assets with an acquisition cost at or above \$50,000.

MARTY Equipment Condition Report FY23

Table 3.3

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Count	ID/Serial Number	Replacement Cost/Value	ULB	Post ULB
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Safety Vehicle	1	60370	\$ 16,936.00	8	No
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Safety Vehicle	1	60371	\$ 16,936.00	8	No
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Safety Vehicle	1	61928	\$ 15,772.00	8	No
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Safety Vehicle	1	61436	\$ 21,019.00	8	No
Equipment	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	Pickup Truck	1	64126	\$ 21,566.00	8	No

Facilities

The TAM Plan Facilities condition assessment consists of assigning a physical condition rating, based on the FTA TERM Scale, to all facility assets for which Marty owns and has a direct capital responsibility. A condition assessment ranking is not conducted in the TAM Plan for facility assets for which Marty does not own the asset, the facility asset is owned by a 3rd party, and/or where Marty does not have direct capital responsibility for the facility.

However, for the purposes of NTD reporting (Inventory & Condition), all Marty owned, and 3rd party owned facility assets (regardless of direct capital responsibility) are included in the Facility Asset Inventory (Table 3.4). Only County owned facility assets with a direct capital responsibility are assigned a facility asset condition rating. Currently, Marty does not have direct responsibility for exclusive use facilities.

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Count	ID/Serial No	Age (Years)	TERM Scale Condition	Replacement Cost/Value
Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Count	ID/Serial No	Age (Years)	TERM Scale Condition	Replacement Cost/Value
Facilities	Maintenance	Slater Street, Maint Center	1	1	39	5	

SECTION 4: DECISION SUPPORT TOOLS & MANAGEMENT APPROACH

Sections 4 & 5 of this document are interrelated and detail the process and tools used to manage the lifecycle planning of capital public transit assets. Marty staff within the planning and operations departments utilizes the following management practices, policies and technology throughout the lifecycle of an asset.

Decision Support Tools:

The following analytical process is in place to support investment decision-making, including project selection and prioritization (Table 4.1). Written policy manuals, bus replacement spreadsheets, and electronic software IPS are utilized for asset lifecycle management, and investment planning. An explanation of the decision tools can be found in (Table 4.2).

MARTY TAM Decision Support & Capital Asset Investment Planning Process

Table 4.1

Semi-annual management meeting to asses performance and set goals. (Maintenance, Operations, IT, Finance/Grants, Procurement, Executive)				
Review needs based on safety deficiencies, asset ULB, agency capacity, customer demand, maintenance needs, IT security needs, and other data.				
Prioritize projects based on funding availability				
Development of Asset Investment priority list to report for Program of Projects.				
Contract advertising RFP and award process				
Board approval for approved RFP awards				
Placement on TIP/STIP				
Project/ Program Implementation and Monitoring				
Project Year	Project Name	Asset Class	Cost	Priority
2025	N/A			
2026	N/A			
2027	Diesel Bus Acquisition (1)	Revenue Vehicles	\$600,000.00	High

MARTY TAM Decision Support Tools

Table 4.2

Documents	Description
Fleet Management and Maintenance Plan	MARTY's Maintenance Plan details all policies and procedures related to the Authority-owned vehicles. It includes: maintenance department responsibilities, PM schedules, work order process, vendor contracts and inspection needs.
Procurement Manual	The Procurement Procedure Manual lists all FTA purchasing policies, contract/bidding requirements and regulations, asset purchasing procedures, and asset disposal procedures.
TAM Plan	MARTY's Transit Asset Plan is a document containing a business model that uses the condition of assets (facility, rolling stock and equipment) used in the provision of providing public transportation to help guide the optimal prioritization of funding in order to keep the agencies transit system in a State of Good Repair (SGR). The TAM Plan also contains information related to data collection and reporting requirements for the following: Asset Inventory portfolio, Asset Condition assessment (PTMS), Decision Support Tools and Management approach, Investment prioritization list for Program of Projects reporting, and NTD annual reporting.
Capital Plan/List of Priorization of Projects/Programs	The Capital plan lists projects in rank of order on the priority list of projects needed in order to maintain SGR of an asset.
Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)	The Metropolitan Planning Organization Improvement Program is a list of upcoming transportation projects covering a period of at least four years. The TIP is developed by MARTY's MPO. The TIP includes capital and non-capital surface transportation projects.

Management Approach to Asset Management:

The primary management approach utilized to maintain SGR is risk mitigation. This management philosophy applies risk mitigation strategies (policies and procedures) throughout the assets life cycle, both from a maintenance perspective (breakdowns) and a safety & accessibility perspective (accidents/ADA requirements).

Throughout each asset's life cycle, Marty shall monitor all assets for unsafe and inaccessible conditions. However, identifying an opportunity to improve the safety of an asset does not necessarily indicate an unsafe condition. When Marty encounters and identifies an unacceptable safety risk associated with an asset, the asset shall be ranked with higher investment prioritization, to the extent practicable.

Marty's risk management philosophy is the proactive approach of identifying future projects and ranking preventative projects with better return on investment higher in the investment prioritization risk. Policies and procedures to mitigate risk are included in the documents presented in (Table 4.3 to 4.7).

Performing an analysis of the asset life cycle at the individual level is just one management approach Marty uses to maintain the SGR. This analysis follows the asset from the time it is purchased, placed in operation, maintained, and ultimately disposed of. The analysis is a snapshot of each asset's current status. The asset lifecycle stages consist of the following strategies:

- TAM Plan – Acquisition & Renewal Strategy (Design/Procurement)
- TAM Plan – Maintenance Strategy (Operate/Maintain/Monitor)
- TAM Plan – Overhaul Strategy (Rebuild)
- TAM Plan – Replacement Strategy (Disposal)
- TAM Plan – Risk Management Strategy (Mitigation)

MARTY Asset Management Approach: Acquisition and Renewal Strategy

Table 4.3

Acquisition and Renewal Strategy: Describe MARTY's long-term replacement strategy, and how long-term renewal and improvement activities are assessed based on the asset's lifestyle. As applicable, describe any planned changes or improvements to these processes, describing the strategies.

Asset Category	Asset Class	Acquisition and Renewal Strategy
Rolling Stock	BU - Bus	Heavy-duty, Fixed Route vehicles are projected for replacement at 12 years/500,000 miles. Projection begins the day new vehicles are added as an asset.
Rolling Stock	CU - Paratransit Cutaway Van VN - Van	Paratransit Cutaways are projected for replacement at 5 years 150,000 mile. Projection for replacement begins the day new vehicles are added as an asset.
Equipment - Non revenue vehicles	SUP - Support Vehicles	Replacement of support vehicles is based on ULB and funding availability.
Facility	Administration, Maintenance, Transit Stations, Fuel Stations	Facilities are maintained on an annual bases to extend ULB.

MARTY Asset Mangement Approach: Maintenance Strategy

Table 4.4

Maintenance Strategy

Asset Category	Asset Class	Maintenance Activity	Frequency
Rolling Stock	BU - Bus	Wash Vehicles and Wheels	Weekly
		Pre-trip inspection	Daily
		PM Service	Mileage
		SGR Inspection	Annually
		Transmission Inspection	Mileage
		Rear End Inspection	Mileage
		Air Dryer Inspection	Mileage
		Engine Breather Inspection	Mileage
		A/C Inspection	Mileage
		Camera System Inspection	Bi-Monthly
		Farebox inspection	Monthly
		Tire Inspection	Daily
		ADA Systems Inspection	Daily/ Monthly
Rolling Stock	CU - Paratransit Cutaway VN - Van	Wash Vehicles and Wheels	Weekly
		Pre-trip inspection	Daily
		PM Service	Mileage
		SGR Inspection	Annually
		Transmission Inspection	Mileage
		Rear End Inspection	Mileage
		A/C Inspection	Monthly/Quarterly /Annually
		Camera System Inspection	Bi-Monthly
		Farebox inspection	Monthly
		Tire Inspection	Daily
		ADA Systems Inspection	Daily/ Monthly
Equipment	SUP - Support Vehicles	Clean, Wash & Vacuum	Weekly
		Pre-trip inspection	Daily
		Post-trip inspection	Daily
		PM Service	Mileage
		SGR Inspection	Annually
Facilities	Administrative, Maintenance, Transit Stations	Facility and Equipment Inspection: Mission Critical	Daily/ Monthly
		Facility and Equipment Inspection: Mission Critical	Monthly
		Facility and Equipment Inspection: Mission Critical	Annually
		SGR Facility and Equipment Inspection	Annual

MARTY Asset Management Approach: Overhaul Strategy

Table 4.5

Overhaul Strategy: Determine how and when assets get overhauled or replaced. Describe what activities take place during an overhaul. As applicable, describe any planned changes or improvements to these processes.

Asset Category	Asset Class	Acquisition and Renewal Strategy
Rolling Stock	BUS - Bus	It is MARTY's policy to repair damaged or non-functioning assets and components on an "as needed" basis. MARTY does not overhaul or rehabilitate its assets. Assets are replaced once the following conditions are met: (1) the asset's ULB has been met, (2) the asset is considered a total loss by covering insurance, (3) Complete mechanical failure that is not cost effective to repair.
Rolling Stock	CU - Paratransit Cutaway Van	
	VN - Van	
Equipment - Non revenue vehicles	SUP - Support Vehicles	
Facilities	Administration, Maintenance, Transit Stations, Fuel Stations	

MARTY Asset Management Approach: Disposal Strategy

Table 4.6

Disposal Strategy: Describe strategy for disposing of assets to be replaced. Describe the approval process and detail, including procedures for physically removing the asset from the property. As applicable, describe any planned changes or improvements to these processes.

Asset Category	Asset Class	Acquisition and Renewal Strategy
Rolling Stock	BUS - Bus	Buses, once ULB is met or exceeded, are disposed of using the following method: 1) Asset documents are reviewed for remaining book value. If Vehicle has 5,000 or more remaining value, FTA must be reimbursed; 2) Approval received from both FTA and MARTY Board to initiate disposal procedures; 3) Vehicles are placed out to bid, sold directly or scrapped. Advertisements are placed on the Authority website and in both local newspapers; 4) Auctioned Vehicles are sold to the highest bidder; 5) The Authority Maintenance Director creates the asset disposal form for documentation purposes and sent to Finance; 6) The asset is written off the books by the Authority finance department and removed from TAMP tracking; and 6) The buyer/scrap dealer receives title, and removes the vehicle from the property. 7) If disposal is tied to an EPA grant, EPA disposal instructions are followed and submitted as grant requires.
Rolling Stock	CU - Paratransit Cutaway Van VN - Van	Paratransit vans and cutaway vans, once ULB is met or exceeded, are disposed of using the following method: 1) Asset documents are reviewed for remaining book value. If Vehicle has 5,000 or more remaining value, FTA must be reimbursed; 2) Approval received from both FTA and MARTY Board to initiate disposal procedures; 3) Vehicles are placed out to bid, sold directly or scrapped. Advertisements are placed on the Authority website and in both local newspapers; 4) Auctioned Vehicles are sold to the highest bidder; 5) The Authority Maintenance Director creates the asset disposal form for documentation purposes and sent to Finance; 6) The asset is written off the books by the Authority finance department and removed from TAMP tracking; and 6) The buyer/scrap dealer receives title, and removes the vehicle from the property. 7) If disposal is tied to an EPA grant, EPA disposal instructions are followed and submitted as grant requires.
Equipment	Non- Revenue SUP - Support Vehicles Cars/Trucks/Vans	Non-revenue service vehicles, once ULB is met or exceeded, are disposed of using the following method: 1) Asset documents are reviewed for remaining book value. If Vehicle has 5,000 or more remaining value, FTA must be reimbursed; 2) Approval received from both FTA and MARTY Board to initiate disposal procedures; 3) Vehicles are placed out to bid, sold directly or scrapped. Advertisements are placed on the Authority website and in both local newspapers; 4) Auctioned Vehicles are sold to the highest bidder; 5) The Authority Maintenance Director creates the asset disposal form for documentation purposes and sent to Finance; 6) The asset is written off the books by the Authority finance department and removed from TAMP tracking.
Facilities	Administration, Maintenance, Transit Stations, Fuel Stations	Facilities and real-estate, once ULB is met or exceeded or conditions exist to permit a move, facility assets are disposed of using the following method: 1) Approval received from the Authority Board and the FTA to initiate disposal procedures; 2) The facility is inspected and appraised by the 3rd party; 3) Utilizing a real-estate company, the facility is placed up for sale and bid; 4) The facility is sold to the highest bidder, sale is approved by the Authority Board and FTA; 5) The Authority removes all property and vacates the location; 6) The asset is written off the books by the Authority finance department and removed from TAMP tracking; and 7) The highest bidder receives title, and takes ownership of the property.

MARTY Asset Management Approach: Risk Management Strategy

Table 4.7

Risk Management: ID any risks faced to your assets or organization as a whole, and describe the mitigation strategies for each one.

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Loss of significant Federal/State funding	Increased dependence on Local funding for Capital improvements. Increase maintenance and service activities that are in balance with existing budget. Extend asset ULB, if possible.
Fuel supply chain disruption.	Fuel offsite in partnership with another transit agency, state DOT, municipality, and/or private sector organization.
Parts supply chain disruption.	Partner with regional transit agencies and OEMs to retain parts supply chain.
Catastrophic loss of asset(s) due to natural or man-made disasters and hazards.	Enact MARTY and Catastrophic Loss Plans. Use backup facilities, and reserve vehicles from partner transit agencies.

SECTION 5: PRORITIZATION LIST OF INVESTMENTS

Marty shall perform an investment prioritization analysis on a semi-annual basis to determine what capital investments are needed and how to maintain SGR. These SGR projects will be ranked in order of implementation priority. The investment prioritization analysis aids Marty in making more informed investment decisions to improve SGR of our capital assets and define when an asset needs overhaul or replacement. The investment prioritization list is a list containing the work plan(s) and schedule(s) of the proposed projects and programs that Marty estimates would achieve its SGR goals, and a ranking of projects and programs based on implementation priority over the TAM Plan horizon period of four (4) years.

Marty will rank selected projects and programs to improve or manage the SGR of capital assets for which Marty has direct capital responsibility. The ranking criteria of projects and programs shall be consistent throughout the TAM Plan. Priority consideration will be given to local projects and programs that: (1) both improve SGR and correct an identified unacceptable safety risk; and (2) take into consideration ADA requirements (49 CFR Part 37) concerning maintenance of accessible features and alteration of transit facilities. Furthermore, when developing an investment prioritization list, Marty shall take into consideration its estimation of funding levels from all sources that it reasonably expects will be available in each fiscal year during the TAM Plan horizon period.

The ranking of investment prioritization programs and projects will be expressed as: *High Priority*, *Medium Priority*, or *Low Priority*. Each investment prioritization program or project ranked shall contain a year and/or date in which the Marty intends to carry out the program or project. This output process is a list of ranked projects and programs at the asset class level that identify assets from the asset inventory. Marty's list of prioritized investments can be found on (Table 4.1).

SECTION 6: ANNUAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS & MEASURES

This section lists the process, data sources, and methodology used in the development of the FTA requirement for Marty to set annual SGR performance targets. As introduced in Section 1, a State of Good Repair (SGR) is a threshold that identifies desired performance condition. Specifically, an asset is in an SGR when the asset can operate at a full level of performance. This means the asset:

1. Can perform its designed function.
2. Does not pose a known and/or unacceptable safety risk (Condition)
3. Lifecycle investments have been met or recovered FTA (ULB)

The FTA has enlisted the use of the following asset performance measure criteria for use in the development of Marty's SGR performance targets (Table 5.1).

Marty will establish one or more performance target(s) for each applicable asset class performance measure on an annual basis for the next fiscal year. The timeline for establishing SGR performance targets & measures are as follows:

Within three months before the effective date of October 1, 2023, Marty shall set performance targets for the next fiscal year for each asset class included in this TAM Plan. These performance targets shall be established on or by no later than the date of the last Martin County Board of County Commissioners meeting of FY23. TAM Plan updates and adjusted targets shall be established with annual NTD reporting and approved by the Accountable Executive.

SGR performance targets are based on realistic expectations derived from both the most recent available data (ULB/condition), FTA performance measure criteria, and the financial resources from all sources Marty reasonably expects will be available during the TAM Plan horizon period for capital planning purposes. SGR performance targets for the current fiscal year shall be monitored on a semi-annual basis. The Accountable Executive is required to approve each annual performance target submission to FTA/NTD.

Table 5.1

MARTY SGR Performance and Targets (2023–2027)

ASSET CATEGORY PERFORMANCE MEASURE	ASSET CLASS	TARGET 2023	TARGET 2024	TARGET 2025	TARGET 2026	TARGET 2027
REVENUE VEHICLES						
Age-% of vehicles that have met or exceeded their useful life Benchmark (ULB)	AB-Articulated Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	AO-Automobile	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR-Over the Road Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BU-Bus	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%
	CU-Cutaway Bus	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	DB-Double Decked Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	FB-Ferryboat	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	MB-Mini-Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	MV-Mini-Van	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	RT-Rubber-tire Vintage Trolley	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	SB-School Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	SV-Sport Utility Vehicle	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	TB-Trolleybus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	VN-Van	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
EQUIPMENT						
Age-% of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded their useful life Benchmark (ULB)	Non-Revenue / Service Automobile	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Steel Wheel Vehicles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Custom -1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FACILITIES						
Condition-% of facilities with a condition rating below 3.0 on the FTA Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM) Scale	Administration	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Maintenance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Parking Structures	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Passenger Facilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SECTION 7: RECORDKEEPING & NTD REPORTING

Marty shall maintain all supporting TAM Plan records and documents. Marty shall make TAM Plan records available to FEDERAL (FTA), STATE (FDOT, and MPO's entities that provide(s) funding to the Marty, and to aid in the planning process. Marty shall report, on an annual basis, to the FTA's National Transit Database (NTD):

- Inventory of assets.
- SGR performance targets for the next fiscal year.
- Condition inspection assessments and performance measures of capital assets.
- An annual narrative reported to NTD that provides a description of any change in the condition of the Marty transit system or operations from the previous year, and the progress made during the reporting year to meet the performance targets set in the previous reporting year.

Pursuant to NTD requirements, because Marty's fiscal year ends on September 30th, annual TAM data reporting to NTD shall be completed by Marty staff by January 31 of each calendar year.


SECTION 8: UPDATES & CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

The TAM Plan is a “living document” that shall be reviewed on a semi-annual basis, updated, and incorporated in to Marty’s capital and budget planning, and reporting processes. Beginning in FY23, TAM Plan data shall serve as a “baseline” measure for asset performance management. As more data is collected, additional monitoring categories and goals will be included to support condition and reliability-based decision-making.

This TAM Plan shall be updated annually in conjunction with the annual NTD report. It will cover a “horizon period” starting 10/1/2023 to 9/30/2027. Projected Fleet Replacement will change annually as new data is entered into the TAM Plan Template.

PLAN & PERFORMANCE TARGETS APPROVAL


The TAM Rule requires that the transit provider's accountable executive approve its TAM plan, which includes the performance measure targets. Once approved, the Plan and targets will be transmitted to the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO).

Name (Print)	Title	Approval (Sign)	Date
<u>James Gorton</u>	<u>Public Works Director</u>		<u>4/17/25</u>

Section A - Highway

4196693 - WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY

Non-SIS



From:

SR-714/MONTEREY RD

To:

SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY

Section:

A - Highway

Work Summary:

PD&E/EMO STUDY

Length:

.000

Lead Agency:

FDOT

LRTP #:

Appendix H, pg.4; pg. 69

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PE	LF	0	0	0	0	256,201	256,201
PE	SU	0	0	0	0	2,191,424	2,191,424
PDE	SU	380,000	0	0	0	0	380,000
Total		380,000	0	0	0	2,447,625	2,827,625

Prior Cost <2026:

4,950,233

Future Cost >2031:

0

Total Project Cost:


7,777,858

Project Description:

2022 MPO PRIORITY #9 NEW 2L ROAD; PD&E R/W NEEDED

4226815 - SR-9/I-95 FROM HIGH MEADOW AVE TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE

SIS



From: HIGH MEADOW AVE

To: MARTIN/ST.LUCIE COUNTY LINE

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: PD&E/EMO STUDY

Length: 10.918

Lead Agency: FDOT

L RTP #: Appendix H, pg. 5

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PDE	ACNP	2,100,000	0	0	0	0	2,100,000
PDE	ACFP	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
Total		2,600,000	0	0	0	0	2,600,000

Prior Cost <2026: 3,177,573


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 5,777,573

Project Description: PD&E STUDY - WIDEN FROM 6 LANES TO 8 LANES, R/W NOT NEEDED

4278035 - MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM

Non-SIS



From:
To: COUNTYWIDE
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: TRAFFIC SIGNALS
Lead Agency: Martin County

Length: .000
LRTP #: pg. 8, 29

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
OPS	DDR	0	256,694	0	0	0	256,694
OPS	DITS	569,040	346,489	0	0	0	915,529
Total		569,040	603,183	0	0	0	1,172,223

Prior Cost <2026: 2,274,994


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 3,447,217

Project Description: TRAFFIC SIGNALS

4383452 - SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD

Non-SIS



From:
To: SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: TRAFFIC SIGNALS
Lead Agency: FDOT

Length: .113
LRTP #: Appendix H, pg. 7


Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	1,155,325	0	0	1,155,325
CST	DIH	0	0	72,112	0	0	72,112
CST	SA	0	0	452,710	0	0	452,710
CST	SU	0	0	1,260,644	0	0	1,260,644
CST	SM	0	0	523,537	0	0	523,537
ROW	DDR	263,000	555,793	0	0	0	818,793
ROW	DIH	18,000	0	0	0	0	18,000
RRU	DDR	0	3,000	0	0	0	3,000
Total		281,000	558,793	3,464,328	0	0	4,304,121

Prior Cost <2026: 818,157
Future Cost >2031: 0
Total Project Cost: 5,122,278

Project Description: 2022 MPO PRIORITY #11 REPLACE THE SIGNAL MAST ARMS AND PROVIDE ENHANCED PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES AND BACK PLATES WITH VIDEO DETECTION SYSTEM AT SR-5/US-1 AND SW JOAN JEFFERSON, & SR-5/US-1 AND SW OCEAN BLVD INTERSECTIONS. PROVIDE QUEUE DETECTION CAMERA FOR EB TRAFFIC ALONG SW JOAN JEFFERSON WAY. R/W NEEDED. MPO AGREES TO GREEN MAST ARMS.

4393285 - MARTIN COUNTY FY 2024/2025-2025/2026 UPWP

Non-SIS



From:							
To:		N/A					
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		TRANSPORTATION PLANNING			Length:		
Lead Agency:		Martin MPO			LRTP #: Appx. D, pg. 5		
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PLN	PL	571,463	0	0	0	0	571,463
Total		571,463	0	0	0	0	571,463

Prior Cost <2026: 903,548


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,475,011

Project Description: FHWA PLANNING (PL) FUNDS

4393286 - MARTIN COUNTY FY 2026/2027-2027/2028 UPWP

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

Lead Agency: Martin MPO

Length:

LRTP #: Appx. D, pg. 5

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PLN	PL	0	571,463	571,463	0	0	1,142,926
Total		0	571,463	571,463	0	0	1,142,926

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,142,926

Project Description: FHWA PLANNING (PL) FUNDS

4393287 - MARTIN COUNTY UPWP FY 2028/2029-2029/2030

Non-SIS



From:							
To:							
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		TRANSPORTATION PLANNING			Length:		
Lead Agency:		Martin MPO			LRTP #: Appx. D, pg. 5		
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PLN	PL	0	0	0	571,463	571,463	1,142,926
Total		0	0	0	571,463	571,463	1,142,926

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,142,926

Project Description:

4416363 - SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING

SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: PTO STUDIES

Lead Agency: FDOT

Length: 0

LRTP #: Appx. H, pg. 5

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	0	0	6,798,943	6,798,943
CST	DIH	0	0	0	0	430,005	430,005
CST	SIWR	0	0	0	0	41,695,234	41,695,234
ROW	DDR	0	0	2,287,098	0	0	2,287,098
ROW	DIH	0	0	252,000	0	0	252,000
ROW	DPTO	0	0	13,054,045	0	0	13,054,045
PE	DIH	90,000	120,000	0	0	0	210,000
PE	DS	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
PE	SIWR	0	9,931,699	0	0	0	9,931,699
Total		590,000	10,051,699	15,593,143	0	48,924,182	75,159,024

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 75,159,024

Project Description:

4416991 - CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY

Non-SIS



From:		I-95					
To:		CR-714/MARTIN HWY					
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT			Length:	2.67	
Lead Agency:		FDOT			LRTP #:	Appendix H, pg. 4; pg. 69	
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ROW	CM	0	0	0	124,160	0	124,160
ROW	SU	0	0	0	1,394,888	1,545,773	2,940,661
PE	SU	404,689	0	0	0	0	404,689
PE	ACSU	1,511,356	0	0	0	0	1,511,356
PE	ACPR	415,600	0	0	0	0	415,600
Total		2,331,645	0	0	1,519,048	1,545,773	5,396,466

Prior Cost <2026: 1,823,349

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 7,219,815

Project Description: 2022 MPO PRIORITY #10 WIDEN FROM 2 LANES TO 4 LANES R/W NEEDED

4417001 - COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1

Non-SIS



From:	SR-76/KANNER HWY						
To:	SR-5/US-1						
Section:	A - Highway						
Work Summary:	PD&E/EMO STUDY			Length:	3.23		
Lead Agency:	FDOT			LRTP #:	Appendix H, pg. 4		
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ROW	SA	0	684,000	6,573,000	0	0	7,257,000
PE	SU	901,639	0	0	0	0	901,639
PE	ACSU	564,352	0	0	0	0	564,352
PE	ACPR	25,760	0	0	0	0	25,760
Total		1,491,751	684,000	6,573,000	0	0	8,748,751

Prior Cost <2026: 6,511,581


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 15,260,332

Project Description: 2023 MPO PRIORITY #1 WIDEN FROM 2 TO 4 LANES NO R/W NEEDED

4419951 - MARTIN MAINLINE WEIGH IN MOTION (WIM) SCREENING

SIS



From:

To: (EAST SIDE OF I-95)

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: MCCO WEIGH STATION STATIC/WIM Length: 1.702

Lead Agency: FDOT LRTP #: pg. A-3

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DWS	0	0	4,455,850	0	0	4,455,850
Total		0	0	4,455,850	0	0	4,455,850

Prior Cost <2026: 0

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 4,455,850

Project Description:

4435002 - FROM SE OSPREY ST TO SE GOMEZ AVE (FROM US-1 TO SEABRANCH STATE PARK)

Non-SIS



From:							
To:							
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		BIKE PATH/TRAIL			Length:		
Lead Agency:		Responsible Agency Not Available			LRTP #: pg. 21 (Environmental and Equity Goal)		
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	TLWR	0	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000
Total		0	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000

Prior Cost <2026: 400,000

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,900,000

Project Description:

4435051 - SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section:

Work Summary:

Lead Agency:

SE BRIDGE RD.

HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE

A - Highway

BIKE PATH/TRAIL

FDOT

Length:

L RTP #:

1.93

pg. 21 (Environmental and Equity Goal)

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	0	77,798	0	77,798
CST	DIH	113,260	0	0	0	0	113,260
CST	TLWR	0	0	0	7,151,999	0	7,151,999
Total		113,260	0	0	7,229,797	0	7,343,057

Prior Cost <2026:

1,992,082

Future Cost >2031:

0

Total Project Cost:


9,335,139

Project Description:

SUNTRAIL NAME IS: MARTIN COUNTY US-1 SHARED USE PATH.

4444151 - SR-5/US-1 AT BAKER RD

Non-SIS



From:
To: US-1 AT BAKER RD
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT **Length:** .08
Lead Agency: FDOT **LRTP #:** Appx. D, pg.42


Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SA	0	828,081	0	0	0	828,081
CST	SU	0	833,905	0	0	0	833,905
Total		0	1,661,986	0	0	0	1,661,986

Prior Cost <2026: 402,473
Future Cost >2031: 0
Total Project Cost: 2,064,459

Project Description: 2023 MPO PRIORITY #12 NB RIGHT TURN LANE; CONVERT SIGNAL FROM STRAIN POLE TO MAST ARMS; MPO AGREES TO GREEN MAST ARMS R/W REQUIRED G/W 444416-1, 444417-1

4444161 - SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section:

Work Summary:

Lead Agency:

US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD

A - Highway

TRAFFIC SIGNALS

FDOT

Length:

L RTP #:

.009

Appx. D, pg. 42

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	287,568	0	0	0	287,568
CST	DIH	0	33,019	0	0	0	33,019
CST	SU	0	821,450	0	0	0	821,450
Total		0	1,142,037	0	0	0	1,142,037

Prior Cost <2026:

Future Cost >2031:

Total Project Cost:

Project Description:

277,479


0

1,419,516

2023 MPO PRIORITY #13 REPLACE SPANWIRE WITH GREEN MAST ARMS (MPO AGREES) R/W REQUIRED G/W 444415-1 (LEAD), 444417-1

4444171 - SR-5/US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD

Non-SIS




From:
To: US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: TRAFFIC SIGNALS **Length:** .008
Lead Agency: FDOT **LRTP #:** Appx. D, pg. 42

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SA	0	36,960	0	0	0	36,960
CST	SL	0	1,258,497	0	0	0	1,258,497
Total		0	1,295,457	0	0	0	1,295,457

Prior Cost <2026: 292,951
Future Cost >2031: 0
Total Project Cost: 1,588,408
Project Description: 2022 MPO PRIORITY #14 REPLACE SPANWIRE WITH GREEN MAST ARM (MPO AGREES TO) R/W REQUIRED

4447052 - NW ALICE ST @ FEC CROSSING

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: SIDEWALK

Length: 0.117

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: Appx. H, pg. 11

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SA	0	129,382	360,000	0	0	489,382
CST	SU	0	580,618	0	0	0	580,618
PE	SA	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
Total		5,000	710,000	360,000	0	0	1,075,000

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,075,000

Project Description:

4462571 - SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY

Non-SIS



From: SR-5/US-1
To: AT SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT **Length:** .128
Lead Agency: FDOT **LRTP #:** Appx. D, pg. 42

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	CM	0	0	656,902	0	0	656,902
CST	DDR	0	0	2,988,355	0	0	2,988,355
CST	DIH	0	0	96,448	0	0	96,448
CST	DS	0	0	223,159	0	0	223,159
ROW	CM	0	0	500,000	0	0	500,000
ROW	DDR	1,241,354	168,000	0	0	0	1,409,354
ROW	DIH	12,000	24,000	0	0	0	36,000
ROW	SA	0	477,740	0	0	0	477,740
ROW	SU	758,586	0	904,380	1,404,381	0	3,067,347
Total		2,011,940	669,740	5,369,244	1,404,381	0	9,455,305

Prior Cost <2026: 659,050


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 10,114,355

Project Description: 2023 MPO PRIORITY #14 SOUTHBOUND RIGHT TURN LANE TO WEST BOUND KANNER HIGHWAY INCLUDES LEFT TURN LANE FROM KANNER TO NB US-1

4473981 - SAILFISH CAPITAL TRAIL/MARTIN TRAIL

Non-SIS



From:		SE GRAFTON AVENUE					
To:		NW WRIGHT BLVD					
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		BIKE PATH/TRAIL			Length:	7.68	
Lead Agency:		FDOT			L RTP #:	Appx. H, pg. 13	
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PE	TLWR	0	0	1,600,000	0	0	1,600,000
Total		0	0	1,600,000	0	0	1,600,000

Prior Cost <2026: 598,266

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 2,198,266

Project Description: DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM SE GRAFTON AVENUE TO NW WRIGHT BLVD

4475551 - SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HWY

SIS



From: SR-710
To: at CR-714
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: ROAD RECONSTRUCTION - 2 LANE
Lead Agency: FDOT
Length: 0.485
LRTP #: Appx. G, pg. 1


Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	ACNP	0	0	0	283,000	6,005,183	6,288,183
ROW	SA	18,000	18,000	0	0	0	36,000
ROW	ACSS	113,160	168,450	0	0	0	281,610
RRU	ACNP	0	0	0	434,000	0	434,000
Total		131,160	186,450	0	717,000	6,005,183	7,039,793

Prior Cost <2026: 695,175
Future Cost >2031: 0
Total Project Cost: 7,734,968

Project Description: 2024 MPO PRIORITY #3 G/W 447555.2; INCLUDES RELOCATION OF CR-714 TO SE 126 BLVD B/C RATIO = 4.3 1) FLATTEN THE HORIZONTAL CURVE ON CR-714 2) CONVERT THE EXISTING STOP CONTROLLED INTERSECTION SR 710

4476501 - A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY

Non-SIS



From:		NE SHORE VILLAGE TER					
To:		SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY					
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		RESURFACING			Length:	2.372	
Lead Agency:		FDOT			LRTP #:	pg. 132	
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DIH	93,084	0	0	0	0	93,084
CST	DS	3,730,003	0	0	0	0	3,730,003
CST	ACNR	583,339	0	0	0	0	583,339
CST	ACPR	2,138,451	0	0	0	0	2,138,451
Total		6,544,877	0	0	0	0	6,544,877

Prior Cost <2026: 1,073,037


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 7,617,914

Project Description:

4478681 - I-95 MARTIN WEIGH STATION - INSPECTION BARN UPGRADES

SIS



From:							
To:							
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		MCCO WEIGH STATION STATIC/WIM		Length:	20.608		
Lead Agency:		FDOT		LRTP #:	pg. A-3		
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DWS	0	549,613	0	0	0	549,613
Total		0	549,613	0	0	0	549,613

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 549,613

Project Description:

4480891 - CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section:

Work Summary:

Lead Agency:

CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE

A - Highway

BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION

Martin County

Length:

L RTP #:

0.066

pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	468,293	0	0	0	0	468,293
CST	LF	251,411	0	0	0	0	251,411
CST	GRSC	285,938	0	0	0	0	285,938
Total		1,005,642	0	0	0	0	1,005,642

Prior Cost <2026:

Future Cost >2031:

Total Project Cost:

Project Description:

0


0

1,005,642

SMALL COUNTY OUTREACH PROGRAM (SCOP) SCOUR PROTECTION

4484461 - SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD

Non-SIS



From: E OF SW STUART W BLVD

To: W OF CITRUS BLVD

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: RESURFACING

Length: 3.623

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	772,213	0	0	0	0	772,213
CST	DIH	82,116	0	0	0	0	82,116
CST	DS	4,440,274	0	0	0	0	4,440,274
Total		5,294,603	0	0	0	0	5,294,603

Prior Cost <2026: 1,217,886


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 6,512,489

Project Description:

4484471 - SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET

Non-SIS



From: .5 MILE S OF SE DIXIE HWY
To: S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: RESURFACING
Lead Agency: FDOT

Length: 5.105
LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	662,439	0	0	0	0	662,439
CST	DIH	49,061	0	0	0	0	49,061
CST	DS	17,270,823	0	0	0	0	17,270,823
CST	SA	1,599,111	50,585	0	0	0	1,649,696
Total		19,581,434	50,585	0	0	0	19,632,019

Prior Cost <2026: 1,663,679

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 21,295,698

Project Description:

4484472 - SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO OSPREY STREET

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: BIKE PATH/TRAIL

Lead Agency: FDOT

Length:

LRTP #: Appx. H-1, pg. 11

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	TLWR	9,299,556	0	0	0	0	9,299,556
Total		9,299,556	0	0	0	0	9,299,556

Prior Cost <2026: 1,357,712

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 10,657,268

Project Description:

4491601 - SR-9/ I-95 FROM S OF KANNER HWY TO MARTIN/ ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE

SIS



From:

To:

Section:

Work Summary:

Lead Agency:

S OF KANNER HWY

MARTIN/ ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE

A - Highway

RESURFACING

FDOT

Length:

L RTP #:

13.327

pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	ACNP	65,000	0	0	0	0	65,000
Total		65,000	0	0	0	0	65,000

Prior Cost <2026:

Future Cost >2031:

Total Project Cost:

Project Description:

45,500,707


0

45,565,707

G/W 449159-1 32-02: VE WORKSHOP

4495071 - CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION

Non-SIS



From: W OF SW BOBCAT DR
To: E OF SW GREEN RIDGE LANE
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION **Length:** 0.13
Lead Agency: Martin County **LRTP #:** pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	0	5,053	0	0	0	5,053
CST	LF	0	371,440	0	0	0	371,440
CST	GRSC	0	50,000	0	0	0	50,000
CST	SCED	0	487,805	0	0	0	487,805
CST	SCWR	0	568,293	0	0	0	568,293
Total		0	1,482,591	0	0	0	1,482,591

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,482,591

Project Description: W OF SW BOBCAT DR TO E OF SW GREEN RIDGE LANE SCOUR PROTECTION BRIDGE #890093

4496941 - GREENRIVER PARKWAY FR NE JENSEN BCH BLVD TO MARTIN COUNTY LINE

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: RESURFACING

Lead Agency: Responsible Agency Not Available

Length: 1.225

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	LF	0	0	0	0	382,388	382,388
CST	GRSC	0	0	0	0	1,147,162	1,147,162
Total		0	0	0	0	1,529,550	1,529,550

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,529,550

Project Description:

4498291 - SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1

Non-SIS



From:

SW Palm City Rd

To:

400 FT S of US-1

Section:

A - Highway

Work Summary:

RESURFACING

Length:

1.234

Lead Agency:

FDOT

L RTP #:

pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	1,421,345	4,874,639	0	0	6,295,984
CST	DIH	0	124,361	0	0	0	124,361
CST	DS	0	0	4,904,354	0	0	4,904,354
Total		0	1,545,706	9,778,993	0	0	11,324,699

Prior Cost <2026: 1,063,441


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 12,388,140

Project Description:

4505872 - SR-707/DIXIE HWY. BRIDGE # 890003

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section:

Work Summary:

Lead Agency:

A - Highway

BRIDGE REHABILITATION

FDOT

Length:

L RTP #:

0.235

pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	BRRP	0	12,215,962	0	0	0	12,215,962
CST	DIH	0	103,848	0	0	0	103,848
Total		0	12,319,810	0	0	0	12,319,810

Prior Cost <2026: 1,346,259


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 13,666,069

Project Description: MOVABLE BRIDGE REHABILITATION (ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL AND STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS) OVER ST. LUCIE RIVER BRIDGE # 890003 IS OWNED AND MAINTAINED BY FDOT

4507851 - CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST

Non-SIS



From:							
To:							
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		RESURFACING		Length:		12.286	
Lead Agency:		Martin County		LRTP #:		pg. 84, 132	
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	0	462,896	0	0	0	462,896
CST	LF	0	1,101,212	0	0	0	1,101,212
CST	GRSC	0	1,832,051	0	0	0	1,832,051
CST	SCED	0	25,016	0	0	0	25,016
CST	SCWR	0	93,245	0	0	0	93,245
Total		0	3,514,420	0	0	0	3,514,420

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 3,514,420

Project Description:

4508231 - SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE

Non-SIS



From:

US-1

To:

SE Edison Avenue

Section:

A - Highway

Work Summary:

SIDEWALK

Length:

0.671

Lead Agency:

FDOT

LRTP #:

Goal #3, pg. 12

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	LF	150,805	0	0	0	0	150,805
CST	TALT	214,508	0	0	0	0	214,508
CST	TALU	365,711	0	0	0	0	365,711
Total		731,024	0	0	0	0	731,024

Prior Cost <2026: 5,000

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 736,024

Project Description: 2023 TA PRIORITY #1

4522571 - SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section:

Work Summary:

Lead Agency:

SE Wooden Bridge Lane

US-1

A - Highway

RESURFACING

Martin County

Length:

L RTP #:

1.678

pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	0	0	454,146	0	0	454,146
CST	LF	0	0	719,194	0	0	719,194
CST	GRSC	0	0	137,805	0	0	137,805
CST	SCED	0	0	487,805	457,058	0	944,863
CST	SCWR	0	0	570,244	0	0	570,244
Total		0	0	2,369,194	457,058	0	2,826,252

Prior Cost <2026:

0

Future Cost >2031:

0

Total Project Cost:


2,826,252

Project Description:

SMALL COUNTY OUTREACH PROGRAM (SCOP) SFGA W/ MARTIN COUNTY

4529221 - US-1/SR-5 ROOSEVELT BRIDGE OVER ST LUCIE RIVER BRIDGES 890151 & 890152

Non-SIS



From: Roosevelt Bridge Over St. Lucie River

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION

Length: 0.863

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	BRRP	0	0	0	0	5,084,700	5,084,700
CST	DIH	0	0	0	0	5,845	5,845
PE	BRRP	0	350,000	0	0	0	350,000
PE	DIH	0	5,000	0	0	0	5,000
Total		0	355,000	0	0	5,090,545	5,445,545

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 5,445,545

Project Description:

4529971 - SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY

Non-SIS



From:							
To:							
Section:	A - Highway						
Work Summary:	SIDEWALK	Length:					
Lead Agency:	City of Stuart	LRTP #:		Goal #3, pg. 12			
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	LF	0	308,187	0	0	0	308,187
CST	TALT	0	206,657	0	0	0	206,657
CST	TALU	0	177,137	0	0	0	177,137
CST	TALM	0	78,426	0	0	0	78,426
Total		0	770,407	0	0	0	770,407

Prior Cost <2026: 5,000


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 775,407

Project Description:

4533211 - SR-A1A/NE OCEAN BLVD. "ERNEST F. LYONS" BRIDGE OVER ICWW

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION

Length:

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	BRRP	0	0	0	2,686,151	0	2,686,151
CST	DIH	0	0	0	5,660	0	5,660
PE	BRRP	659,073	0	0	0	0	659,073
PE	DIH	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
Total		664,073	0	0	2,691,811	0	3,355,884

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 3,355,884

Project Description: REHABILITATION PROJECT FOR EPOXY OVERLAY ENTIRE BRIDGE CONCRETE DECK, FENDER SYSTEM REHABILITATION, MISCELLANEOUS SPALLS/CRACKS REPAIRS AT CLOSURE POUR (CP) BOTTOM SLABS, OVERHANGS, & EXTERIOR FACES. REPLACE ENTIRE EXISTING BRIDGE LIGHTING (60 LIGHT POLES WITH FIXTURES) WITH LATEST STANDARD ALUMINUM BRIDGE LIGHTING (LED) SYSTEM AS PER FDOT

4533331 - SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE

SIS



From:

SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD

To:

CR-609/ALLAPATAH ROAD

Section:

A - Highway

Work Summary:

ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT

Length:

5.201

Lead Agency:

FDOT

LRTP #:

pg. 76

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ROW	ACNP	0	1,224,934	60,000	2,085,000	589,864	3,959,798
ROW	BNIR	0	0	5,971,501	0	0	5,971,501
PE	ACNP	817,339	25,000	25,000	25,000	0	892,339
Total		817,339	1,249,934	6,056,501	2,110,000	589,864	10,823,638

Prior Cost <2026: 2,633,920


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 13,457,558

Project Description: RECONSTRUCT SR 710 FR 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY 2024 MPO PRIORITY #1

4533332 - SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD

SIS



From:

MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE

To:

SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD

Section:

A - Highway

Work Summary:

ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT

Length:

9.812

Lead Agency:

FDOT

LRTP #:

pg. 76

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ROW	ACNP	0	1,241,000	180,000	6,148,186	40,000	7,609,186
ROW	DI	0	6,746,519	0	0	0	6,746,519
ROW	BNIR	0	0	8,871,295	0	0	8,871,295
PE	ACNP	4,175,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	0	4,250,000
RRU	ARTW	0	0	0	20,000,000	0	20,000,000
Total		4,175,000	8,012,519	9,076,295	26,173,186	40,000	47,477,000

Prior Cost <2026: 2,731,001

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 50,208,001

Project Description: RECONSTRUCT SR 710 FR 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY 2024 MPO PRIORITY #1

4533334 - SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR SW ALLAPATTAH RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE

SIS



From:							
To:							
Section:		A - Highway					
Work Summary:		ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT			Length:	0.838	
Lead Agency:		FDOT			LRTP #:	pg. 76	
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	0	0	13,886,429	13,886,429
CST	DIH	0	0	0	0	72,451	72,451
Total		0	0	0	0	13,958,880	13,958,880

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 72,451

Total Project Cost: 14,031,331

Project Description:

4539191 - SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY

Non-SIS



From: 100 FT S of Camp Valor
To: SW Kanner Hwy
Section: A - Highway
Work Summary: RESURFACING
Lead Agency: Martin County
Length: 1.287
LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	0	0	0	442,805	0	442,805
CST	LF	0	0	0	295,204	0	295,204
CST	SCWR	0	0	0	442,806	0	442,806
Total		0	0	0	1,180,815	0	1,180,815

Prior Cost <2026: 0
Future Cost >2031: 0
Total Project Cost: 1,180,815
Project Description:

4548761 - BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: SIDEWALK

Length: 0.84

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: Goal #3, pg. 12

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	LF	0	0	61,000	0	0	61,000
CST	TALT	0	0	772,300	0	0	772,300
CST	TALU	0	0	339,762	0	0	339,762
PE	TALT	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
Total		5,000	0	1,173,062	0	0	1,178,062

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,178,062

Project Description:

4559671 - SR5/US1 FROM SE OSPREY ST TO S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD

SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: RESURFACING

Lead Agency: FDOT

Length: 1.02

LRTP #: pg.132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
MNT	FC5	455,437	0	0	0	0	455,437
CST	DIH	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
CST	FC5	39,604	0	0	0	0	39,604
Total		500,041	0	0	0	0	500,041

Prior Cost <2026: 35,829

Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 535,870

Project Description:

Section B - Transit

Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4071894	MARTIN COUNTY BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE						
Type of Work	OPERATING/ADMIN. ASSISTANCE						
OPS	DDR	404,165	417,575	430,102	430,102	0	1,681,944
OPS	DPTO	0	0	0	0	447,306	447,306
OPS	LF	405,165	417,575	430,102	430,102	447,306	2,130,250
Total		809,330	835,150	860,204	860,204	894,612	4,259,500
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		785,786	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	0	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		5,045,286
FM# 4134931	PSL UZA - MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5307 FORMULA FUNDS						
Type of Work	CAPITAL FOR FIXED ROUTE						
<i>Transit funding for fixed route</i>							
CAP	FTA	650,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	3,250,000
OPS	FTA	510,000	510,000	510,000	510,000	510,000	2,550,000
Total		1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	5,800,000
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		13,943,824	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	0	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		19,743,824
FM# 4259774	MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5311, OPERATING RURAL FUNDS						
Type of Work	OPERATING/ADMIN. ASSISTANCE						
OPS	DU	171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
OPS	LF	171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
Total		343,830	360,054	376,336	376,336	391,390	1,847,946
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		353,622	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	0	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		2,201,568
FM# 4346611	PSL UZA - MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5339 CAPITAL FOR BUS & BUS FACILITIES						
Type of Work	CAPITAL FOR FIXED ROUTE						
<i>GRANT FL-34-0018 EXECUTED 7/30/2014 FL-2017-077-00;\$79,083; EXECUTED 8/8/2017 NON-BUDGET REVENUE</i>							
CAP	FTA	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	650,000
Total		130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	650,000
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		1,243,145	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	0	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		1,893,145

Section C - Aviation

Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4459781	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT PDC AND MIRL REPLACEMENT 7-25						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT			L RTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Martin County		
CAP	DPTO	0	3,680,000	0	0	0	3,680,000
CAP	LF	0	920,000	0	0	0	920,000
Total		0	4,600,000	0	0	0	4,600,000
Prior Years Cost		0	Future Years Cost	0	Total Project Cost		4,600,000
FM# 4481171	WITHAM FIELD MILL & RESURFACE, MITL REPLACEMENT TAXIWAY C & C1						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT			L RTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Martin County		
CAP	DPTO	0	0	0	1,368,000	0	1,368,000
CAP	LF	0	0	0	342,000	0	342,000
Total		0	0	0	1,710,000	0	1,710,000
Prior Years Cost		0	Future Years Cost	0	Total Project Cost		1,710,000
FM# 4496401	WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (DESIGN & CONSTRUCT)				Length 0		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT			L RTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Martin County		
CAP	DPTO	14,500	0	0	0	0	14,500
CAP	FAA	261,000	0	0	0	0	261,000
CAP	LF	14,500	0	0	0	0	14,500
Total		290,000	0	0	0	0	290,000
Prior Years Cost		0	Future Years Cost	0	Total Project Cost		290,000
FM# 4533841	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT - AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPGRADE						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION SAFETY PROJECT			L RTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Responsible Agency Not Available		
CAP	DPTO	0	0	96,000	0	0	96,000
CAP	LF	0	0	24,000	0	0	24,000
Total		0	0	120,000	0	0	120,000
Prior Years Cost		0	Future Years Cost	0	Total Project Cost		120,000
FM# 4548201	WITHAM FIELD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT				Length 0		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION SAFETY PROJECT			L RTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Responsible Agency Not Available		
CAP	DPTO	92,800	0	0	0	0	92,800
CAP	LF	23,200	0	0	0	0	23,200
Total		116,000	0	0	0	0	116,000
Prior Years Cost		0	Future Years Cost	0	Total Project Cost		116,000

Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4549001	WITHAM FIELD SOUTH AIRPORT FACILITIES (DESIGN)				Length 0		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION REVENUE/OPERATIONAL			L RTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Responsible	Agency Not Available	
CAP	DPTO	0	0	531,200	0	0	531,200
CAP	LF	0	0	132,800	0	0	132,800
Total		0	0	664,000	0	0	664,000
Prior Years Cost		0	Future Years Cost	0	Total Project Cost		664,000

Section D - Turnpike

Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4461651	SR91 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS AT SR714 (MP 133.7 - 134.8)						
					Length 0.285		*SIS*
Type of Work	INTERCHANGE - ADD LANES			L RTP Pg: pg. 52; Appx. D, pg. 42	Lead Agency FDOT		
ROW	PKYI	191,800	500,931	0	100,000	0	792,731
PE	PKYI	1,700,000	0	0	0	0	1,700,000
Total		1,891,800	500,931	0	100,000	0	2,492,731
	<i>Prior Years Cost</i>	<i>7,188,360</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>6,157</i>		<i>Total Project Cost</i>	<i>9,687,248</i>
FM# 4463331	WIDEN TPK(SR91), SW MARTIN HWY TO ST.LUCIE C/L (MP134.8-138.08) (4TO8)						
					Length 3.622		*SIS*
Type of Work	ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT			L RTP Pg: pg. 52; Appx. D, pg. 42	Lead Agency FDOT		
ROW	PKYI	195,400	0	0	0	0	195,400
PE	PKYI	400,000	0	0	0	0	400,000
Total		595,400	0	0	0	0	595,400
	<i>Prior Years Cost</i>	<i>5,530,100</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>179,887,063</i>		<i>Total Project Cost</i>	<i>186,012,563</i>
FM# 4466181	THOMAS B MANUEL BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (SB ONLY) (MP 131.2)						
					Length 0.021		*SIS*
Type of Work	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT			L RTP Pg: pg. 132	Lead Agency FDOT		
CST	PKYR	0	13,369,835	0	0	0	13,369,835
Total		0	13,369,835	0	0	0	13,369,835
	<i>Prior Years Cost</i>	<i>415,640</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>0</i>		<i>Total Project Cost</i>	<i>13,785,475</i>
FM# 4485241	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT - 890083 (SR 91) (MP 138) MARTIN COUNTY						
					Length 0.543		*SIS*
Type of Work	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT			L RTP Pg: pg. 132	Lead Agency FDOT		
CST	PKYR	0	2,124,000	0	0	0	2,124,000
Total		0	2,124,000	0	0	0	2,124,000
	<i>Prior Years Cost</i>	<i>55,441,913</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>0</i>		<i>Total Project Cost</i>	<i>57,565,913</i>

Section E - Districtwide

Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 2337031	MARTIN CO STATE HWY SYS ROADWAY				Length .000		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			L RTP Pg: Goal 1.0, Page 7-4	Lead Agency FDOT		
MNT	D	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	0	1,200,000
Total		300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	0	1,200,000
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		<i>14,161,585</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		<i>15,361,585</i>
FM# 2337032	MARTIN CO STATE HWY SYS BRIDGES				Length .000		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			L RTP Pg: Goal 1.0, Page 7-4	Lead Agency FDOT		
MNT	D	35,000	35,000	35,000	0	0	105,000
Total		35,000	35,000	35,000	0	0	105,000
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		<i>1,529,725</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		<i>1,634,725</i>
FM# 2342651	MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-ROADWAY						*SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			L RTP Pg: Goal 1.0, Page 7-4	Lead Agency FDOT		
MNT	D	10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0	30,000
Total		10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0	30,000
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		<i>5,686,742</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		<i>5,716,742</i>
FM# 2342652	MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-BRIDGES				Length .000		*SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			L RTP Pg: Goal 1.0, Page 7-4	Lead Agency FDOT		
MNT	D	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	0	48,000
Total		12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	0	48,000
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		<i>525,901</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		<i>573,901</i>
FM# 4505591	MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			L RTP Pg: pg. 29	Lead Agency FDOT		
MNT	D	3,258,390	2,758,390	2,758,390	2,667,905	2,667,905	14,110,980
Total		3,258,390	2,758,390	2,758,390	2,667,905	2,667,905	14,110,980
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		<i>6,778,372</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		<i>20,889,352</i>
FM# 4505592	MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE				Length 0		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			L RTP Pg: pg. 29	Lead Agency FDOT		
MNT	D	0	0	0	2,500,000	3,000,000	5,500,000
Total		0	0	0	2,500,000	3,000,000	5,500,000
<i>Prior Years Cost</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>Total Project Cost</i>		<i>5,500,000</i>

Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4515801	MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	TRAFFIC SIGNALS						
NEW MSCA TARGET STARTING IN FY28							
MNT	D	0	0	766,779	694,556	980,886	2,442,221
Total		0	0	766,779	694,556	980,886	2,442,221
Prior Years Cost		0	Future Years Cost	0	Total Project Cost		2,442,221

Project Index (by Number)

FM #	TIP #	Project Name	Page
2337031		MARTIN COUNTY STATE HWY SYS ROADWAY	E-2
2337032		MARTIN COUNTY STATE HWY SYS BRIDGES	E-2
2342651		MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-ROADWAY	E-2
2342652		MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-BRIDGES	E-2
4071894		MARTIN COUNTY - BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	B-2
4134931		SECTION 5307 FORMULA MARTIN CO PORT ST LUCIE UZA LARGE URBAN OPERATING	B-2
4196693		WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY	A-2
4226815		SR-9/I-95 FR 1 MILE N OF HIGH MEADOWS TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	A-3
4259774		SECTION 5311 FORMULA MARTIN CO NON-UZA OPERATING.	B-2
4278035		MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM	A-4
4346611		SECTION 5339 FORMULA MARTIN CO PORT ST. LUCIE UZA LARGE URBAN CAPITAL	B-2
4383452		SR-5/US-1 @ SW JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	A-5
4393285		MARTIN COUNTY FY 2024/2025-2025/2026 UPWP	A-6
4393286		MARTIN COUNTY FY 2026/2027-2027/2028	A-7
4393287		MARTIN COUNTY UPWP FY 2028/2029-2029/2030	A-8
4416363		SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	A-9
4416991		CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM SR-9/I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	A-10
4417001		COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	A-11
4419951		MARTIN MAINLINE WEIGH IN MOTION (WIM) SCREENING	A-12
4435002		FROM SE OSPREY ST TO SE GOMEZ AVE (FROM US-1 TO SEABRANCH STATE PARK	A-13
4435051		SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE.	A-14
4444151		SR-5/US-1 AT BAKER RD.	A-15
4444161		SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD.	A-16
4444171		SR-5/US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD	A-17
4447052		NW ALICE ST @ FEC CROSSING	A-18
4459781		WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT PDC AND MIRL REPLACEMENT 7-25.	C-2
4461651		SR-91 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS AT SR-714 (MP 133.7 - 134.8).	D-2
4462571		SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	A-19

Project Index (by Number)

FM #	TIP #	Project Name	Page
4463331		WIDEN TPK (SR-91), SW MARTIN HWY TO ST. LUCIE C/L (MP 134.8-138.08) (4TO8)	D-2
4466181		THOMAS B MANUEL BRIDGE PAINTING (MP 131.2)	D-2
4473981		SAILFISH CAPITAL TRAIL/MARTIN TRAIL (SEGMENT OF EST COAST GREENWAY)	A-20
4475551		SR-710/SW WARFIELD BOULEVARD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HIGHWAY	A-21
4476501		SR-A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	A-22
4478681		SR-9/I-95 MARTIN WEIGH STATION - INSPECTION BARN UPGRADES	A-23
4480891		CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION	A-24
4481171		WITHAM FIELD MILL & RESURFACE, MITL REPLACEMENT TAXIWAY C & C1	C-2
4484461		SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD	A-25
4484471		SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	A-26
4484472		SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO OSPREY STREET	A-27
4485241		BRIDGE REPLACEMENT - 890083 (SR 91) (MP 138) MARTIN COUNTY	D-2
4491601		SR-9/I-95 FR S OF SR-76/KANNER HWY TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	A-28
4495071		CR-76A/SW 96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	A-29
4496401		WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (CONSTRUCT)	C-2
4496941		GREEN RIVER PARKWAY FR NE JENSEN BEACH BLVD TO MARTIN COUNTY LINE	A-30
4498291		SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1	A-31
4505591		MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE	E-2
4505592		MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE	E-2
4505872		SR-707/DIXIE HWY. BRIDGE #890003	A-32
4507851		CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	A-33
4508231		SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE	A-34
4515801		MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM	E-3
4522571		SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR-5	A-35
4529221		US-1/SR-5 ROOSEVELT BRIDGE OVER ST LUCIE RIVER BRIDGES 890151 & 890152	A-36
4529971		SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	A-37
4533211		SR-A1A/NE OCEAN BLVD. "ERNEST F. LYONS" BRIDGE OVER ICWW	A-38
4533331		SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	A-39

Project Index (by Number)

FM #	TIP #	Project Name	Page
4533332		SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO FPL POWER PLANT ACCESS ROAD	A-40
4533334		SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR SW ALLAPATTAH RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	A-41
4533841		WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT - AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPGRADE	C-2
4539191		SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY	A-42
4548201		WITHAM FIELD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT	C-2
4548761		BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL	A-43
4549001		WITHAM FIELD SOUTH AIRPORT FACILITIES (DESIGN)	C-3
4559671		SR-5/US-1 FROM SE OSPREY ST TO S OF HERITAGE BLVD	A-44



**POLICY BOARD MEETING
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

MEETING DATE: May 12, 2025	DUE DATE: May 5, 2025	UPWP#: 1
WORDING: GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT (GPC) CONTRACTS		
REQUESTED BY: MPO	PREPARED BY: Ricardo Vazquez / Beth Beltran	DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION: CTC Recommendation Letter and Resolution

BACKGROUND

The adopted FY 24/25 – FY 25/26 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) specifies that the MPO will obtain one or more General Planning Consultants (GPCs) to provide assistance to staff on a task order basis. Kimley-Horn & Associates, T.Y. Lin International, MARLIN Engineering, and WSP USA, Inc., are the four GPCs that were selected through a competitive process to provide professional transportation planning services. The Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) has an item on its May 6, 2025, agenda to approve the Selection Committee Recommendation and to award the contracts, which use the standard Martin County approved boilerplate consultant contract.

ISSUES

All task order Scopes of Services are brought to the MPO Board for review and approval before a Notice to Proceed is issued to consultants. Funds for this work are appropriated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and administered by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).

RECOMMENDED ACTION

- a. Award the General Planning Consultant Contract to Kimley-Horn & Associates, T.Y. Lin International, MARLIN Engineering, and WSP USA Inc., as recommended by the Selection Committee and authorize the MPO Chairperson, the MPO Administrator and appropriate Martin County staff to execute the standard Martin County approved consultant contract with the four firms.

FISCAL IMPACT

Up to but not exceed \$1,500,000

APPROVAL

MPO



**POLICY BOARD MEETING
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

MEETING DATE: May 12, 2025	DUE DATE: May 5, 2025	UPWP#: 6
WORDING: SR-710 PROJECTS UPDATE		
REQUESTED BY: MPO	PREPARED BY: Ricardo Vazquez / Beth Beltran	DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION: N/A

BACKGROUND

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) divided the SR-710 Widening Project into four segments.

- Segment A – Martin/Okeechobee County Line to SE 126th Blvd.
- Segment B – FPL Power Plant to Martin/Okeechobee County Line
- Segment C – SW Allapattah Rd to FPL Power Plant Road
- Segment D – SW Van Buren Ave. to SE Allapattah Road

FDOT has funded the construction phase of Segment A and Segment D. Segment A includes a traffic signal at the new four-way intersection of SR-710 and SE 126th Blvd. Currently, Segment D includes a warranty study for a traffic signal at the intersection of SR-710 and CR-609/SW Allapattah Road. On the MPO's currently adopted List of Project Priorities (LOPP) a traffic signal at SR-710 and CR-609/SW Allapattah Road is also listed as a separate priority.

ISSUES

At the May 2025 Policy Board meeting, FDOT staff will present an update regarding projects on SR-710.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Provide input.

APPROVAL

MPO