

# FY26 - FY30

## Transportation Improvement Program





**FY26 – FY30**

## **Transportation Improvement Program**

Adopted by the Martin MPO Board on June 16, 2025

### **ENDORSEMENT**

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Sarah Heard".

---

Sarah Heard  
MPO Board Chair

The Transportation Improvement Program of the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization has been developed consistent with Federal regulations 23 U.S.C. 134(h) and CFR 450 and Florida Statute 339.175(8) in cooperation with the Florida Department of Transportation, and the local member agencies and public transit operators in the Martin MPO Planning Area.

# Martin MPO Board

## Martin County

Commissioner Sarah Heard, Chair  
Commissioner Edward Ciampi, Vice Chair  
Commissioner Stacey Hetherington  
Commissioner Eileen Vargas  
Commissioner J. Blake Capps

## Town of Sewall's Point

Commissioner Kaija Mayfield

## City of Stuart

Commissioner Christopher Collins  
Commissioner Sean Reed

## Village of Indiantown

Council Member Carmine Dipaolo

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION

### TITLE

Martin MPO FY26 – FY30  
Transportation Improvement Program

### AUTHORS

MPO Staff

### REPORT DATE

June 2025

### ORGANIZATION NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER

Martin MPO  
3481 SE Willoughby Boulevard  
Suite 101, Stuart, FL 34994  
772-221-1498  
[www.martinmpo.com](http://www.martinmpo.com)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The preparation of this report has been funded in part through grants from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, U. S. Department of Transportation (USDOT), under the Metropolitan Planning Program of the U.S. Code (Title 23, Section 104(f)). The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) contains all transportation-related projects to be funded by Title 23 and Title 49 funds. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the USDOT.

Public participation is solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability, or family status. Persons with questions or concerns about nondiscrimination, or who require special accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act or language translation services (free of charge) should contact Ricardo Vazquez, Principal Planner (Title VI/Non- discrimination Contact) at (772) 223-7983 or [rvazquez@martin.fl.us](mailto:rvazquez@martin.fl.us). Hearing-impaired individuals are requested to telephone the Florida Relay System at #711.

## CERTIFICATION

### FHWA/ FTA CERTIFICATION

Federal Law requires the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to jointly certify the transportation planning processes of Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) at least every four years (a TMA is an urbanized area, as defined by the US Census, with a population over 200,000).<sup>1</sup> The most recent quadrennial certification site visit was conducted in March 2021, and the next anticipated quadrennial certification will occur before September 2025.

Pursuant to 23 CFR 450.328(a), the FHWA/FTA must jointly find that each metropolitan TIP is based on a “3-C” (continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative) planning process by the MPO, State Department of Transportation, and transit service provider(s). The Martin MPO participated in a State Certification process that is conducted annually by FDOT District Four. The results from the most recent State Certification is available on the Martin MPO website ([www.martinmpo.com](http://www.martinmpo.com)) No recommendations or corrective actions were issued by FDOT as part of the most recent State Certification.

<sup>1</sup> Federal Highway Administration Florida Division & Federal Transit Administration Region 4. Certification Report: Port St. Lucie Transportation Management Area – St. Lucie Transportation Planning Organization & Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization. March 2009.

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
**MPO JOINT CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**525-010-05c  
POLICY PLANNING  
02/18


Pursuant to the requirements of 23 U.S.C. 134(k)(5) and 23 CFR 450.334(a), the Department and the MPO have performed a review of the certification status of the metropolitan transportation planning process for the Martin MPO with respect to the requirements of:

1. 23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 5303;
2. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 C.F.R. Part 21
3. 49 U.S.C. 5332 prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
4. Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act and 49 C.F.R. Part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
5. 23 C.F.R. Part 230 regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
6. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and the regulations found in 49 C.F.R. Parts 27, 37, and 38;
7. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101) prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
8. Section 324 of 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination on the basis of gender; and
9. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 C.F.R. Part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Included in this certification package is a summary of noteworthy achievements by the MPO, attachments associated with these achievements, and (if applicable) a list of any recommendations and/or corrective actions. The contents of this Joint Certification Package have been reviewed by the MPO and accurately reflect the results of the joint certification review meeting held on February 6, 2025.


Based on a joint review and evaluation, the Florida Department of Transportation and the Martin MPO recommend that the Metropolitan Planning Process for the Martin MPO be certified.

DocuSigned by:

  
Steven C. Braun
 BBEB79ABE1EAE4E

Name: Steven C. Braun, P.E.

Title: District Secretary (or designee)




Name: Sarah Heard

Title: MPO Chair (or designee)

05/09/2025 | 4:06 PM EDT

Date



Date



# GLOSSARY OF TERMS

## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

**AADT** ..... Annual Average Daily Traffic  
**AAR** ..... Administrative Approval Request  
**AARP** ..... American Association of Retired Persons  
**AASHTO** .. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials  
**ACES** ..... Automated/Connected/Electric/Shared-use  
**ADA** ..... Americans with Disabilities Act  
**AOR** ..... Annual Operating Report  
**ARC** ..... Advocates for the Rights of Challenged  
**bdb** ..... Business Development Board  
**BEBR** ..... Bureau of Economic and Business Research  
**BOCC** ..... Board of County Commissioners  
**BPAC** ..... Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee  
**BPSAP** .... Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Action Plan  
**CAC** ..... Citizens Advisory Committee  
**CDC** ..... Center for Disease Control  
**CDP** ..... Census Designated Place  
**CEI** ..... Construction Engineering and Inspection  
**CFP** ..... Cost Feasible Plan  
**CFR** ..... Code of Federal Regulations  
**CIP** ..... Capital Improvement Program  
**CMP** ..... Congestion Management Process  
**CMS** ..... Congestion Management System  
**CPTHSTP** Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan  
**CR** ..... County Road  
**CRA** ..... Community Redevelopment Area  
**CTC** ..... Community Transportation Coordinator  
**CTD** ..... Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged

**CTPP** ..... Census Transportation Planning Program  
**CUTR** ..... Center for Urban Transportation Research  
**DBE** ..... Disadvantaged Business Enterprise  
**DOPA** ..... Designated Official Planning Agency  
**E+C** ..... Existing Plus Committed  
**EJ** ..... Environmental Justice  
**EO** ..... Executive Order  
**EPA** ..... Environmental Protection Agency  
**ETAT** ..... Environmental Technical Advisory Team  
**ETDM** ..... Efficient Transportation Decision Making  
**FAA** ..... Federal Aviation Administration  
**FAC** ..... Florida Administrative Code  
**FAST** ..... Fixing America's Surface Transportation  
**FCTS** ..... Florida Coordinated Transportation System  
**FDOT** ..... Florida Department of Transportation  
**FEC** ..... Florida East Coast (Railway)  
**FHWA** ..... Federal Highway Administration  
**FPTA** ..... Florida Public Transportation Association  
**FS** ..... Florida Statutes  
**FSUTMS** . Florida Standard Urban Transportation Model Structure  
**FTA** ..... Federal Transit Administration  
**FTAC** ..... Freight Transportation Advisory Committee  
**FTP** ..... Florida Transportation Plan  
**FY** ..... Fiscal Year  
**GIS** ..... Geographic Information System  
**GIS-TM**.... Geographical Information System - Transportation Modeling  
**GOS** ..... Goals, Objectives, and Strategies  
**GUI** ..... Graphic User Interface

**HOA**.....Homeowners Association  
**HPMS** .....Highway Performance Monitoring System  
**ICWW** .....Intracoastal Waterway  
**ISTEA** .....Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act  
**ITS** .....Intelligent Transportation System  
**JPA** .....Joint Participation Agreement  
**LCB-TD** ... Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged  
**LCI**.....Livable Communities Initiative  
**LEP**.....Limited English Proficiency  
**LGCP**.....Local Government Comprehensive Plan  
**LOGT**.....Local Option Gas Tax  
**LOPP**.....List of Project Priorities  
**LOS** .....Level of Service  
**LRTP** .....Long Range Transportation Plan  
**MAP-21**....Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act  
**MCPT**.....Martin County Public Transit  
**MCTV**.....Martin County Television  
**MMUNP** ... Multimodal Unfunded Needs Plan  
**MOE**.....Measure of Effectiveness  
**MPA**.....Metropolitan Planning Area  
**MPO**.....Metropolitan Planning Organization  
**MPOAC** ... MPO Advisory Council  
**MTP** .....Metropolitan Transportation Plan  
**NAAQS** ....National Ambient Air Quality Standards  
**NAC** .....Neighborhood Advisory Committee  
**NEPA**.....National Environmental Policy Act  
**OA** .....Other Arterials  
**OMD** .....Office of Modal Development  
**PEA** .....Planning Emphasis Areas  
**PIP** .....Public Involvement Plan  
**POP** .....Program of Projects  
**PPP**.....Public Participation Plan  
**RFP**.....Request for Proposal  
**RFS**.....Request for Service  
**RLRTP**.....Regional Long Range Transportation Plan  
**RTTAC**.....Regional Transportation Technical Advisory Committee  
**SAFETEA-LU**.....Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users  
**SEE**.....Sociocultural Effects Evaluation

**SEFTC** .... Southeast Florida Transportation Council  
**SFRTA** .... South Florida Regional Transportation Authority  
**SFY** ..... State Fiscal Year  
**SHSP** ..... Strategic Highway Safety Plan  
**SIS** ..... Strategic Intermodal System  
**SOV**.....Single Occupancy Vehicle  
**SR** ..... State Road  
**STIP** ..... State Transportation Improvement Program  
**STRA-21** . Surface Transportation Reauthorization Act of 2021  
**SUN** ..... Shared-Use Nonmotorized  
**TAC** ..... Technical Advisory Committee  
**TAP** ..... Transportation Alternatives Program  
**TAZ** ..... Traffic Analysis Zone  
**TCQSM** ... Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual  
**TCRPC** .... Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council  
**TCRPM** ... Treasure Coast Regional Planning Model  
**TCSP**.....Transportation and Community and System Preservation (Grant)  
**TCTAC** .... Treasure Coast Technical Advisory Committee  
**TCTC** ..... Treasure Coast Transportation Council  
**TD** ..... Transportation Disadvantaged  
**TDM** ..... Transportation Demand Management  
**TDP** ..... Transit Development Plan  
**TDSP**.....Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan  
**TEA-21** .... Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century  
**TIMAS** .... Transportation Inventory Management and Analysis  
**TIP** ..... Transportation Improvement Program  
**Title VI** .... Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964  
**TMA** ..... Transportation Management Area  
**TPA** ..... Transportation Planning Agency  
**TPO** ..... Transportation Planning Organization  
**TRIP** ..... Transportation Regional Incentive Program  
**ULAM** ..... Urban Land use Allocation Model  
**UPWP** .... Unified Planning Work Program  
**USC**.....United States Code  
**USDOT**.... United States Department of Transportation  
**UZA**.....Urbanized Area

## FEDERAL AND STATE FUND CODES

**ACIM**.....Advance Construction Interstate Maintenance  
**ACNH**.....Advance Construction National Highway  
**ACNP**..... Advance Construction Bridge Replacement  
**ACSA**.....Advance Construction Surface Transportation Program – Any Area  
**BA**.....Donor Bonus - any area Federal  
**BL**.....Donor Bonus - areas <200K population (federal)  
**BNCA**.....Bonds - controlled access road (state)  
**BNDS**.....Bonds - state roads (state)  
**BRP**.....State Bridge Replacement  
**BRRP**.....State Bridge Replacement and Repair  
**BRT**.....Federal Bridge Replacement – on Federal system  
**BRTZ**.....Federal Bridge Replacement - off Federal system  
**CIGP**.....County Incentive Grant Program  
**CM**.....Congestion Mitigation  
**D**.....Unrestricted state primary funds  
**DDR**.....District Dedicated Revenue (state)  
**DFTA**.....Federal Pass Through Dollars from FTA  
**DIH**.....State in-house product support  
**DIM**.....State intermodal development  
**DITS**.....Statewide Intelligent Transportation System  
**DOH**.....State primary overhead  
**DPTO**.....State PTO  
**DS**.....State primary highways and public transit  
**DSL**.....Local Government Cooperative Assistance Program  
**DU**.....State primary funds/federal reimbursement  
**DWS**.....Weigh Stations (state)  
**EB**.....Equity Bonus  
**FCO**.....State-fixed capital outlay  
**FHPP**.....Federal High Priority Projects  
**FRA**.....Federal Railroad Administration  
**FTAT**.....FHWA Transfer to FTA  
**GFSA**.....General Funds – Any Area  
**GMR**.....General Revenue for SIS  
**GRSC**.....General Revenue for SCOP  
**HPR**.....Highway Planning Research (federal)  
**HSP**.....Highway Safety Program  
**LF or LFF**. Local funds  
**LFR**.....Local funds - reimbursement from FDOT

**MABP**..... Minimum Allocation - Bridges (non- BRT)  
**MGBP**..... Minimum Allocation – Bridges Supplement  
**ML**.....Minimum allocation - areas < 200K population (federal)  
**NH**..... National Highway (federal)  
**NHAC**..... NH (AC/ Regular)  
**NHIR**.....FIHS from NH Federal Funds  
**NHS**.....National Highway Safety (federal)  
**NHTS**..... National Highway Traffic Safety (federal)  
**PORT**..... Seaport Trust Fund  
**PKCA**.....Turnpike - controlled access  
**PKYR**..... Turnpike Rehabilitation  
**PKYI**..... Turnpike Improvement  
**PL**..... Metropolitan Planning  
**PLH**..... Public Lands Highway  
**P01A**..... Turnpike Bond Construction  
**IM**..... Interstate maintenance  
**MA**..... Minimum allocation - any area (federal)  
**SA**..... Surface Transportation Program (STP) - any area (Federal)  
**SABR**..... STP, Bridges  
**SCED**..... Small County Outreach Program  
**SCOP**..... Small County Outreach Program  
**SCWR**..... Small County Outreach Program  
**SE**..... STP - enhancement (federal)  
**SH**..... STP - hazard elimination (federal)  
**SL**..... STP - areas less than 200K population  
**SN**..... STP - mandatory non-urban (federal)  
**SP**..... STP - RR protective devices (federal)  
**SR**..... STP - RR hazard elimination (federal)  
**SS**..... STP - Safety (federal)  
**STP**..... Surface Transportation Program  
**SU**..... STP, Urban Areas greater than 200K  
**TALT**..... Transportation Alternatives – Any Area  
**TALU**..... Transportation Alternatives > 200k  
**TDD**..... Transportation Disadvantaged Discretionary  
**TDTF**..... Transportation Disadvantaged Trust Fund  
**TLWR**..... Trail Network  
**TRWR**..... Transportation Regional Incentive Program  
**UMXX**..... Minimum allocation funds  
**XA**..... STP (consolidated BA, MA, and SA funds)  
**XL**..... STP (consolidated BL, ML, and SL funds)  
**XU**..... STP (consolidated BU, MU, and SU funds)

## PHASE OF CONSTRUCTION AND COST ALLOCATION CODES

<b>ADM</b> .....	Administration Other Agency
<b>CAP</b> .....	Capital Grant
<b>CST</b> .....	Construction, CEI (Construction, engineering, inspection), Post Design
<b>DSB</b> .....	Design Build
<b>ENV</b> .....	Environmental
<b>INC</b> .....	Construction Incentive
<b>LAR</b> .....	Local Agency Reimbursement
<b>MNT</b> .....	Maintenance
<b>MSC</b> .....	Miscellaneous
<b>OPS</b> .....	Operations/Grant Services
<b>PDE</b> .....	Project Development & Environment
<b>PE</b> .....	Preliminary Engineering
<b>PLN</b> .....	Planning
<b>RELOC</b> ....	Right of Way Relocation
<b>ROW</b> .....	Right of Way Support
<b>ROW LN..</b>	Right of Way Land
<b>R/R CST</b> ...	Railroad construction
<b>RRU</b> .....	Railroad/Utilities Construction
<b>UTIL</b> .....	Utility Coordination

**MARTIN MPO**  
**FY26 – FY30 TIP**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ENDORSEMENT .....	i
MARTIN MPO BOARD .....	ii
REPORT DOCUMENTATION .....	ii
CERTIFICATIONS	
FHWA/FTA CERTIFICATION .....	iii
JOINT CERTIFICATION .....	iv
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS .....	v
FEDERAL AND STATE FUNDED CODES .....	vii
PHASE OF CONSTRUCTION AND COST ALLOCATION CODES .....	viii
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 MPO OVERVIEW .....	1
1.2 TIP PURPOSE .....	1
1.3 ORGANIZATION .....	1
1.4 FULL PROJECT COSTS .....	2
1.5 TIP AMENDMENTS AND MODIFICATIONS .....	3
1.6 MAJOR PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS .....	3
1.7 IMPLEMENTED PROJECTS .....	4
<b>2.0 PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS</b>	
2.1 FINANCIAL PLAN .....	5
2.2 PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS .....	8
2.3 PROJECT PRIORITY STATEMENT .....	8
2.4 CHANGES TO PROJECT PRIORITIES .....	9
2.5 LIST OF PROJECT PRIORITIES .....	10
2.6 MPO 2045 LRTP GOALS .....	13
2.7 PERFORMANCE MEASURES .....	14
2.8 CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL AND LOCAL PLANS .....	35
2.9 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS .....	36
<b>3.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT</b>	
3.1 CONSISTENCY WITH PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN .....	37
3.2 TIMELINE OF EFFORTS .....	38

3.3 PUBLIC COMMENTS & RESPONSE .....	39
--------------------------------------	----

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. Allocation of Project Costs by Funding Source and Year .....	6
TABLE 2. List of Project Priorities .....	10
TABLE 3. List of Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Priorities .....	12
TABLE 4. List of Transit Priorities .....	12
TABLE 5. Safety Performance Targets .....	14
TABLE 6. Pavement, Bridge & System Performance Targets .....	21
TABLE 7. System Performance and Freight Performance Targets .....	25
TABLE 8. FTA Transit Asset Management Measures .....	27
TABLE 9. Asset Management Targets .....	29
TABLE 10. Transit Safety Performance Targets .....	32
TABLE 11. Timeline of Public Involvement Efforts .....	36
TABLE 12. Transportation Disadvantaged Program .....	63

## APPENDICES

PROJECT FUNDING SUMMARIES .....	40
LOCAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLANS .....	53
FEDERALLY OBLIGATED PROJECTS .....	64
MPO PLANNING AREA MAP .....	74
MARTIN COUNTY PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN (PTASP) .....	75
MARTIN COUNTY PUBLIC TRANSIT TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN (TAMP) .....	103
PROJECT SUMMARY SHEETS	
A – Highway .....	139
B – Transit .....	183
C – Aviation .....	185
D – Turnpike .....	188
E – Districtwide .....	190

## INDEX

PROJECT INDEX BY NUMBER .....	193
-------------------------------	-----

## NONBUDGETED PROJECT

NONBUDGETED PROJECT TABLE .....	196
---------------------------------	-----

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 MPO OVERVIEW

Established in 1993, the Martin MPO is governed by a Policy Board and serves the residents of Martin County. Planning tasks of the Martin MPO include regional coordination, bicycle and pedestrian planning, mobility management, demographic research, air quality planning, and public involvement processes and updates. As an agency, the Martin MPO also serves its primary function as the coordinator for multi-modal transportation project planning and funding in and through the county with various state agencies responsible for transportation and land use plans as well as adjacent MPOs. On specific regional issues, the Martin MPO partners with the St. Lucie TPO, the Indian River County MPO, the Palm Beach TPA, and the Heartland TPO.

### 1.2 TIP PURPOSE

The purpose of this Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is to provide a comprehensive and prioritized listing of transportation projects for FY26-FY30 that is consistent with the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). It contains all transportation-related projects to be funded by Title 23 and Title 49 funds and regionally significant transportation projects planned for the upcoming five years and is updated annually with funding priority given to the highest-ranked projects from the LRTP Cost Feasible Plan.

The TIP is based on funding data contained within the FDOT Tentative Work Program (also known as the Public Hearing Report), which is developed annually and made public by FDOT prior to the development of the TIP. This report is the result of FDOT working with local agencies to establish priorities for scheduling improvements to the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), including freight and Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) strategies, Federal interstate highway system, local roadways and MPO priorities concerning transit, pedestrian and bicycle friendly environments and transportation demand management programs.

### 1.3 ORGANIZATION

Section 1.0 contains a brief overview of the MPO and the purpose of the TIP. It also contains a list of major projects that are considered top priorities. Section 2.0 contains specific items that were considered in the development of this TIP. These items include the Financial Plan, List of Project Priorities, the MPO's overall goals as described within the 2045 LRTP and Performance Measures to meet Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requirements.

Section 3.0 contains a list of efforts the MPO plans to make in order to obtain public input for the development and approval of the TIP. It will also contain a brief summary of the public comments received and the MPO's response to them. The Appendices contain a list of projects by funding category, the project sheets programmed in Martin County, the Local Capital Improvement Plans and FY24 Federal Obligated Projects. The detailed project sections are based on the FDOT District Four Tentative Work Program as imported on April 9, 2025. Depending on many factors, these lists of projects may potentially change before July 1, 2025. Once the MPO receives a final Work Program from FDOT District Four, this section may be modified.

Because the project portion of the TIP is generated through the Interactive TIP Tool, there can be several variations of the project report. For efficiency and reduction of printed pages, the adopted version shows project details with maps for only the Highway projects. Sections for transit, aviation, Turnpike, and Districtwide list are summarized with project details without location maps. All project details include a summary of costs and revenues by funding source. Because only funded projects and phases are listed in the FDOT District Four Work Program, the costs and revenues are assumed to be equal, demonstrating financial constraint.

## **1.4 FULL PROJECT COSTS**

The normal project production sequence is to have a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) phase, a Design (PE) phase, a Right of Way (ROW) phase and a Construction (CST) phase. Some projects may not have a ROW phase, if land is not needed to complete the project. Costs on the TIP pages for projects both on and off the SIS will have historical costs and five years of the current TIP, which may or may not be the total project cost. If there is no CST phase on the TIP page, then the entry will probably not be reflective of the total project cost. For some projects, such as resurfacing, safety or operational project, there may not be a total cost provided but rather additional details on that program.

The SIS is a network of high-priority transportation facilities that includes the State's largest and most significant commercial service airports, spaceport, deep water seaports, freight rail terminals, passenger rail and intercity bus terminals, rail corridors, waterways, and highways.

For costs beyond the ten-year window, access to the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) is provided. The LRTP reference on the TIP page provides the information necessary to locate the full project costs and/or additional details regarding the project in the LRTP. If there is no LRTP reference in the TIP, full project costs are provided in the TIP. The link to the Martin LRTP is [martinmpo.com/wp-content/uploads/Martin-MPO-2045-Long-Range-Transportation-Plan.pdf](http://martinmpo.com/wp-content/uploads/Martin-MPO-2045-Long-Range-Transportation-Plan.pdf)

## 1.5 TIP AMENDMENTS AND MODIFICATIONS

Once the TIP is adopted, there are times that it must be modified or amended because the MPO does not have direct control of funding resources. This can be accomplished by amendment or administrative modification. TIP Amendments are revisions that involve a major change, including an added or deleted project, a significant change to project cost (an increase of 20% and greater than \$2 million), or a major change to a project scope. Amendments require a review period to gather public comments. During this review and comment period, the TIP will be brought before the MPO Advisory Committees for review, and then before the MPO Policy Board for approval. TIP Administrative modifications are revisions that include minor changes to project costs, funding sources, and project initiation dates. Administrative modifications do not require public review, but staff will present them to the Advisory Committees whenever feasible. Administrative modifications require MPO Policy Board approval. The Martin MPO coordinates all TIP Amendments and Administrative Modifications with FDOT District Four.

## 1.6 MAJOR PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

The major multi-modal projects, prioritized by the Martin MPO Policy Board and included in the FDOT Tentative Work Program for federal and state funding, are described below.

- **FM# 419669-3** – Willoughby Blvd from SR-714/Monterey Road to SR-5/US-1: New two-lane road.  
Phase: PD&E and P.E.
- **FM# 422681-5** – I-95 from High Meadows to Martin/St. Lucie County Line: Add lanes and reconstruct.  
Phase: PD&E
- **FM# 441699-1** – CR-713/High Meadow Ave from I-95 to CR-714/Martin Hwy: Add lanes and reconstruct.  
Phase: PDE, P.E., and ROW
- **FM# 441700-1** – SE Cove Road from SR-76/Kanner Highway to SR-5/US-1: Add lanes and reconstruct.  
Phase: PD&E, P.E., and ROW
- **FM# 446257-1** – US-1 @ Kanner Highway: Southbound Right Turn Lane onto Kanner Hwy, includes Triple Left Turn lanes onto US-1 Northbound. Phase: P.E., Right of Way, and Construction
- **FM# 444415-1** – SR-5/US-1 at Baker Rd: Intersection Improvement. Phase: P.E. and Construction

- **FM# 453333-2** – SR-710 from Martin/Okeechobee County Line to FPL Power Plant Access Road: Add lanes and reconstruct. Phase: P.E., ROW, and Railroad/Utilities
- **FM# 453333-1** – SR-710/Warfield Blvd from FPL Power Plant Access Road to CR-609/SW Allapattah Rd: Add lanes and reconstruct. Phase: P.E. and ROW.
- **FM # 447555-1** – SR-710/SW Warfield Blvd at CR-714/SW Martin Highway: Realignment of roadway to flatten curve of SR-714 before intersection at SR-710. Phase: P.E., ROW, Railroad & Utilities, Construction, and Environmental.
- **FM# 441636-2** – Monterey Rd at FEC Railroad: Grade Separation. Phase: P.E., ROW, and Construction
- **FM# 446333-1** – Turnpike/SR-91 from SW Martin Hwy to St. Lucie County Line: Add lanes and reconstruct. Phase: P.E., and ROW.

## **1.7 IMPLEMENTED PROJECTS**

The following major projects were implemented from the last two TIP cycles:

**FM# 435139-2:** CR-707 SE Beach Rd from Palm Beach/Martin County Line to CR-708 Bridge Road - Resurfacing (3/2023)

**FM# 438346-2:** SE Ocean Blvd from SE Hospital to SE Palm Beach Rd - Sidewalk (9/2023)

**FM# 441701-1:** SE Cove Road from SR-5/US-1 to Dixie Highway (completed 6/2024)

**FM# 446072-1:** Salerno Road Sidewalk from SE Willoughby to Southeast Cable Drive (completed 6/2024)

**FM# 436870-1:** SR-714/SW Martin Highway from SW Citrus Boulevard to SW Martin Downs Boulevard - Widening Project (completed 3/2025)

## 2.0 PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

### 2.1 FINANCIAL PLAN

In accordance with Federal Legislation [23 C.F.R. 450.326(k)], the MPO must demonstrate that the TIP is financially constrained. This means that the estimated expenses (or project costs) are consistent with the anticipated revenues for each funding source. Only those projects for which a revenue source has been identified are shown in the TIP to ensure a balance between the costs and revenues.


The TIP must include a financial plan that demonstrates how the approved TIP can be implemented, indicates resources from public and private sources that are reasonably expected to be made available to carry out the TIP, and recommends any additional financing strategies for needed projects and programs. However, because the MPO does not have direct control of funding resources, Administrative Modifications or Amendments may have to be made to the TIP during the fiscal year.

The Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is financially constrained for each year. Federally funded projects identified in the TIP can be implemented using current proposed revenue sources based on the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Tentative Work Program and locally dedicated transportation revenues. All projects funded by FDOT with Federal or non-Federal dollars are included in a balanced 36-month forecast of cash and expenditures and a five-year finance plan supporting the FDOT Work Program. All local government projects (non-Federally funded) that are included in the TIP are part of member local government's capital improvement programs. The following table provides a summary of total project costs by Federal, State and local funding codes by fiscal year. Note that all project costs are shown in Year of Expenditure (YOE) dollars, meaning the costs reflect the adjusted value of the work at the time the funds will be expended on the project.




**Table 1 – Allocation of Project Costs by Funding Sources and Year**

Funding Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
Federal	\$22,442,036	\$10,715,610	\$14,322,866	\$14,246,496	\$19,759,381	<b>\$81,486,389</b>
Local	\$2,236,996	\$3,298,441	\$1,555,264	\$1,255,474	\$1,281,590	<b>\$9,627,765</b>
R/W and Bridge Bonds	\$0	\$0	\$14,842,796	\$0	\$0	<b>\$14,842,796</b>
State 100%	\$45,676,592	\$43,952,528	\$44,098,562	\$39,236,840	\$76,216,866	<b>\$249,181,388</b>
Toll/Turnpike	\$2,487,200	\$15,994,766	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	<b>\$18,581,966</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$72,842,824</b>	<b>\$73,961,345</b>	<b>\$74,819,488</b>	<b>\$54,838,810</b>	<b>\$97,257,837</b>	<b>\$373,720,304</b>

**Summary by Funding Sources and Fiscal Year**



## Summary by Funding Sources and Fiscal Year



## **2.2 PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS**

Per 23 CFR 450.332(c), federally funded projects are selected by the MPO in conjunction with the development of the FDOT Tentative Work Program and through the cooperation of the public transit operator who provides the MPO with estimates of available federal and state funds in order for the MPO to develop its financial plan.

In addition, the 2045 LRTP plays a major role in identifying projects for selection. Contained within the LRTP is an evaluation of existing conditions, an evaluation of projected conditions, the identification of policy and project needs, and a determination of the cost feasibility of implementing these projects.

Both the FDOT Tentative Work Program and the 2045 LRTP provide the basis for establishing project priorities consistent with the planning factors considered in their annual selection, and subsequent development of the TIP under the requirements outlined in Federal legislation.

## **2.3 PROJECT PRIORITY STATEMENT**

As required under 339.175 (8) (b) F.S., the annual list of project priorities was developed based on criteria that included the:

- Approved 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP);
- Strategic Intermodal System Plan (SIS);
- Results of the transportation management systems; and
- MPO's public involvement procedures.

Annually MPO staff meet with FDOT staff and local government staff to discuss project priorities. During this process, priorities are identified based on those of the previous year and the priorities listed in the Cost Feasible Plan of the current LRTP. This new list of priorities is discussed with the MPO Advisory Committees and then approved by the MPO Board. These priorities are then submitted to FDOT and used to program projects accordingly.

### **Surface Transportation Program (STP) Priorities**

The Surface Transportation Program (STP) provides flexible funding that may be used by States and localities for projects to preserve and improve the conditions and performance on any Federal-aid highway, bridge and tunnel projects on any public road, pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, and transit capital projects.

## 2.4 CHANGES TO PROJECT PRIORITIES

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.326(n)(1), MPOs are required to explain any changes in priorities from previous TIPs.

Changes from last year's TIP were made based on funding availability and construction. The Transportation Alternatives Priorities have been updated to reflect recent Transportation Alternatives Program applications, as well as previously funded projects. The Public Transit Priorities table reflects funding estimates.

The FY26 – FY30 LOPP has maintained the widening of SR-710 as the MPO's #1 priority due to the serious injury crashes and fatalities that have occurred along this corridor over the years. The SR-710 widening project limits were updated, which extends the project to SW Van Buren Ave from the Martin/Okeechobee County Line. SR-710 at CR-609/SW Allapattah Rd. intersection improvements moved up to Priority #7 from being Priority #13. Mid-block pedestrian crosswalks along Monterey Rd. and East Ocean Blvd moved down to Priority #11 from Priority #8. Willoughby Blvd Extension moved down two spots and is sitting on the list at Priority #12. The Widening Project for CR-713/High Meadows Ave. from I-95 to CR-714/Martin Hwy has moved down two priorities and is the MPO's Priority #13. The Resurfacing of Dixie Hwy from Cove Rd. to Jefferson St. has moved down to #14 from #12. CR-609 resurfacing project from SR-710 to 2,800 feet north of Minute Maid Rd. is #16, moving down from #13. The resurfacing of CR-609 from approximately three miles north of Minute Maid Road. to the St. Lucie County Line is Priority #17, moving down 3 spaces. Mitigation along N Sewall's Point Rd. from E Ocean Blvd to NE Palmer St. has moved down two spaces and is #18. The addition of right turn lanes and a new traffic signal at Kanner Hwy and SW South River Drive has moved down the list and is the MPO's Priority #19.

Three new projects were added to the FY26-FY30 LOPP, which include a resurfacing project on SE Commerce Ave. from SE Indian St. to SE Salerno Rd. (Priority #8), an intersection reconstruction project at US-1 and SW Palm City Rd (Priority #9), and complete streets improvements along SW Palm City Rd from Monterey Rd to US-1 (Priority #10).

## 2.5 LIST OF PROJECT PRIORITIES

The projects in the following tables have been formally reviewed by the MPO Citizen's Advisory Committee, Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, and Technical Advisory Committee, and were approved by the MPO Policy Board at its meeting on June 17, 2024.

**Table 2**  
**FY26 – FY30 - List of Project Priorities**

FY26 Rank	Facility	Segment Limits		Project Description	2045 LRTP Page	Prev. Rank
		From	To			
1	SR-710	SW Van Buren Ave	Martin/ Okeechobee County Line	Widen from 2-lanes to 4-lanes	76	1
2	SE Cove Rd.	SR-76/ Kanner Hwy.	US-1	Widen from 2-lanes to 4-lanes including bike lanes and shared use pathway	69	2
3	CR-714	Realignment		Flatten curve of CR-714 before intersection at SR-710	Appx. G, pg. 1	3
4	Monterey Rd.	At FEC Railroad		Railroad/roadway grade separation	Appx. H, pg. 5	4
5	NW Alice St.	FEC Crossing		Pedestrian facilities/realign roadway with NW Wright Blvd.	Appx. H, pg. 11	9
6	CR-708/ SE Bridge Rd.	Bascule Bridge		Bridge Replacement	132	5

**Table 2 – Continued**

7	SR-710	At CR-609/SW Allapattah Rd.		Intersection improvements (Signal and Turn Lanes)	128, 132	13
8	SE Commerce Ave.	SE Indian St.	SE Salerno Rd.	Roadway leveling, resurfacing, shoulder widening, drainage improvements, pedestrian crosswalks	128, 132	
9	US-1	At SW Palm City Rd.		Intersection reconstruction/Feasibility Study- Alternative 5	128, 132	
10	SW Palm City Rd.	Monterey Rd.	US-1	Complete Streets improvements	128, 132	
11	Monterey Rd. & East Ocean Blvd.	Kingswood Ter.	St. Lucie Blvd.	Mid-block pedestrian crosswalks	Appx. H, pg. 11	8
12	Willoughby Blvd. Extension	Monterey Rd.	US-1	New 2-lane road with bike lanes and sidewalks/shared use pathways	69	10
13	CR-713/High Meadow Ave.	I-95	CR-714/ Martin Hwy.	Widen from 2 lanes to 4 lanes with shared-use pathway	69	11
14	Dixie Hwy.	Cove Rd.	Jefferson St.	Resurfacing/Complete Street improvements/CEI	84	12
15	SW Citrus Blvd.	SW Hemingway Ter.	SR-710	Resurfacing/shoulder widening and bike lanes/safety improvements	128, 132	15
16	CR-609/ SW Allapattah Rd.	SR-710	2,800 feet north of Minute Maid Rd.	Resurfacing/southbound left turn lane/shoulder widening/CEI	128, 132	13

**Table 2 – Continued**

17	CR-609/ SW Allapattah Rd.	Approx. 3 miles North of Minute Maid Rd.	St. Lucie County Line	Resurfacing/shoulder widening/safety improvements	128, 132	14
18	N Sewall's Point Rd.	East Ocean Blvd.	NE Palmer St.	Mitigate for sea level rise impact	87	16
19	SR-76/ Kanner Hwy.	At SW South River Dr.		New southbound right turn lane at South River Dr. & traffic signal	80	6

**Table 3**  
**FY26 – FY30**  
**List of Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Priorities**

Project Description	2026	2027	2028	2029	Comments
SE Washington St. Sidewalks	\$731,024				Funded
S Dixie Highway Improvements		\$770,407			Funded
SW Bull Dog Way Sidewalks	\$5,000		\$1,173,062		Funded
Fisherman's Cove Sidewalks				\$1,272,261	Pending

**Table 4**  
**FY26 – FY30**  
**List of Public Transit Priorities**

Facility/ Equipment	Project Location/Description	Estimated Amount	Funding Source	2045 LRTP or TDP Page #	Project Status/Notes
Bus Replacement / Expansion	Rolling Stock	\$146,920	§5339	LRTP -pg. 74	Amount of funds programmed is based on anticipated procurements and estimated costs and will change year to year.
Operating	Operating Assistance	\$812,370	§5307	LRTP -pg. 74	
Security	1% Security	\$18,104	§5307	LRTP -pg. 74	
Safety	.75% Safety	\$13,578	§5307	LRTP -pg. 74	

## 2.6 MPO 2045 LRTP GOALS

The 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) for the Martin MPO is an analysis of the current and projected conditions in the region that will impact the transportation network. It contains an evaluated list of transportation improvements that will be necessary to maintain an adequate level of mobility and to accommodate anticipated population growth. The goals contained in the LRTP guide the transportation planning process in the MPO Planning Area and help to establish project priorities for the TIP.

- **2045 LRTP Goal 1:** An efficient multimodal transportation system that supports economic growth and enhances the quality of life.
- **2045 LRTP Goal 2:** A safe multimodal transportation system that meets the needs of all the users.
- **2045 LRTP Goal 3:** Preserve natural environment and promote equity and healthy communities.
- **2045 LRTP Goal 4:** A transportation system with an ability to harness changes in the future.
- **2045 LRTP Goal 5:** A transportation system that reflects the community's needs and desires.

## 2.7 Performance Measures

Transportation Performance Management (TPM) is a strategic approach to connect transportation investment and policy decisions to help achieve performance goals. Performance measures are quantitative expressions used to evaluate progress toward goals. Performance targets are quantifiable levels of performance to be achieved within a period. Federal transportation law requires state departments of transportation (DOT), MPOs, and public transportation providers to conduct performance-based planning by tracking performance and establishing data-driven targets to assess progress toward achieving goals. Performance-based planning supports the efficient investment of transportation funds by increasing accountability, providing transparency, and linking investment decisions to key outcomes related to seven national goals established by the U.S. Congress:

- Improving Safety
- Maintaining Infrastructure Condition
- Reducing Traffic Congestion
- Improving the Efficiency of the System and Freight Movement
- Protecting the Environment
- Reducing Delays in Project Delivery

Federal law requires the FDOT, the MPOs, and public transportation providers to coordinate when selecting performance targets. FDOT and the MPOAC developed the TPM Consensus Planning Document to describe how these agencies will cooperatively develop and share information on transportation performance management and target setting.

### Highway Safety Measures (PM1)

The first of FHWA's performance management rules establishes measures to assess fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. The rule requires state DOTs and MPOs to annually establish targets and report performance and progress toward targets to FHWA for the following safety-related performance measures:

1. Number of Fatalities
2. Rate of Fatalities per 100 million Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)
3. Number of Serious Injuries
4. Rate of Serious Injuries per 100 million VMT
5. Number of Nonmotorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries

## Statewide Targets

Safety performance measure targets are required to be adopted annually. In August of each calendar year, FDOT reports targets to FHWA for the following calendar year. On August 31, 2024, FDOT established statewide safety performance targets for calendar year 2025. Table 5 presents FDOT's statewide targets.

**Table 5 – Statewide Safety Performance Targets**

Performance Measure	Calendar Year 2025 Statewide Target
Number of fatalities	0
Rate of fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT)	0
Number of serious injuries	0
Rate of serious injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT)	0
Number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries	0

FDOT adopted a vision of zero traffic-related fatalities in 2012. This, in effect, became FDOT's target for zero traffic fatalities and quantified the policy set by Florida's Legislature more than 35 years ago (Section 334.046(2), Florida Statutes, emphasis added):

*“The mission of the Department of Transportation shall be to provide a safe statewide transportation system...”*

FDOT and Florida's traffic safety partners are committed to eliminating fatalities and serious injuries. As stated in the Safe System approach promoted by FHWA, the death or serious injury of any person is unacceptable. [The Florida Transportation Plan](#) (FTP), the state's long-range transportation plan, identifies eliminating transportation-related fatalities and serious injuries as the state's highest transportation priority. Therefore, FDOT established 0 as the only acceptable target for all five federal safety performance measures.

## **MPO Safety Targets**

MPOs are required to establish safety targets annually within 180 days of when the FDOT established targets. MPOs establish targets by either agreeing to program projects supporting the statewide targets or developing their own quantitative targets for the MPO planning area.

The Martin MPO, along with FDOT and other traffic safety partners, shares a high concern about the unacceptable number of traffic fatalities, both statewide and nationally. As such, on February 24, 2025, the Martin MPO agreed to support FDOT's statewide safety performance targets for calendar year 2025, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the statewide targets. The safety initiatives within this TIP are intended to contribute toward achieving these targets.

## **FDOT Safety Planning and Programming**

### **Florida's Strategic Highway Safety Plan**

[Florida's Strategic Highway Safety Plan](#) (SHSP), published in March 2021, identifies strategies to achieve zero traffic deaths and serious injuries. The SHSP was updated in coordination with Florida's 27 MPOs, the MPOAC, and other statewide traffic safety partners. The SHSP development process included a review of safety-related goals, objectives, and strategies in MPO plans. The SHSP guides FDOT, MPOs, and other safety partners in addressing safety and defines a framework for implementation activities to be carried out throughout the state.

Florida's transportation safety partners have focused on reducing fatalities and serious injuries through the 4Es of engineering, education, enforcement, and emergency response. To achieve zero, FDOT and other safety partners will expand beyond addressing specific hazards and influencing individual behavior to reshape transportation systems and communities to create a safer environment for all travel. The updated SHSP calls on Florida to think more broadly and inclusively by addressing four additional topics, which are referred to as the 4Is: information intelligence, innovation, insight into communities, and investments and policies. The SHSP also embraces an integrated "Safe System" approach that involves designing and managing road infrastructure to keep the risk of a mistake low and to ensure that when a mistake leads to a crash, the impact on the human body does not result in a fatality or serious injury. The five Safe System elements together create a holistic approach with layers of protection: safer road users, safer vehicles, safer speeds, safer roads, and post-crash care.

The SHSP also expands the list of emphasis areas for Florida's safety programs to include six evolving emphasis areas, which are high-risk or high-impact crashes that are a subset of an existing emphasis area or emerging risks and new innovations, where safety implications are unknown. These evolving emphasis areas include work zones, drowsy and ill driving, rail grade crossings, roadway transit, micromobility, and connected and automated vehicles.

### **Florida's Highway Safety Improvement Program**

While the FTP and the SHSP both highlight the statewide commitment to a vision of zero deaths, the Florida Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Annual Report documents statewide performance and progress toward that vision. It also lists all HSIP projects that were obligated during the reporting year and the relationship of each project to the SHSP.

As discussed above, in the 2024 HSIP Annual Report, FDOT reported calendar year 2025 statewide safety performance targets at "0" for each safety performance measure to reflect the vision of zero deaths. Annually, FHWA determines whether Florida has met the targets or performed better than the baseline for at least four of the five measures. If this does not occur, FDOT must submit an annual implementation plan with actions it will take to meet targets in the future.

On April 20, 2023, FHWA reported the results of its 2022 safety target assessment. FHWA concluded that Florida had not met or made significant progress toward its 2022 safety targets, noting that zero had not been achieved for any measure and that only three out of five measures (number of serious injuries, serious injury rate, and number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries) were better than the baseline. Subsequently, FDOT developed an HSIP Implementation Plan to highlight additional strategies it will undertake in support of the safety targets. [The HSIP Implementation Plan](#) was submitted with the HSIP Annual Report to FHWA on August 31, 2024.

Consistent with FHWA requirements, the HSIP Implementation Plan focuses specifically on the implementation of the HSIP as a core federal-aid highway program and documents the continued enhancements planned for Florida's HSIP to better leverage the benefits of this program. However, recognizing that FDOT already allocates all HSIP funding to safety programs - and building on the integrated approach that underscores FDOT's safety programs – the HSIP Implementation Plan also documents how additional FDOT and partner activities may contribute to progress toward zero. Building on the foundation of prior HSIP Implementation Plans, the 2024 HSIP Implementation Plan identifies the following key commitments:

- Improve partner coordination and align safety activities.

- Maximize HSIP infrastructure investments.
- Enhance safety data systems and analysis.
- Implement key safety countermeasures.
- Focus on safety marketing and education on target audiences.
- Capitalize on new and existing funding opportunities.

Florida conducts extensive safety data analysis to understand the state's traffic safety challenges and identify and implement successful safety solutions. Florida's transportation system is evaluated using location-specific analyses that evaluate locations where the number of crashes or crash rates are the highest and where fatalities and serious injuries are most prominent. These analyses are paired with additional systemic analyses to identify characteristics that contribute to certain crash types and prioritize countermeasures that can be deployed across the system as a whole. As countermeasures are implemented, Florida also employs predictive analyses to evaluate the performance of roadways (i.e., evaluating results of implemented crash modification factors against projected crash reduction factors).

FDOT's State Safety Office works closely with FDOT Districts and regional and local traffic safety partners to develop the annual HSIP updates. Historical, risk-based, and predictive safety analyses are conducted to identify appropriate proven countermeasures to reduce fatalities and serious injuries associated with Florida's SHSP emphasis areas, resulting in a list of projects that reflect the greatest needs and are anticipated to achieve the highest benefit. While these projects and the associated policies and standards may take years to implement, they are built on proven countermeasures for improving safety and addressing serious crash risks or problems identified through a data-driven process. Florida continues to allocate all available HSIP funding to safety projects. [FDOT's HSIP Guidelines](#) provide detailed information on this data-driven process and funding eligibility.

Florida received an allocation of approximately \$156 million in HSIP funds for use during the 2024 state fiscal year from July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024, and fully allocated those funds to safety projects. FDOT used these HSIP funds to complete projects that address intersections, lane departure, pedestrian and bicyclist safety, and other programs representing the remaining SHSP emphasis areas. This year's HSIP allocated \$134.5 million in infrastructure investments on state-maintained roadways and \$20.8 million in infrastructure investments on local roadways. A list of HSIP projects can be found in the [HSIP 2024 Annual Report](#).

Beginning in fiscal year 2024, HSIP funding is distributed among FDOT Districts based on a statutory formula. This allows the Districts to have more clearly defined funding levels, which allows them to better plan to select and fund projects. MPOs and local agencies coordinate with FDOT Districts to identify and implement effective highway safety improvement projects on non-state roadways.

## Additional FDOT Safety Planning Activities

In addition to HSIP, safety is considered as a factor in FDOT planning and priority setting for projects in preservation and capacity programs. Data is analyzed for each potential project, using traffic safety data and traffic demand modeling, among other data. The [Florida PD&E Manual](#) requires the consideration of safety when preparing a proposed project's purpose and need as part of the analysis of alternatives. Florida design and construction standards include safety criteria and countermeasures incorporated in every construction project. FDOT also recognizes the importance of the American Association of State Highway Transportation Official (AASHTO) Highway Safety Manual (HSM). Through dedicated and consistent training and messaging over the last several years, the HSM is now an integral part of project development and design.

FDOT holds Program Planning Workshops annually to determine the level of funding to be allocated over the next 5 to 10 years to preserve and provide for a safe transportation system. Certain funding types are further analyzed and prioritized by FDOT Central Offices after projects are prioritized collaboratively by the MPOs, local governments, and FDOT Districts; for example, the State Safety Office is responsible for the HSIP and Highway Safety Program (HSP) and the Systems Implementation Office is responsible for the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS). Both the Safety and SIS programs consider the reduction of traffic fatalities and serious injuries in their criteria for ranking projects.

## Safety Investments in the TIP

The Martin MPO in coordination with FDOT, aims to make roads safe for all users in Martin County and to meet the safety performance targets set on an annual basis. The TIP considers potential projects that fall into specific investment priorities established by the MPO in the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The process used to develop the MPO's Long-Range Transportation Plan includes analysis of safety data trends, including the location and factors associated with crashes with emphasis on fatalities and serious injuries. This data is used to help identify regional safety issues and potential safety strategies for the LRTP and TIP. Consistent with the MPO's 2045 LRTP, the TIP includes funding that is used for programs that improve safety in areas with a high number of bicycle and pedestrian crashes. The TIP also includes planning funds that are used by the MPO to educate and reinforce the message of how to walk, bicycle, and drive safely. For the Martin MPO, this includes programs, projects, and activities such as:

- *Annually launching a 'Call for Projects' for eligible Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) projects.* TAP provides funding for programs and projects defined as transportation alternatives, including on- and off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and infrastructure projects for enhanced mobility and overall safety. Projects approved by the MPO Grant Screening Committee go through the MPO process, including presentations at the MPO advisory committee and Policy Board meetings for approval.

- Implementing congestion mitigation projects, such as FM# 441700-1 – Cove Road widening which will include shared-use paths along both sides of the road and FM# 419669-3 – Willoughby Blvd. extension that will include a new two-lane roadway with bike lanes and a shared-use pathway.
- Martin MPO staff attends the Regional Treasure Coast Community Traffic Safety Team (CTST) quarterly meetings. Staff regularly presents upcoming Transportation Planning studies and public outreach previously held within the MPO planning boundary.
- CR-714/SW Martin Highway Realignment at SR-710 this project has been developed as a safety concern to flatten out the curve along CR-714 into Okeechobee County.
- SW Warfield Blvd/SR-710 Widening Project; this roadway is a high crash corridor that has been identified the MPO's as our top priority for safety.
- Walk to School Day/Walk and Roll to School Day are events that the MPO has implemented to ensure the safety of all road users. These events educate students on how to walk or bike to school safely and the benefits of doing so for your physical wellbeing. The MPO partnered with two local schools to conduct these events and hopes to partner with more in the future.
- The Martin MPO conducted Safety Public Outreach at Walmart in December of 2024 to share information on safer practices for drivers, pedestrians, and cyclists.

The TIP includes specific investment priorities that support all the MPO's goals including safety, using the prioritization and project selection process established in the LRTP totaling over 54 million dollars. This process evaluates projects that have an anticipated effect of reducing both fatal and injury crashes. The MPO's goal of reducing fatal and serious injury crashes is linked to this investment plan and the process used in prioritizing the projects is consistent with federal requirements. The FY26 – FY30 TIP includes improving safety conditions County-wide. These projects fall into the categories below. The Martin MPO continues monitoring investments in the TIP and demonstrating progress toward goals and objectives.

- Bicycle Lane/Sidewalk
- Lighting
- Traffic control devices/system
- Safety projects
- Corridor improvements
- Add turning lanes
- Signing and pavement markings

Because safety is inherent in so many FDOT and Martin MPO programs and projects, and because of the broad and holistic approach FDOT is undertaking with its commitment to Vision Zero, the program of projects in this TIP is anticipated to support progress towards achieving the safety targets.

## Pavement and Bridge Condition Measures (PM2)

FHWA's Bridge & Pavement Condition Performance Measures Final Rule, which is also referred to as the PM2 rule, requires state DOTs and MPOs to establish targets for the following six performance measures:

1. Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) classified as in good condition.
2. Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) classified as in poor condition.
3. Percent of Interstate pavements in good condition.
4. Percent of Interstate pavements in poor condition.
5. Percent of non-Interstate National Highway System (NHS) pavements in good condition; and
6. Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in poor condition.

For the pavement measures, five pavement metrics are used to assess condition:

- International Roughness Index (IRI) - an indicator of roughness (applicable to asphalt, jointed concrete, and continuously reinforced concrete pavements)
- Cracking percent - percentage of pavement surface exhibiting cracking (applicable to asphalt, jointed concrete, and continuously reinforced concrete pavements)
- Rutting - extent of surface depressions (applicable to asphalt pavements only)
- Faulting - vertical misalignment of pavement joints (applicable to jointed concrete pavements only); and
- Present Serviceability Rating (PSR) – a quality rating applicable only to NHS roads with posted speed limits of less than 40 miles per hour (e.g., toll plazas, border crossings). States may choose to collect and report PSR for applicable segments as an alternative to the other four metrics.

## Pavement and Bridge Condition Statewide Targets

Federal rules require state DOTs to establish two-year and four-year targets for bridge and pavement condition measures. On December 16, 2022, FDOT established statewide bridge and pavement targets for the second performance period ending in 2025. These targets are identical to those set for 2019 and 2021, respectively. Florida's performance through 2021 exceeds the targets. The two-year targets represent bridge and pavement conditions at the end of calendar year 2023, while the four-year targets represent conditions at the end of 2025. Table 6 presents the statewide targets. Federal rules require state DOTs to establish two-year and four-year targets for the system performance and freight targets. On December 16, 2022, FDOT established 2023 and 2025 statewide performance targets, and in September 2024, adjusted

the 2025 targets for percent of person miles traveled on the Interstate and on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable. Table 6 presents 2023 actual performance and the 2023 and 2025 statewide targets.

**Table 6 – Statewide Bridge and Pavement Condition Performance Targets**

Performance Measure	2023 Statewide Conditions	2023 Statewide Target	2025 Statewide Target
Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) in good condition	55.3%	≥50.0%	≥50.0%
Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) in poor condition	0.6%	≤10.0%	≤5.0%
Percent of Interstate pavements in good condition	67.6%	≥60.0%	≥60.0%
Percent of Interstate pavements in poor condition	0.2%	≤5.0%	≤5.0%
Percent of non-Interstate pavements in good condition	50.8%	≥40.0%	≥40.0%
Percent of non-Interstate pavements in poor condition	0.5%	≤5.0%	≤5.0%

Source: 2023 Statewide Conditions [fdotsourcebook.com](http://fdotsourcebook.com).

In determining its approach to establishing performance targets for the federal bridge and pavement condition performance measures, FDOT considered many factors.

- Florida Statute 334.046 mandates FDOT to preserve the state's bridges and pavement to specific state-defined standards. To adhere to the statutory guidelines, FDOT prioritizes funding allocations to ensure the current transportation system is adequately preserved and maintained before funding is allocated for capacity improvements. These state statutory guidelines envelope the statewide federal targets that have been established for bridges and pavements.
- In addition FDOT also developed a [Transportation Asset Management Plan](#) (TAMP) for the state NHS bridge and pavement assets. The TAMP must include investment strategies leading to a program of projects that would make progress toward the achievement of the State's targets for asset condition and performance of the NHS. FDOT's current TAMP was submitted on December 30, 2022, and recertified by FHWA on February 23, 2023.
- Further, the federal pavement condition measures require a data collection methodology that is a departure from the methods historically used by FDOT. For bridge condition, performance is measured in the deck area under the federal

measure, while FDOT programs its bridge repair or replacement work on a bridge-by-bridge basis. As such, the federal measures are not directly comparable to the methods that are most familiar to FDOT.

FDOT collects and reports bridge and pavement data to FHWA annually to track performance and progress toward the targets. The percentage of Florida's bridges in good condition is slowly decreasing, which is to be expected as the bridge inventory grows older. Reported bridge and pavement data through 2023 exceeded the established targets. FHWA determined that FDOT made significant progress toward its 2023 PM2 targets.

### **Pavement and Bridge Condition Targets for Martin MPO**

MPOs must set four-year targets for the six bridge and pavement condition measures within 180 days of when FDOT established targets. MPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the statewide targets or establish their own quantifiable targets for the MPO's planning area for one or more measures.

On April 21, 2025, the Martin MPO agreed to support the FDOT's statewide bridge and pavement performance targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the statewide targets.

### **Pavement and Bridge Investments in the TIP**

The Martin MPO TIP reflects investment priorities established in the 2045 LRTP. The TIP devotes a significant amount of resources to projects that will maintain pavement and bridge condition performance or will replace bridges with a deteriorating condition. Investments in pavement and bridge condition include resurfacing and bridge replacement/rehabilitation projects. The following are some example projects funded in this TIP that address system preservation/maintenance of pavement and bridge conditions:

- SR-A1A from NE Shore Village Ter to SR-732/Jensen Beach Causeway – Resurfacing
- SR-714/SW Martin Hwy from East of SW Stuart W Blvd to West of Citrus Blvd – Resurfacing
- SR-5/US-1 from .5 miles South of SR-A1A/SE Dixie Hwy to Osprey Street – Resurfacing
- SR-9/I-95 from South of SR-76/Kanner Hwy to Martin/St. Lucie County Line – Resurfacing
- CR-76A/SW 96<sup>th</sup> Street Arundel Bridge – Bridge Rehabilitation
- Green River Parkway from NE Jensen Beach Blvd to Martin County Line – Resurfacing
- SR-714/SE Monterey Rd from SW Palm City Rd to 400 feet South of SR-5/US-1 – Resurfacing

- CR-707/SE Dixie Hwy Bridge #890003 – Bridge Rehabilitation
- CR-A1A/SE Dixie Hwy from Cove Rd. to Jefferson St. – Resurfacing
- SE County Line Road / SE Wooden Bridge Lane to US-1/SR-5 – Resurfacing
- US-1/SR-5 Roosevelt Bridge over St. Lucie River Bridges 890151 & 890152 – Bridge Rehabilitation
- SR-A1A/ NE Ocean Blvd. “Ernest F. Lyons” Bridge over ICWW – Bridge Rehabilitation
- SW Kansas Avenue from 100 feet South of Camp Valor to SE Kanner Highway – Resurfacing
- SR-5/US-1 from SE Osprey St to South of SE Heritage Blvd – Resurfacing
- Bridge Replacement – 890083 (SR 91) (MP 138) Martin County – Bridge Replacement
- SR-5/US-1 from SE Osprey St to South of SE Heritage Blvd – Resurfacing

The TIP seeks to address system preservation in the metropolitan planning area and provides funding for targeted improvements. The Infrastructure Maintenance and Congestion Management Goal in the 2045 LRTP includes the objective of Prioritizing improvements that help maintain existing roadways and bridges as well as identifying the PM2 performance measures and targets as metrics to monitor progress. Further, investments in pavement and bridge conditions include resurfacing, bridge replacement, and bridge rehabilitation projects in the TIP. The TIP includes over \$78,145,748 million in resurfacing and bridge projects.

The projects included in the TIP are consistent with FDOT's Five Year Work Program. Therefore, they reflect FDOT's approach of prioritizing funding to ensure the transportation system is adequately preserved and maintained. Per federal planning requirements, the state selects projects on the NHS in cooperation with the MPO from the approved TIP. Given the significant resources devoted in the TIP to pavement and bridge projects, the MPO anticipates that once implemented, the TIP will contribute to progress towards achieving the statewide pavement and bridge condition performance targets.

### **System Performance, Freight, Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Improvement Program Measures (PM3)**

FHWA's System Performance/Freight/CMAQ Performance Measures Final Rule, which is referred to as the PM3 rule, requires state DOTs and MPOs to establish targets for the following six performance measures:

#### **National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)**

1. Percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate system that is reliable;
2. Percent of person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that is reliable;

## **National Highway Freight Program (NHFP)**

3. Truck Travel Time Reliability index (TTTR);

## **Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ)**

4. Annual hours of peak hour excessive delay per capita (PHED);
5. Percent of non-single occupant vehicle travel (Non-SOV); and
6. Cumulative 2-year and 4-year reduction of on-road mobile source emissions (NOx, VOC, CO, PM10, and PM2.5) for CMAQ funded projects.

Because all areas in Florida meet current national air quality standards, the three CMAQ measures do not apply in Florida. Below is a description of the first three measures.

The first two performance measures assess the percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate or the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable. Reliability is defined as the ratio of longer travel times to a normal travel time over all applicable roads, across four time periods between the hours of 6 a.m. and 8 p.m. each day.

The third performance measure assesses the reliability of truck travel on the Interstate system. The TTTR assesses the reliability of the Interstate network by comparing trucks' worst travel times against the travel times they typically experience.

## **System Performance and Freight Statewide Targets**

Federal rules require state DOTs to establish two-year and four-year targets for the system performance and freight targets. On December 16, 2022, FDOT established 2023 and 2025 statewide performance targets, and in September 2024, adjusted the 2025 targets for percent of person miles traveled on the Interstate and on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable. Table 7 presents 2023 actual performance and the 2023 and 2025 statewide targets.

**Table 7 – System Performance and Freight Targets**

Performance Measure	2023 Statewide Conditions	2023 Statewide Target	2025 Statewide Target
Percent of person-miles on the Interstate system that are reliable	82.8%	≥75.0%	≥75.0%
Percent of person-miles on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable	89.1%	≥50.0%	≥60.0%
Truck travel time reliability (Interstate)	1.48	1.75	2.00

Source: 2023 Statewide Conditions [fdotsourcebook.com](http://fdotsourcebook.com).

FDOT collects and reports reliability data to FHWA annually to track performance and progress toward the reliability targets. Actual performance in 2023 was better than the 2023 targets.

System performance and freight are addressed through several statewide initiatives:

- Florida's Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) comprises transportation facilities of statewide and interregional significance. The SIS is a primary focus of FDOT's capacity investments and is Florida's primary network for ensuring a strong link between transportation and economic competitiveness. These facilities, which span all modes and include highways, are the workhorses of Florida's transportation system and account for a dominant share of the people and freight movement to, from, and within Florida. The SIS includes 92 percent of NHS lane miles in the state. Thus, FDOT's focus on improving the performance of the SIS goes hand-in-hand with improving the NHS, which is the focus of the FHWA's TPM program. The [SIS Policy Plan](#) was updated in early 2022, consistent with the updated FTP. The SIS Policy Plan defines the policy framework for designating which facilities are part of the SIS, as well as how SIS investment needs are identified and prioritized. The development of the SIS Five-Year Plan by FDOT considers scores on a range of measures, including mobility, safety, preservation, and economic competitiveness, as part of FDOT's Strategic Investment Tool (SIT).
- In addition, the [Florida Mobility and Trade Plan](#) (FMTP) defines policies and investments that will enhance Florida's economic development efforts into the future. The FMTP identifies truck bottlenecks and other freight investment needs and defines the process for setting priorities among these needs to receive funding from the National Highway

Freight Program (NHFP). Project evaluation criteria tie back to the FMTP objectives to ensure high-priority projects support the statewide freight vision. In May 2020, FHWA approved the FMTP as FDOT's State Freight Plan. An update to the FMTP will be adopted in 2025.

### **System Performance and Freight Targets for Martin MPO**

MPOs must establish four-year targets for all three performance measures. They can either agree to program projects that will support the statewide targets or establish their own quantifiable targets for one or more measures for their planning area.

On April 17, 2023 and April 21, 2025, the Martin MPO agreed to support FDOT's statewide system performance and freight targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the statewide targets.

### **System Performance and Freight Investments in TIP**

The Martin MPO TIP reflects investment priorities established in the 2045 LRTP. The focus of Martin MPO's investments that address system performance and freight include the some of the following example projects detailed in this TIP:

- Willoughby Blvd from Monterey Road to US 1 – New two-lane road
- Cove Road from Kanner Highway to US-1 – Widening
- Monterey Road at FEC Railroad Crossing - Grade Separation
- I-95 Martin Weigh Station – Inspection Barn Upgrades
- Martin Mainline Weigh in Motion (WIM) Screening
- CR 713/High Meadow Ave from I-95 to Martin Hwy – Widening
- Turnpike from SW Martin Hwy to St. Lucie County Line - Widening
- SR 710/Warfield Boulevard Widening projects

The TIP devotes a significant number of resources to programs and projects that will improve system performance and freight reliability on the Interstate and non-Interstate NHS. The Martin MPO TIP reflects priorities in the Martin MPO 2045

LRTP that looked to address system reliability and congestion mitigation through various means, including capacity expansion and operational improvements. The Infrastructure Maintenance and Congestion Management Goal includes several objectives, such as managing traffic congestion, supporting improvements to major freight corridors, implementing strategies to reduce per capita vehicle miles of travel, and prioritizing funding to support smaller-scale congestion management projects and programs. Further, several performance measures including PM3 are identified to evaluate and prioritize projects. As part of the 2045 LRTP, several strategies were included in the CMP Update. The Martin MPO's investments in the TIP that address system performance and freight on the NHS include over \$108.5 million in intersection/congestion management and freight projects.

The projects included in the TIP are consistent with FDOT's Five-Year Work Program. Therefore, they reflect FDOT's approach of prioritizing funding to address performance goals and targets. Per federal planning requirements, the state selects projects on the NHS in cooperation with the MPO from the approved TIP. Given the significant resources devoted in the TIP to programs that address system performance and freight, the MPO anticipates that once implemented, the TIP will contribute to progress towards achieving the statewide reliability performance targets.

### **Transit Asset Management Measures**

FTA's Transit Asset Management (TAM) regulations apply to all recipients and subrecipients of Federal transit funding that own, operate, or manage public transportation capital assets. The regulations define the term "state of good repair" requires that public transportation providers develop and implement TAM plans and establish state of good repair standards and performance measures for four asset categories: equipment, rolling stock, transit infrastructure, and facilities. Table 8 identifies the TAM performance measures.

**Table 8 – FTA TAM Performance Measures**

Asset Category	Performance Measure
1. Equipment	Percentage of non-revenue, support-service, and maintenance vehicles that have met or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark
2. Rolling Stock	Percentage of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have either met or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark
3. Infrastructure	Percentage of track segments with performance restrictions
4. Facilities	Percentage of facilities within an asset class rated below condition 3 on the TERM scale

For equipment and rolling stock classes, the useful life benchmark (ULB) is defined as the expected lifecycle of a capital asset or the acceptable period of use in service for a particular transit provider's operating environment. ULB considers a provider's unique operating environment, such as geography, service frequency, etc.

Public transportation providers must establish and report TAM targets annually for the following fiscal year. Each public transportation provider or its sponsors must share its targets with each MPO in which the public transportation provider's projects and services are programmed in the MPO's TIP. MPOs are not required to establish TAM targets annually each time the transit provider establishes targets. Instead, MPO targets must be established when the MPO updates the LRTP (although it is recommended that MPOs reflect the most current transit provider targets in the TIP if they have not yet taken action to update MPO targets). When establishing TAM targets, the MPO can either agree to program projects to support the transit provider targets or establish its own regional TAM targets for the MPO planning area. MPO targets may differ

from agency targets, especially if multiple transit agencies are in the MPO planning area. To the maximum extent practicable, public transit providers, states, and MPOs must coordinate to select performance targets.

The TAM regulation defines two tiers of public transportation providers based on size parameters. Tier I providers are those that operate rail service, or more than 100 vehicles in all fixed route modes, or more than 100 vehicles in one non-fixed route mode. Tier II providers are those that are a subrecipient of FTA 5311 funds, or an American Indian Tribe, or have 100 or less vehicles across all fixed route modes or have 100 or less vehicles in one non-fixed route mode. A Tier I provider must establish its own TAM targets, as well as report performance and other data to FTA. A Tier II provider can establish its own targets or participate in a Group Plan with other Tier II providers whereby targets are established for the entire group in coordination with a group plan sponsor, typically a state DOT.

Tier I	Tier II
<p>Operates rail service</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p><math>\geq 101</math> vehicles across all fixed route modes</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p><math>\geq 101</math> vehicles in one non-fixed route mode</p>	<p>Subrecipient of FTA 5311 funds</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p>American Indian Tribe</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p><math>\leq 100</math> vehicles across all fixed route modes</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p><math>\leq 100</math> vehicles in one non-fixed route mode</p>

## Transit Asset Management Targets

Martin County Public Transit (MCPT) is the sole Tier II provider of public transit in the Martin MPO planning area. MCPT reviewed and approved TAM targets for each of the applicable asset categories on February 1, 2023. Table 9 on the following page presents these targets.

**Table 9 – MCPT Asset Management Targets**

Asset Category	Performance Measure	Asset Class		Performance Target				
				2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
Revenue Vehicles	Age - percent of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded their Useful Life	BU	Bus	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%
		CU	Cutaway	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Equipment	Age - percent of vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded their Useful Life	Non-Revenue/Service Automobile 2017		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles 2018		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Facilities	Condition - percent of facilities with a condition rating below 3.0 on the FTA Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM) Scale	Maintenance facility (leased)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The transit provider's TAM targets are based on the condition of existing transit assets and planned investments in equipment, rolling stock, infrastructure, and facilities. The targets reflect the most recent data available on the number, age, and condition of transit assets, and capital investment plans for improving these assets. The table summarizes both existing conditions for the most recent year available, and the current targets.

## **MPO Transit Asset Management Targets**

As discussed above, MPOs are not required to establish TAM targets annually each time the transit provider establishes targets. Instead, MPOs must revisit targets each time the MPO updates the LRTP. MPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the transit provider targets or establish separate regional TAM targets for the MPO planning area. MPO targets may differ from agency targets, especially if multiple transit agencies are in the MPO planning area.

On May 6, 2024, the Martin MPO agreed to support the Martin County Public Transit's TAM targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that, once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the provider's targets.

## **Transit Asset Management Investments in the TIP**

The Martin MPO TIP was developed and is managed in cooperation with MCPT. It reflects the investment priorities established in the 2045 LRTP.

FTA funding, as programmed by the region's transit providers and FDOT, is used for programs and products to improve the condition of the region's transit assets. The focus of Martin MPO's investments that address transit state of good repair include:

- Section 5307 Formula Funds
- Section 5339 Capital for Bus & Bus Facilities

Transit asset condition and state of good repair is a consideration in the methodology Martin MPO uses to select projects for inclusion in the TIP. The TIP includes specific investment priorities that support all the MPO's goals, including supporting improvements to transit, using a prioritization and project selection process established in the LRTP. This process evaluates projects that, once implemented, are anticipated to improve transit state of good repair in the MPO's planning area. This prioritization process considers factors such as transit supply, demand and cost; system reliability; system performance; maintenance of resources; maintain fleet revenue vehicles; and maintenance of other equipment.

The TIP devotes resources to projects that will maintain and improve transit state of good repair. Investments in transit assets in the TIP include over \$6 million for capital purchases.

The Martin MPO TIP has been evaluated and the anticipated effect of the overall program is that, once implemented, progress will be made towards achieving the TAM performance targets. The Martin MPO will continue to coordinate with Martin County Public Transit to maintain the region's transit assets in a state of good repair. For more information on these programs and projects, see Section B of the appendix.

## Transit Safety Performance

FTA's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) regulations established transit safety performance management requirements for providers of public transportation systems that receive federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.

The regulations apply to all operators of public transportation that are recipients or sub-recipients of FTA Urbanized Area Formula Grant Program funds under 49 U.S.C. Section 5307, or that operate a rail transit system that is subject to FTA's State Safety Oversight Program. The PTASP regulations do not apply to certain modes of transit service that are subject to the safety jurisdiction of another Federal agency, including passenger ferry operations regulated by the United States Coast Guard, and commuter rail operations that are regulated by the Federal Railroad Administration.

The PTASP must include performance targets for the performance measures established by FTA in the [National Public Transportation Safety Plan](#), which was published on January 28, 2017, and updated on April 9, 2024. The transit safety performance measures are:

- Total number of reportable fatalities and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- Total number of reportable injuries and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- Total number of reportable safety events and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- System reliability – mean distance between major mechanical failures by mode.

Each Section 5307 or 5311 public transportation provider in Florida must develop a System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) under Chapter 14-90, Florida Administrative Code. FDOT technical guidance recommends that Florida's transit agencies revise their existing SSPPs to be compliant with the FTA PTASP requirements.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> FDOT Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan Guidance Document for Transit Agencies. Available at [ptasp-14-90-guidance-document-09112019.docx \(live.com\)](http://ptasp-14-90-guidance-document-09112019.docx (live.com))

Each public transportation provider that is subject to the PTASP regulations must certify that its SSPP meets the requirements for a PTASP, including annual transit safety targets for the federally required measures. Once the public transportation provider establishes safety targets, it must make them available to MPOs to aid in planning. MPOs are not required to establish transit safety targets annually each time the transit provider establishes targets. Instead, MPO's establish targets when the MPO updates the LRTP (although it is recommended that MPOs reflect the current transit provider targets in their TIPs).

When establishing transit safety targets, the MPO can either agree to program projects to support the transit provider targets or establish its own regional transit safety targets for the MPO planning area. In addition, the Martin MPO must reflect those targets in LRTP and TIP updates.

### **Transit Agency Safety Targets**

MCPT established the transit safety targets identified in Table 10 below on October 24, 2024:

**Table 10 – MCPT Safety Performance Targets**

Mode of Transit	Fatalities (Total)	Fatalities (Rate per Total VRM)	Injuries (Total)	Injuries (Rate per Total VRM)	Safety Events (Total)	Safety Events (Rate per Total VRM)	System Reliability (VRM/failures)
Fixed Route Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	41,220
Commuter Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,340
ADA Paratransit	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,506

### **MPO Transit Safety Targets**

As discussed above, MPOs are not required to establish transit safety targets annually each time the transit provider establishes targets. Instead, MPO's must revisit targets each time the MPO updates the LRTP. MPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the transit provider targets or establish separate regional transit safety targets for the

MPO planning area. MPO targets may differ from agency targets, especially if there are multiple transit agencies in the MPO planning area.

On September 21, 2020, the Martin MPO agreed to support MCPT's transit safety targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that once implemented, are anticipated to make progress toward achieving the provider's targets.

### **Transit Safety Improvements in the TIP**

The Martin MPO TIP was developed and is managed in cooperation with MCPT. It reflects the investment priorities established in the 2045 LRTP. Factors such as travel time reliability, level of service, delay, funding, quality of life, safety (number of fatalities and injury crashes), environment, environmental justice, accessibility to jobs, strategic projects, and community support are considered when creating the LRTP. Transit projects were prioritized consistent with Martin County's Transit Development Plan (TDP), 2020-2029 adopted in August 2019.

FTA funding, as programmed by the region's transit providers and FDOT, is used for programs and products to improve the safety of the region's transit systems. The MCPT PTASP is included in the appendix. Transit safety is a consideration in the methodology Martin MPO uses to select projects for inclusion in the TIP. The TIP includes specific investment priorities that support all of the MPO's goals, including transit safety, using a prioritization and project selection process established in the LRTP. This prioritization process considers safety as a factor in this prioritization process.

The TIP is also consistent with the Goals and Objectives in the 2045 LRTP. An objective under Goal #2 in the LRTP is to *reduce transit vehicle crashes and facility accidents*. This process evaluates projects that, once implemented, are anticipated to improve transit safety in the MPO's planning area. The Martin MPO TIP has been evaluated and the anticipated effect of the overall program is that, once implemented, progress will be made towards achieving the transit safety performance targets. The Martin MPO will continue to coordinate with MCPT to maintain and improve the safety of the region's transit system and maintain transit assets in a state of good repair. To read the PTASP, please see Appendix B.

### **2.8 CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL AND LOCAL PLANS**

The 2045 LRTP was adopted by the MPO on October 19, 2020, after a duly advertised public hearing. The transportation goals found within Martin County's Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and the City of Stuart's Comprehensive Plan are consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies in the 2045 LRTP as well as the Florida Transportation Plan.

To the maximum extent feasible, the TIP is consistent with the 2045 Treasure Coast Regional Long Range Transportation Plan (RLRTP), Witham Field Airport Master Plan, the Martin County Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan, the Transit Development Plan, and the approved Comprehensive Plans of Martin County, the City of Stuart, the Town of Sewall's Point, the Town of Jupiter Island, the Village of Indiantown, and the Town of Ocean Breeze.

## 2.9 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS

Maintenance of a Congestion Management Process (CMP) or System is a requirement for all MPOs under Florida law and for MPOs in Transportation Management Areas under Federal law. A CMP is a tool that provides information needed to evaluate and improve traffic flows. The CMP is intended to help relieve congestion and enhance mobility by establishing methods to monitor and evaluate performance, identify alternative actions, assess and implement cost-effective actions, and evaluate the effectiveness of implemented actions.

Candidate road sections are selected in a three-phase selection. The first phase identifies road segments that have a potential for congestion. The second phase is the development of a preliminary list of congested segments. The third phase is to determine and verify potential congested segments. The map for these candidate road sections can be found in Figure 5-3 and page 46 of the 2045 LRTP. Based on the analysis, there were a total of 14 corridors that were determined to have potential segments for congestion:

- SE Bridge Road
- NW Jensen Beach Boulevard
- SW Kanner Highway/SR-76
- SW Martin Highway/CR-714
- SE Monterey Road
- US-1/Federal Highway
- SW Murphy Road
- SE Dixie Highway
- SW Ocean Boulevard
- SW Joan Jefferson Way
- Indian River Drive
- CR 723/NE Causeway Drive
- SR-A1A

## 3.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

### 3.1 CONSISTENCY WITH PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PLAN

The TIP was developed in accordance with the adopted MPO Public Participation Plan (PPP). Once the Draft TIP is completed, a notice will be advertised announcing that it is available for a 45-day public review period. During the public review process, the Draft TIP will be made available on the MPO website. It will also be presented at public meetings in conjunction with the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), the Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC), and the MPO Board. After the 45-day review period, the Draft TIP will be brought before the MPO Board for a public hearing and final approval. This process fulfills the public involvement requirements for the Martin County Public Transit (MCPT) Program of Projects (POP) under Section 5307.

As described above, TIP Amendments and Administrative Modifications will also be advertised and made available for public review and comment before being brought before the MPO Board for final review and approval. Comments received during the review period will be summarized by category and addressed through documented modifications to the TIP.

### 3.2 TIMELINE OF EFFORTS

See Table 11 for the Martin MPO timeline of Public Involvement efforts for this TIP.

**Table 11**  
**Timeline of Public Involvement Efforts**

TASK	DATE
Distribute Draft TIP in the TAC Agenda Packet	28-Apr-25
Distribute Draft TIP in the Joint BPAC and CAC Agenda Packet	30-Apr-25
Publish Notice of Public Hearing for MPO	1-May-25
Begin 45 Days Public Review Period	2-May-25
Post Draft TIP on the MPO Website	2-May-25
Draft TIP on Martin County Government/Library System website	2-May-25
Review Draft TIP @ Technical Advisory Committee Meeting	5-May-25
Distribute Draft TIP in the MPO Policy Board Agenda Packet	5-May-25
Review Draft TIP @ Joint BPAC and CAC Meeting	7-May-25
Send Draft TIP to Federal and State agencies for preliminary review	12-May-25
Review Draft TIP @ MPO Board Meeting	12-May-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the TAC Agenda Packet	26-May-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the CAC Agenda Packet	28-May-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the FTAC Agenda Packet	29-May-25
Review Final Draft TIP @ TAC Meeting	2-Jun-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the BPAC Agenda Packet	2-Jun-25
Review Final Draft TIP @ CAC Meeting	4-Jun-25
Review Final Draft TIP @ FTAC Meeting	5-Jun-25
Review Final Draft TIP @ BPAC Meeting	9-Jun-25
Distribute Final Draft TIP in the MPO Board Agenda Packet	9-Jun-25
Review and Approve Final TIP @ MPO Board Meeting / Public Hearing	16-Jun-25

### 3.3 PUBLIC COMMENTS & RESPONSE

Martin County does not have any Tribes or Federal Lands. Thus, the federal requirement to coordinate with these entities does not apply to Martin MPO. Public comments related to the TIP can be submitted in various ways:

**Online through the MPO website - [www.martinmpo.com](http://www.martinmpo.com)**

**Email - [martinmpo@martin.fl.us](mailto:martinmpo@martin.fl.us)**

**Phone - (772) 223-7983**

**Mail/Hand Delivery - 3481 SE Willoughby Boulevard, Suite 101, Stuart, FL 34994**

**TIP Public Hearing -** June 16, 2025, at 9:00 AM in the Martin County Administrative Center, 2401 SE Monterey Road, Stuart, FL 34996

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
Federal	22,442,036	10,715,610	14,322,866	14,246,496	19,759,381	81,486,389
Local	2,236,996	3,298,441	1,555,264	1,255,474	1,281,590	9,627,765
R/W and Bridge Bonds	0	0	14,842,796	0	0	14,842,796
State 100%	45,676,592	43,952,528	44,098,562	39,236,840	76,216,866	249,181,388
Toll/Turnpike	2,487,200	15,994,766	0	100,000	0	18,581,966
<b>Total</b>	<b>72,842,824</b>	<b>73,961,345</b>	<b>74,819,488</b>	<b>54,838,810</b>	<b>97,257,837</b>	<b>373,720,304</b>

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>ACFP - AC FREIGHT PROG (NFP)</b>							
4226815	SR-9/I-95 FROM HIGH MEADOW AVE TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>500,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>500,000</b>
<b>ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP</b>							
4226815	SR-9/I-95 FROM HIGH MEADOW AVE TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	2,100,000	0	0	0	0	2,100,000
4475551	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HWY	0	0	0	717,000	6,005,183	6,722,183
4491601	SR-9/ I-95 FROM S OF KANNER HWY TO MARTIN/ ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE	65,000	0	0	0	0	65,000
4533331	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW ALLAPATTAH RD	817,339	1,249,934	85,000	2,110,000	589,864	4,852,137
4533332	SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD	4,175,000	1,266,000	205,000	6,173,186	40,000	11,859,186
<b>Total</b>		<b>7,157,339</b>	<b>2,515,934</b>	<b>290,000</b>	<b>9,000,186</b>	<b>6,635,047</b>	<b>25,598,506</b>
<b>ACNR - AC NAT HWY PERFORM RESURFACING</b>							
4476501	A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	583,339	0	0	0	0	583,339
<b>Total</b>		<b>583,339</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>583,339</b>
<b>ACPR - AC - PROTECT GRANT PGM</b>							
4416991	CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	415,600	0	0	0	0	415,600
4417001	COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	25,760	0	0	0	0	25,760
4476501	A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	2,138,451	0	0	0	0	2,138,451
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,579,811</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,579,811</b>
<b>ACSA - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SA)</b>							
4475552	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR W OF SE 126 BLVD TO OKEECHOBEE/MARTIN CO LINE	7,745	0	0	0	0	7,745

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>ACSA -</b>							
<b>Total</b>		<b>7,745</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,745</b>
<b>ACSS - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SS,HSP)</b>							
4475551	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HWY	113,160	168,450	0	0	0	281,610
4475552	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR W OF SE 126 BLVD TO OKEECHOBEE/MARTIN CO LINE	66,240	518,298	0	0	0	584,538
4571801	SR-9/I-95 MARTIN COUNTY - GUARDRAIL	1,197,072	0	0	0	0	1,197,072
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,376,472</b>	<b>686,748</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,063,220</b>
<b>ACSU - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SU)</b>							
4416991	CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	1,511,356	0	0	0	0	1,511,356
4417001	COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	564,352	0	0	0	0	564,352
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,075,708</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,075,708</b>
<b>ARTW - ARTERIAL WIDENING PROGRAM</b>							
4533332	SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD	0	0	0	20,000,000	0	20,000,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20,000,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20,000,000</b>
<b>BNIR - INTRASTATE R/W &amp; BRIDGE BONDS</b>							
4533331	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW ALLAPATTAH RD	0	0	5,971,501	0	0	5,971,501
4533332	SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD	0	0	8,871,295	0	0	8,871,295
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14,842,796</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14,842,796</b>
<b>BRRP - STATE BRIDGE REPAIR &amp; REHAB</b>							
4505872	SR-707/DIXIE HWY. BRIDGE # 890003	0	12,215,962	0	0	0	12,215,962
4529221	US-1/SR-5 ROOSEVELT BRIDGE OVER ST LUCIE RIVER BRIDGES 890151 & 890152	0	350,000	0	0	5,084,700	5,434,700
4533211	SR-A1A/NE OCEAN BLVD. "ERNEST F. LYONS" BRIDGE OVER ICWW	659,073	0	0	2,686,151	0	3,345,224

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>BRRP -</b>							
<b>Total</b>		<b>659,073</b>	<b>12,565,962</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,686,151</b>	<b>5,084,700</b>	<b>20,995,886</b>
<b>CM - CONGESTION MITIGATION - AQ</b>							
4416991	CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	0	0	0	124,160	0	124,160
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	1,156,902	0	0	1,156,902
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,156,902</b>	<b>124,160</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,281,062</b>
<b>D - UNRESTRICTED STATE PRIMARY</b>							
2337031	MARTIN CO STATE HWY SYS ROADWAY	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	0	1,200,000
2337032	MARTIN CO STATE HWY SYS BRIDGES	35,000	35,000	35,000	0	0	105,000
2342651	MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-ROADWAY	10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0	30,000
2342652	MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-BRIDGES	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	0	48,000
4505591	MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE	3,258,390	2,758,390	2,758,390	2,667,905	2,667,905	14,110,980
4505592	MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	2,500,000	3,000,000	5,500,000
4515801	MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM	0	0	766,779	694,556	980,886	2,442,221
<b>Total</b>		<b>3,615,390</b>	<b>3,115,390</b>	<b>3,882,169</b>	<b>6,174,461</b>	<b>6,648,791</b>	<b>23,436,201</b>
<b>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</b>							
4071894	MARTIN COUNTY BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	404,165	417,575	430,102	430,102	0	1,681,944
4278035	MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM	0	256,694	0	0	0	256,694
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	263,000	558,793	1,155,325	0	0	1,977,118
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	0	0	2,287,098	0	6,798,943	9,086,041
4435051	SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE	0	0	0	77,798	0	77,798
4444161	SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD	0	287,568	0	0	0	287,568
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	1,241,354	168,000	2,988,355	0	0	4,397,709

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</b>							
4484461	SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD	772,213	0	0	0	0	772,213
4484471	SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	662,439	0	0	0	0	662,439
4498291	SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1	0	0	6,295,984	0	0	6,295,984
4533334	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR SW ALLAPATTAH RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	0	0	0	0	13,886,429	13,886,429
4571801	SR-9/I-95 MARTIN COUNTY - GUARDRAIL	346,000	0	0	0	0	346,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>3,689,171</b>	<b>1,688,630</b>	<b>13,156,864</b>	<b>507,900</b>	<b>20,685,372</b>	<b>39,727,937</b>
<b>DI - ST. - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY</b>							
4533332	SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD	0	6,746,519	0	0	0	6,746,519
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>6,746,519</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,746,519</b>
<b>DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</b>							
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	18,000	0	72,112	0	0	90,112
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	90,000	120,000	252,000	0	430,005	892,005
4435051	SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE	113,260	0	0	0	0	113,260
4444161	SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD	0	33,019	0	0	0	33,019
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	12,000	24,000	96,448	0	0	132,448
4475552	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR W OF SE 126 BLVD TO OKEECHOBEE/MARTIN CO LINE	5,000	18,000	0	0	0	23,000
4476501	A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	93,084	0	0	0	0	93,084
4484461	SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD	82,116	0	0	0	0	82,116
4484471	SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	49,061	0	0	0	0	49,061
4498291	SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1	0	0	124,361	0	0	124,361
4505872	SR-707/DIXIE HWY. BRIDGE # 890003	0	103,848	0	0	0	103,848

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</b>							
4529221	US-1/SR-5 ROOSEVELT BRIDGE OVER ST LUCIE RIVER BRIDGES 890151 & 890152	0	5,000	0	0	5,845	10,845
4533211	SR-A1A/NE OCEAN BLVD. "ERNEST F. LYONS" BRIDGE OVER ICWW	5,000	0	0	5,660	0	10,660
4533334	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR SW ALLAPATTAH RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	0	0	0	0	72,451	72,451
4559671	SR5/US1 FROM SE OSPREY ST TO S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
4571801	SR-9/I-95 MARTIN COUNTY - GUARDRAIL	74,169	0	0	0	0	74,169
<b>Total</b>		<b>546,690</b>	<b>303,867</b>	<b>544,921</b>	<b>5,660</b>	<b>508,301</b>	<b>1,909,439</b>
<b>DITS - STATEWIDE ITS - STATE 100%.</b>							
4278035	MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM	569,040	346,489	0	0	0	915,529
<b>Total</b>		<b>569,040</b>	<b>346,489</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>915,529</b>
<b>DPTO - STATE - PTO</b>							
4071894	MARTIN COUNTY BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	0	0	0	0	447,306	447,306
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	0	0	13,054,045	0	0	13,054,045
4459781	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT PDC AND MIRL REPLACEMENT 7-25	0	3,680,000	0	0	0	3,680,000
4481171	WITHAM FIELD MILL & RESURFACE, MITL REPLACEMENT TAXIWAY C & C1	0	0	0	1,368,000	0	1,368,000
4496401	WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (DESIGN & CONSTRUCT)	14,500	0	0	0	0	14,500
4533841	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT - AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPGRADE	0	0	96,000	0	0	96,000
4548201	WITHAM FIELD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT	92,800	0	0	0	0	92,800
4549001	WITHAM FIELD SOUTH AIRPORT FACILITIES (DESIGN)	0	0	531,200	0	0	531,200
<b>Total</b>		<b>107,300</b>	<b>3,680,000</b>	<b>13,681,245</b>	<b>1,368,000</b>	<b>447,306</b>	<b>19,283,851</b>
<b>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</b>							

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</b>							
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	223,159	0	0	223,159
4476501	A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY	3,730,003	0	0	0	0	3,730,003
4484461	SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD	4,440,274	0	0	0	0	4,440,274
4484471	SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	17,270,823	0	0	0	0	17,270,823
4498291	SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1	0	0	4,904,354	0	0	4,904,354
<b>Total</b>		<b>25,941,100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,127,513</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>31,068,613</b>
<b>DU - STATE PRIMARY/FEDERAL REIMB</b>							
4259774	MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5311, OPERATING RURAL FUNDS	171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
<b>Total</b>		<b>171,915</b>	<b>180,027</b>	<b>188,168</b>	<b>188,168</b>	<b>195,695</b>	<b>923,973</b>
<b>DWS - WEIGH STATIONS - STATE 100%</b>							
4419951	MARTIN MAINLINE WEIGH IN MOTION (WIM) SCREENING	0	0	4,455,850	0	0	4,455,850
4478681	I-95 MARTIN WEIGH STATION - INSPECTION BARN UPGRADES	0	549,613	0	0	0	549,613
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>549,613</b>	<b>4,455,850</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,005,463</b>
<b>FAA - FEDERAL AVIATION ADMIN</b>							
4496401	WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (DESIGN & CONSTRUCT)	261,000	0	0	0	0	261,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>261,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>261,000</b>
<b>FC5 - OPEN GRADE FRICTION COURSE FC5</b>							
4559671	SR5/US1 FROM SE OSPREY ST TO S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD	495,041	0	0	0	0	495,041
<b>Total</b>		<b>495,041</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>495,041</b>

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>FTA - FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION</b>							
4134931	PSL UZA - MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5307 FORMULA FUNDS	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	5,800,000
4134932	SECTION 5307 FORMULA MARTIN CO PORT ST LUCIE UZA LARGE URBAN OPERATING	1,220,000	0	0	0	0	1,220,000
4346611	PSL UZA - MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5339 CAPITAL FOR BUS & BUS FACILITIES	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	650,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,510,000</b>	<b>1,290,000</b>	<b>1,290,000</b>	<b>1,290,000</b>	<b>1,290,000</b>	<b>7,670,000</b>
<b>GRSC - GROWTH MANAGEMENT FOR SCOP</b>							
4480891	CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION	285,938	0	0	0	0	285,938
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	50,000	0	0	0	50,000
4496941	GREENRIVER PARKWAY FR NE JENSEN BCH BLVD TO MARTIN COUNTY LINE	0	0	0	0	1,147,162	1,147,162
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	1,832,051	0	0	0	1,832,051
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	137,805	0	0	137,805
<b>Total</b>		<b>285,938</b>	<b>1,882,051</b>	<b>137,805</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,147,162</b>	<b>3,452,956</b>
<b>LF - LOCAL FUNDS</b>							
4071894	MARTIN COUNTY BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	405,165	417,575	430,102	430,102	447,306	2,130,250
4196693	WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY	0	0	0	0	256,201	256,201
4259774	MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5311, OPERATING RURAL FUNDS	171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
4459781	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT PDC AND MIRL REPLACEMENT 7-25	0	920,000	0	0	0	920,000
4480891	CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION	251,411	0	0	0	0	251,411
4481171	WITHAM FIELD MILL & RESURFACE, MITL REPLACEMENT TAXIWAY C & C1	0	0	0	342,000	0	342,000
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	371,440	0	0	0	371,440

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>LF - LOCAL FUNDS</b>							
4496401	WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (DESIGN & CONSTRUCT)	14,500	0	0	0	0	14,500
4496941	GREENRIVER PARKWAY FR NE JENSEN BCH BLVD TO MARTIN COUNTY LINE	0	0	0	0	382,388	382,388
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	1,101,212	0	0	0	1,101,212
4508231	SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE	150,805	0	0	0	0	150,805
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	719,194	0	0	719,194
4529971	SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	0	308,187	0	0	0	308,187
4533841	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT - AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPGRADE	0	0	24,000	0	0	24,000
4539191	SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	0	295,204	0	295,204
4548201	WITHAM FIELD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT	23,200	0	0	0	0	23,200
4548761	BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL	0	0	61,000	0	0	61,000
4549001	WITHAM FIELD SOUTH AIRPORT FACILITIES (DESIGN)	0	0	132,800	0	0	132,800
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,016,996</b>	<b>3,298,441</b>	<b>1,555,264</b>	<b>1,255,474</b>	<b>1,281,590</b>	<b>8,407,765</b>
<b>PKYI - TURNPIKE IMPROVEMENT</b>							
4461651	SR91 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS AT SR714 (MP 133.7 - 134.8)	1,891,800	500,931	0	100,000	0	2,492,731
4463331	WIDEN TPK(SR91), SW MARTIN HWY TO ST.LUCIE C/L (MP134.8-138.08) (4TO8)	595,400	0	0	0	0	595,400
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,487,200</b>	<b>500,931</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,088,131</b>
<b>PKYR - TURNPIKE RENEWAL &amp; REPLACEMENT</b>							
4466181	THOMAS B MANUEL BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (SB ONLY) (MP 131.2)	0	13,369,835	0	0	0	13,369,835
4485241	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT - 890083 (SR 91) (MP 138) MARTIN COUNTY	0	2,124,000	0	0	0	2,124,000

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>PKYR -</b>							
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>15,493,835</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15,493,835</b>
<b>PL - METRO PLAN (85% FA; 15% OTHER)</b>							
4393285	MARTIN COUNTY FY 2024/2025-2025/2026 UPWP	571,463	0	0	0	0	571,463
4393286	MARTIN COUNTY FY 2026/2027-2027/2028 UPWP	0	571,463	571,463	0	0	1,142,926
4393287	MARTIN COUNTY UPWP FY 2028/2029-2029/2030	0	0	0	571,463	571,463	1,142,926
<b>Total</b>		<b>571,463</b>	<b>571,463</b>	<b>571,463</b>	<b>571,463</b>	<b>571,463</b>	<b>2,857,315</b>
<b>SA - STP, ANY AREA</b>							
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	0	0	452,710	0	0	452,710
4417001	COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	0	684,000	6,573,000	0	0	7,257,000
4444151	SR-5/US-1 AT BAKER RD	0	828,081	0	0	0	828,081
4444171	SR-5/US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD	0	36,960	0	0	0	36,960
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	0	477,740	0	0	0	477,740
4475551	SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HWY	18,000	18,000	0	0	0	36,000
4484471	SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET	1,599,111	50,585	0	0	0	1,649,696
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,617,111</b>	<b>2,095,366</b>	<b>7,025,710</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10,738,187</b>
<b>SCED - 2012 SB1998-SMALL CO OUTREACH</b>							
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	487,805	0	0	0	487,805
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	25,016	0	0	0	25,016
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	487,805	457,058	0	944,863
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>512,821</b>	<b>487,805</b>	<b>457,058</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,457,684</b>
<b>SCOP - SMALL COUNTY OUTREACH PROGRAM</b>							

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category


Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>SCOP - SMALL COUNTY OUTREACH PROGRAM</b>							
4480891	CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION	468,293	0	0	0	0	468,293
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	5,053	0	0	0	5,053
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	462,896	0	0	0	462,896
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	454,146	0	0	454,146
4539191	SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	0	442,805	0	442,805
<b>Total</b>		<b>468,293</b>	<b>467,949</b>	<b>454,146</b>	<b>442,805</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,833,193</b>
<b>SCWR - 2015 SB2514A- SMALL CO OUTREACH</b>							
4495071	CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION	0	568,293	0	0	0	568,293
4507851	CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST	0	93,245	0	0	0	93,245
4522571	SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5	0	0	570,244	0	0	570,244
4539191	SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY	0	0	0	442,806	0	442,806
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>661,538</b>	<b>570,244</b>	<b>442,806</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,674,588</b>
<b>SIWR - 2015 SB2514A-STRATEGIC INT SYS</b>							
4416363	SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING	0	9,931,699	0	0	41,695,234	51,626,933
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>9,931,699</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41,695,234</b>	<b>51,626,933</b>
<b>SL - STP, AREAS &lt;= 200K</b>							
4444171	SR-5/US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD	0	1,258,497	0	0	0	1,258,497
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1,258,497</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,258,497</b>
<b>SM - STBG AREA POP. W/ 5K TO 49,999</b>							
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	0	0	523,537	0	0	523,537

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>SM -</b>							
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>523,537</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>523,537</b>
<b>SU - STP, URBAN AREAS &gt; 200K</b>							
4196693	WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY	380,000	0	0	0	2,191,424	2,571,424
4383452	SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY & SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD	0	0	1,260,644	0	0	1,260,644
4416991	CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY	404,689	0	0	1,394,888	1,545,773	3,345,350
4417001	COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1	901,639	0	0	0	0	901,639
4444151	SR-5/US-1 AT BAKER RD	0	833,905	0	0	0	833,905
4444161	SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD	0	821,450	0	0	0	821,450
4462571	SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY	758,586	0	904,380	1,404,381	0	3,067,347
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,444,914</b>	<b>1,655,355</b>	<b>2,165,024</b>	<b>2,799,269</b>	<b>3,737,197</b>	<b>12,801,759</b>
<b>TALM - TAP AREA POP. 5K TO 50,000</b>							
4529971	SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	0	78,426	0	0	0	78,426
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>78,426</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>78,426</b>
<b>TALT - TRANSPORTATION ALTS- ANY AREA</b>							
4508231	SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE	214,508	0	0	0	0	214,508
4529971	SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	0	206,657	0	0	0	206,657
4548761	BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL	5,000	0	772,300	0	0	777,300
<b>Total</b>		<b>219,508</b>	<b>206,657</b>	<b>772,300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,198,465</b>
<b>TALU - TRANSPORTATION ALTS- &gt;200K</b>							
4508231	SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE	365,711	0	0	0	0	365,711
4529971	SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY	0	177,137	0	0	0	177,137

## 5-Year Summary of Projects by Funding Category

Project #	Project Name	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
<b>TALU - TRANSPORTATION ALTS- &gt;200K</b>							
4548761	BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL	0	0	339,762	0	0	339,762
<b>Total</b>		<b>365,711</b>	<b>177,137</b>	<b>339,762</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>882,610</b>
<b>TLWR - 2015 SB2514A-TRAIL NETWORK</b>							
4435002	FROM SE OSPREY ST TO SE GOMEZ AVE (FROM US-1 TO SEABRANCH STATE PARK)	0	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000
4435051	SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE	0	0	0	7,151,999	0	7,151,999
4473981	SAILFISH CAPITAL TRAIL/MARTIN TRAIL	0	0	1,600,000	0	0	1,600,000
4484472	SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO OSPREY STREET	9,299,556	0	0	0	0	9,299,556
<b>Total</b>		<b>9,299,556</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>1,600,000</b>	<b>7,151,999</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,551,555</b>



# **Martin County FY25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)**


**FY 2025**  
**MARTIN COUNTY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (CIP)**  
**ROADS EXPENDITURE SUMMARY**

Project	Project #	C or N	Total	To Date	Unfunded	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030 - FY2034
<b>SIDEWALKS / PATHWAYS</b>											
Multimodal Pathways	1011	N	800,000	0	0	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	400,000
SE MacArthur Boulevard Crosswalk	101108	N	365,000	0	365,000	0	0	0	0	0	365,000
Riverside Park Neighborhood Improvements - City of Stuart	101111	N	2,369,011	0	0	2,369,011	0	0	0	0	0
SE Avalon Drive Sidewalk	101112	N	533,228	0	0	533,228	0	0	0	0	0
SE Washington Street Sidewalk	101113	N	715,219	0	0	65,000	650,219	0	0	0	0
<b>INTERSECTIONS</b>											
Intersection Improvements	1016	C	5,950,000	0	0	595,000	595,000	595,000	595,000	595,000	2,975,000
Traffic Signals and Streetlight Rehabilitations	101601	N	10,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	5,000,000
SE Salerno Road- SE Cable Drive Turn Lane	101603	N	480,000	0	0	480,000	0	0	0	0	0
SR-76 (S Kanner Hwy) Turn Lane at SW South River Drive	101605	C	995,300	151,804	0	0	814,443	29,053	0	0	0
US-1 (NW Federal Highway) Turn Lane at NW Baker Road	101608	C	2,067,964	235,755	0	80,000	264,397	1,487,812	0	0	0
US-1 (SW Federal Highway) Turn Lane at SR-76 (S Kanner Highway)	101609	C	8,588,892	0	0	0	861,680	1,820,000	4,502,831	1,404,381	0
SR-714 SE Monterey Road and CR-A1A Multimodal Pathway	101611	C	1,216,997	80,000	0	1,136,997	0	0	0	0	0
SE Bridge Road Turn Lane at SE Powerline Avenue	101612	C	860,000	30,000	700,000	130,000	0	0	0	0	700,000
SW 96th Street Pedestrian Lighting	101614	N	100,000	0	0	100,000	0	0	0	0	0
<b>ROADWAY / DRAINAGE / SEWER</b>											
Resurfacing and Drainage Maintenance	1017	N	47,577,314	0	0	537,483	422,483	379,967	882,483	767,483	44,587,415
Pavement Marking Maintenance	1017PM	N	1,000,000	0	0	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	500,000
Jensen Beach Neighborhood Restoration	101719	N	2,281,000	571,000	0	0	0	0	1,710,000	0	0
Old Palm City (South) Neighborhood Restoration	101738	N	5,141,500	2,541,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Port Salerno/New Monrovia Neighborhood Restoration	101739	N	3,812,756	1,835,500	0	0	0	0	1,977,256	0	0
Coral Gardens Neighborhood Restoration	101742	N	2,740,000	1,500,000	0	0	0	0	1,240,000	0	0
Rocky Point Neighborhood Restoration	101747	N	5,538,000	288,000	0	2,200,000	1,000,000	0	2,050,000	0	0
Tropic Vista Neighborhood Restoration	101760	N	1,910,000	0	0	0	110,000	1,800,000	0	0	0
SPS/Manatee Business Park Improvements	101762	N	1,930,000	130,000	0	450,000	1,350,000	0	0	0	0
Zeus Park Neighborhood Restoration	101765	N	3,050,000	0	0	0	0	0	250,000	2,800,000	0
Old Palm City (North) Neighborhood Restoration	101766	N	7,057,372	40,500	0	485,000	6,531,872	0	0	0	0
South County Neighborhood Restoration	101767	N	1,800,000	0	0	150,000	0	1,650,000	0	0	0
SE Shell Avenue Realignment	101773	N	980,000	0	825,000	155,000	0	0	0	0	825,000
Rio Neighborhood Restoration	101776	N	3,885,000	0	0	0	185,000	1,850,000	1,850,000	0	0
South Fork Neighborhood Restoration	101777	N	3,050,000	0	0	0	0	250,000	2,800,000	0	0
Dirt Road Paving (Urban Service District)	101778	N	3,500,000	0	0	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	1,750,000
SW Fox Brown Road Resurfacing	101784	N	2,805,914	0	0	2,805,914	0	0	0	0	0
NE Candice Avenue Extension	101785	N	1,705,000	0	1,705,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,705,000
Citrus Blvd Resurfacing (SR-714 to C-23 Canal)	101786	N	3,024,304	0	0	0	80,000	2,944,304	0	0	0
SW Kansas Avenue Resurfacing	101787	N	1,295,611	0	0	0	0	0	1,295,611	0	0
SE Countyline Road Resurfacing (US-1 to Wooden Bridge Lane)	101788	N	2,250,000	0	0	100,000	0	0	2,150,000	0	0
CR-609 Resurfacing (SR-710 to North of Minute Maid Road)	101789	N	100,000	0	0	0	0	0	100,000	0	0
Gomez West Neighborhood Restoration	101790	N	2,350,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	150,000	2,200,000
Browning - MHG Neighborhood Restoration	101791	N	1,550,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	150,000	1,400,000
SE Green River Parkway Resurfacing	101792	N	150,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	150,000	0
SE Commerce Avenue Resurfacing	101793	N	250,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	250,000	0
<b>ANNUAL COMMITMENTS</b>											

Annual Commitments	1019	N	4,500,000	0	0	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	2,500,000
<b>BRIDGES</b>											
Bridge Replacements/Renovations	1053	N	3,000,000	0	0	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	1,500,000
NW Pine Lake Drive Bridge Replacement	105307	N	2,600,000	0	0	0	250,000	0	0	2,350,000	0
SE Island Way West Bridge Replacement	105313	N	2,600,000	0	0	300,000	0	2,300,000	0	0	0
CR 708 Bridge Scour Protection	105314	N	1,554,231	0	0	100,000	0	1,454,231	0	0	0
Arundel Bridge (SW 96th St.) Scour Repair	105315	N	1,585,760	0	0	0	100,000	0	1,485,760	0	0
<b>TRAFFIC / CAPACITY</b>											
Traffic Safety Measures	1064	N	5,000,000	0	0	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	2,500,000
SR-710 (SW Warfield Boulevard) Widening	1066A	C	50,349,089	0	0	2,635,000	8,099,019	12,246,519	16,272,920	11,095,631	0
SR-714 (SW Martin Highway) Widening	1123A	C	23,816,729	20,388,739	0	3,427,990	0	0	0	0	0
SE Willoughby Boulevard Extension	1124	C	1,225,985	845,985	0	0	380,000	0	0	0	0
CR-713 (SW High Meadow Avenue) Widening	1125	C	2,696,043	0	0	0	1,176,995	0	0	1,519,048	0
SE Cove Road Widening	1126	C	4,937,050	0	0	3,345,299	1,591,751	0	0	0	0
<b>LANDSCAPE/BEAUTIFICATION</b>											
Enhanced Landscape Rehabilitation	TBD	N	2,500,000	0	2,500,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,500,000
<b>HEAVY EQUIPMENT</b>											
Heavy Equipment Replacement	4957	N	8,050,000	0	0	805,000	805,000	805,000	805,000	805,000	4,025,000
<b>Expenditure Totals</b>			<b>260,190,269</b>	<b>28,638,783</b>	<b>6,095,000</b>	<b>25,715,922</b>	<b>27,997,859</b>	<b>38,159,142</b>	<b>40,179,605</b>	<b>27,666,543</b>	<b>71,832,415</b>

#### ROADS REVENUE SUMMARY

Revenue	Total	To Date	Carryover	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030-FY2034
Road MSTU	31,760,342	1,186,000	1,949,512	2,862,483	2,862,483	2,862,483	2,862,483	2,362,483	14,812,415
Ad Valorem	2,012,000	2,012,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stormwater MSTU	1,000,000	0	0	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	500,000
Gas Tax	16,934,500	211,500	143,000	1,608,000	1,608,000	1,608,000	1,608,000	1,608,000	8,540,000
Private Contribution	259,744	0	0	259,744	0	0	0	0	0
Grant	14,167,740	0	3,242,500	3,732,631	580,219	4,076,779	2,535,611	0	0
FPL Franchise Fee	90,121,372	3,627,000	3,574,372	8,217,000	8,217,000	8,217,000	8,217,000	8,217,000	41,835,000
State Funds	95,814,049	21,622,283	0	10,625,286	13,188,285	15,583,384	20,775,751	14,019,060	0
Impact Fees	880,000	80,000	0	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	400,000
City Funds	845,522	0	0	845,522	0	0	0	0	0
Fire MSTU	300,000	0	0	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	150,000
<b>Revenue Total</b>	<b>254,095,269</b>	<b>28,738,783</b>	<b>8,909,384</b>	<b>28,360,666</b>	<b>26,665,987</b>	<b>32,557,646</b>	<b>36,208,845</b>	<b>26,416,543</b>	<b>66,237,415</b>



# **Town of Sewall's Point FY25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)**

**TOWN OF SEWALL'S POINT  
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2024 - 2028**



**PROJECT COSTS**

**CAPITAL PROJECTS**

South Sewall's Point Road Phase 1 Part 4  
South Sewall's Point Road Phase 2  
South Sewall's Point Road Phase 3  
South Sewall's Point Road Phase 4  
North Sewall's Point Road  
Stormwater/Vulnerability Master Plan  
South Sewall's Point Septic to Sewer  
Police Department Remodel  
Police Patrol Cars  
Computer Replacements  
Town Hall Resiliency Project


	<b>FY25</b>	<b>FY26</b>	<b>FY27</b>	<b>FY28</b>	<b>FY29</b>	<b>5-YR TOTAL</b>
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000
\$ 6,170,000	\$ 4,226,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,546,000
\$ 10,538,046	\$ 150,780	\$ 1,798,988	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,487,814
\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 6,900,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,400,000
\$ 387,000	\$ 26,948,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27,335,000
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
\$ 5,062,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,062,000
\$ 109,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 109,500
\$ 55,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 58,000	\$ 58,000	\$ 60,000	\$ -	\$ 286,000
\$ 20,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	\$ 5,000,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 22,341,546</b>	<b>\$ 31,389,780</b>	<b>\$ 12,016,988</b>	<b>\$ 9,458,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,560,000</b>	<b>\$ 77,766,314</b>

**CAPITAL MAINTENANCE**

Engineering  
Streetlights & Signs  
Streets & Bridges/Seawalls  
Storm Water System Maintenance  
Parks & Landscaping  
Streetscaping  
Tree Maintenance  
Town Hall

	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 375,000
	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 75,000
	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 500,000
	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 625,000
	\$ 25,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 165,000
	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 100,000
	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 120,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 380,000</b>	<b>\$ 395,000</b>	<b>\$ 395,000</b>	<b>\$ 395,000</b>	<b>\$ 395,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,960,000</b>

**COST GRAND TOTAL** **\$ 22,721,546** **\$ 31,784,780** **\$ 12,411,988** **\$ 9,853,000** **\$ 2,955,000** **\$ 79,726,314**




# **Village of Indiantown FY24 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)**



## Capital Improvements – 5 Year CIP

Project Name	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	FY 25-26	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	Total Projected Cost
Tyler Technologies ERP System	570,000					570,000
CDGB Seminole Avenue	400,000	300,000				700,000
CDBG-MIT Civic Center	225,000	225,000				450,000
Lincoln Street	500,000	300,000				800,000
Uptown Drainage Design	350,000	350,000				700,000
Uptown Drainage Construction		1,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000		7,000,000
12-Inch Fire Loop (ARPA / MC)	2,000,000	1,300,000				3,300,000
SRF Water Plant Construction	3,000,000	5,000,000	4,100,000			12,100,000
FDEP Sewer Improvements	10,000,000	14,000,000	14,000,000			38,000,000
Wastewater Plant Construction	5,000,000	5,000,000				10,000,000
New ROWTP	5,000,000	15,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000		60,000,000
Railroad Avenue Water Main	500,000	4,000,000	150,000			6,000,000
151st Street Water Main	500,000	2,500,000				3,000,000
Water/Sewer R&R	300,000	309,000	318,300	427,800	440,600	1,795,700
<b>Total</b>	<b>28,345,000</b>	<b>49,284,000</b>	<b>41,568,300</b>	<b>23,427,800</b>	<b>440,600</b>	<b>144,415,700</b>



# **Town of Jupiter Island FY25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)**

## Town of Jupiter Island

### Road Microsurfacing and Asphalt Overlay Rotation

Last Update	3/28/2025																						
Road	Group	Grading	Length	Width	Sq. Feet	Sq. Yards	Type	Last Paved	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024	2024-2025	2025-2026	2026-2027	2027-2028	
Gomez Road	15	90	11409	19	216,771	24,085	AO	2013														X	
Reed Place	1	95	429	9	3,816	429	AO	2015	X														
Estrada Road	2	100	705	13	14,940	1,660	FDR	2015	X														
Greenville West	1	98	394	13	5,122	569	AO	2016	X														
Greenville East	3	100	394	13	5,122	569	AO	2016		X													
Bunker Hill Road	8	85	530	13	6,890	765	AO	2017															
River Road (the ramble)	1	90	1614	9	14,526	1,614	MS	2018				X										X	
Grassy Trail	7	95	530	13	6,890	765	MS	2018				X										X	
Palmetto Trail	7	95	530	13	6,890	765	MS	2018				X										X	
Rabbit Run	7	95	530	13	9,903	741	MS	2018				X										X	
Black Bear Trail	7	95	530	13	6,916	768	MS	2018				X										X	
South Trail	7	85	530	8	4,240	471	AO	2018				X										X	
Palm Trail	11	95	303	13	3,939	438	MS	2018				X										X	
Angas Trail	11	95	300	13	3,900	433	MS	2018				X										X	
Allen Trail	11	95	347	13	4,511	501	MS	2018				X										X	
Links Road fka Delespine	9	95	576	15	37,592	960	AO	2018				X										X	
Links Road	9	90	2000	15	37,592	3,333	AO	2018				X										X	
Pitou Trail	12	90	384	17	6,528	725	MS	2018				X										X	
Public Safety Parking	5	95			17,163	1,907	AO	2018				X										X	
North Beach Road Drainag	5	100	8,884	18	159,912	17,768	FDR	2019					X										
North Beach Road	6	100	8,884	18	159,912	17,768	FDR	2020					X										
Town Hall Parking	6	50			43,515	4,835	AO	2024														X	
Devonshire Lane West	3	50	786	13	10,218	1,139	AO	2024														X	
Barrow Place West	3	60	496	13	5,148	572	MS	2024														X	
Barrow Place East	3	60	496	13	5,148	572	MS	2024														X	
Clear View Avenue	13	60	387	12	4,644	516	AO	2024														X	
Osceola Avenue	13	70	434	16	6,944	772	MS	2001														X	
Bright View Avenue	13	80	720	16	11,520	1,280	AO	2024														X	
Harmony Lane	13	84	771	12	9,252	1,028	MS	2024														X	
Bassett Creek Trail	14	70	1013	18	18,234	2,026	MS	2024														X	
Isle Ridge E/W Street	10	50	600	16	9,600	1,067	AO+Widening	1999														X	
Isle Ridge N/S Streets	10	50	1200	9	10,800	1,200	AO	1999														X	
Edge Repairs	-	-	varies	-	-	-	Edge	-	\$3,500		\$3,500		\$3,500		\$3,500		\$3,500		\$3,500		\$7,500		
Mobilization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2,500		\$2,500		\$2,500		\$2,500		\$2,500		\$2,500		\$2,500		
<b>Total</b>	-	-	40,246	-	632,571	65,867	-	-	<b>\$3,500</b>	<b>\$2,500</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>	<b>\$2,500</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>	<b>\$2,500</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>	<b>\$2,500</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>		

#### Notes:


**Micro-Surfacing:** is a polymer modified, asphalt emulsion based, dense graded, cold mixed, quick setting, asphalt resurfacing material. It is designed to be applied in a semi-liquid condition with a specialized mixing and paving machine. By design it chemically changes from a semi-liquid material to a solid surface.

**Asphalt Overlay:** An "overlay" is the paving of a second layer of asphalt over existing asphalt. An overlay can be done when the existing asphalt is in overall good condition, but will require limited repairs prior to application. This method is recommended over micro-surfacing when greater than 20% of the surface is worn.

**(FDR) Full Depth Reclamation:** This process rebuilds worn out asphalt pavements by recycling the existing roadway. The old asphalt and base materials are pulverized and compacted to produce a strong durable base for either an asphalt or concrete surface. Alternately, new base material may be added.

**North Beach Road** will need a combination of Roadway Milling, Asphalt overlay and micro surfacing, approximately 4500 sq yards will be Asphalt overlay roadway and 13,268 sq yards will be Micro Surface.


**Root Pruning** should be utilized periodically where ficus or other plants may impact the structural integrity of the roadway. Root pruning is shown in alternating years and would be utilized as needed throughout the Town.



# **City of Stuart FY25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)**

	Title	Fund	Bud Acct	Orgn	Project	Proj Acct	OG #	Notes	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
1	ALT WATER SUPPLY PHASE II	410	56563	610	21032605	531	311	DESIGN - FA #2		600,000					
2	ALT WATER SUPPLY PHASE II	410	56563	610	21032605	563	311	CONSTRUCTION - FA #2 (DRILL WELL & CASING)			4,600,000				
3	RO TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION PHASE III	410	56363	610	TBD	531	TBD	DESIGN (WELL & ADDL RO EQUIP) FA #3				2,000,000			
4	RO TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION PHASE III	410	56363	610	TBD	563	TBD	CONSTRUCTION (WELL & ADDL RO EQUIP) FA #3					4,800,000	4,800,000	1,800,000
5	REHAB RAW WATER WELL 27	410	53531	610	TBD	531	TBD	DESIGN		45,000					
6	REHAB RAW WATER WELL 27	410	53546	610	TBD	546	TBD	CONSTRUCTION			1,500,000				
7	EFF PUMP STATION WET WELL EXPANSION MODIFICATION	410	56563	620	21032605	563	345	DESIGNED IN 2023, SEEK POSSIBLE GRANT FUNDING				500,000			
8	REHAB/REPLACE CLARIFIER BASINS	410	56563	620	TBD	563	314	GRAVITY PIPING MANIFOLDS				1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	
9	TURBO BLOWERS	410	56564	620	20044201	531	313	DESIGN - DEFER TO FY26. SEEK GRANT FUNDING OPP FY25			150,000				
10	TURBO BLOWERS	410	56564	620	20044201	564	313	TURBO BLOWERS - CONSTRUCTION				1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	
11	WRF HEADWORKS IMPROVEMENTS	410	56562	620	22046101	562	308	WRF HEADWORKS IMPS	750,000	750,000					
12	WRF HEADWORKS IMPROVEMENTS PH 2 (TANK ROOF STRUCTURES)	410		620				EQUIPMENT			800,000				
13	WRF HEADWORKS IMPROVEMENTS PH 2 (TANK ROOF STRUCTURES)	410		620				DESIGN & CEI			315,000				
14	WRF HEADWORKS IMPROVEMENTS PH 2 (TANK ROOF STRUCTURES)	410	56562	620	TBD	TBD	TBD	INSTALLATION			1,300,000				
15	FIRE HYDRANT/WATER MAIN UPGRADES	410	56563	630	TBD	531	347	ST LUCIE BLVD FIRE HYDRANTS (5) INSTALLATION IN FY24	75,000	—75,000					
16	B&A FLEA MARKET UTILITIES	410	56563	630	22046301	563	346	B&A FLEA MARKET UTILITIES				20,000	30,000		
17	FORCE MAIN EVALUATIONS (EXISTING)-CITYWIDE	410	53531	630	TBD	531	348	EVALUATE FM CONDITIONS	100,000						
18	FORCE MAIN UPGRADES-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051601	531	348	DESIGN- DEFER FROM FY25. NO CURRENT FUNDING.			150,000				
19	FORCE MAIN UPGRADES-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051601	563	348	CONSTRUCTION				500,000	500,000	500,000	
20	GRINDER STA INTALLS	410	53552	630	22011201	552	302	GRINDER INSTALL RESTORATION		10,000	10,000	7,150	5,200		
21	GRINDER STA INTALLS	410	56563	630	22011201	563	302	GRINDER SYSTEMS		274,738	300,000	203,713	168,200		
22	LIFTSTATION REHAB C25 & C45 (CONQUISTADOR)	410	56563	630	050101	531	349	DESIGN/CONSULTANT/CEI (CONQUISTADOR)	150,000	25,000					
23	LIFTSTATION REHAB C25 & C45 (CONQUISTADOR)	410	56563	630	050101	563	349	CONSTRUCTION (CONQUISTADOR)		500,000					
24	L/S C25 N. STUART	410	56563	630	TBD	563	TBD	CONSTRUCTION			1,000,000				
25	MONTEREY RD FORCE MAIN CONSTRUCTION	410	56563	630	21040501	531	312	DESIGN	75,000	75,000					
26	MONTEREY RD FORCE MAIN CONSTRUCTION	410	56563	630	21040501	563	312	RECONSTRUCT MONTEREY RD FORCE MAIN @ US-1			425,000				
27	KRUEGER FORCERMAIN REPLACEMENT PH3	410	56563	630	20044403	531	352	DESIGN		100,000	150,000				
28	KRUEGER FORCERMAIN REPLACEMENT PH3	410	56563	630	20044403	563	352	CONSTRUCTION & CEI (SAILFISH PH 3)			2,000,000	2,000,000			
29	WATER MAIN EVALUATIONS (EXISTING)-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051501	531	344	EVALUATE WM CONDITIONS W/HYDRAULIC MODELING	100,000	150,000					
30	WATER MAIN UPGRADES-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051501	531	344	DESIGN			250,000				
31	WATER MAIN UPGRADES-CITYWIDE	410	56563	630	051501	563	344	CONSTRUCTION			250,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	
32	LPS WATERCRESS WAY SW	410	56563	630	051701	531		DESIGN		25,000					
33	LPS WATERCRESS WAY SW	410	56563	630	051701	563		CONSTRUCTION		50,000					
34	PUBLIC WORKS COMPLEX	420	53531	720	20042503	531	350	ENG DESIGN SVCS PW/SAN/VEH MAINT FACILITY (CONCEPTUAL)	515,000						
35	PUBLIC WORKS COMPLEX	420	56562	720	20042503	531	350	ENG DESIGN SVCS PW/SAN/VEH MAINT FACILITY		985,000					
36	FLOURIDE SYSTEM							REPLACE SYSTEM & RECOAT CONTAINMENT AREA		150,000					
										3,689,738	13,350,000	5,730,863	3,703,400	3,500,000	
<b>PUBLIC WORKS</b>															
32	BANDSHELL IMPROVEMENTS	001	56563	220		563	328	BANDSHELL IMPROVEMENTS	-			75,000			
33	VETERANS MEMORIAL PK AMPHITHEATRE	001	56563	220	47110	563	329	VETERANS MEMORIAL PK AMPHITHEATRE	1,271,000	2,819,402					
34	COURTESY DOCK WAVE ATTENUATOR	001	56563	230	PWP00394	563	301	COURTESY DOCK WAVE ATTENUATOR	50,000	1,150,000	1,150,000				
35	HANEY CREEK NATURE TRAILS	001	56563	230		563	318	HANEY CREEK NATURE TRAILS				555,710			
36	SE CENTRAL PKWY DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	325			17,000	111,000				
37	SE FLAMINGO AVE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810	47115	563	326	SE FLAMINGO AVE DRAINAGE IMPRS				500,000			
38	SE OCEAN AVE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	324	DESIGN				38,000			
39	SE OCEAN AVE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	324	CONSTRUCTION				273,000			
40	SW SOUTH CAROLINA DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	327	DESIGN		12,000					

	Title	Fund	Bud Acct	Orgn	Project	Proj Acct	OG #	Notes	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
41	SW SOUTH CAROLINA DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	327	CONSTRUCTION				90,720			
42	DYER DR. STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	321	DESIGN	50,000						
43	DYER DR. STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	321	CONSTRUCTION		262,000					
44	LAKE CHARLOTTE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	323	DESIGN				30,800			
45	LAKE CHARLOTTE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	323	CONSTRUCTION					221,600		
46	LONITA STREET DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		531	322	DESIGN	80,000						
47	LONITA STREET DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	430	56563	810		563	322	CONSTRUCTION		327,600					
48	(119, 312, 300) SE MARTIN AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				75,000			
49	(119, 312, 300) SE MARTIN AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				636,000			
50	201 SE CHANNEL AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				25,000			
51	201 SE CHANNEL AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				182,000			
52	540 SE ST LUCIE CRESCENT DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				12,000			
53	540 SE ST LUCIE CRESCENT DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				95,000			
54	713 SW BRYANT AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				8,000			
55	713 SW BRYANT AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				25,000			
56	834-906 SE LINCOLN AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN		50,000					
57	834-906 SE LINCOLN AVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				521,000			
58	SW NORTH CAROLINA DRIVE @ DEAD END DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				30,000			
59	SW NORTH CAROLINA DRIVE @ DEAD END DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				300,000			
60	SE STYPMANN BLVD NEIGHBORHOOD DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				200,000	200,000		
61	SE STYPMANN BLVD NEIGHBORHOOD DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					1,600,000		
62	304 SW INDIAN GROVE DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				70,000			
63	304 SW INDIAN GROVE DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					670,000		
64	HOLIDAY MH PARK/FRAZIER CREEK TRIB DITCH DRAINAGE	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN		60,000					
65	HOLIDAY MH PARK/FRAZIER CREEK TRIB DITCH DRAINAGE	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION				578,000			
66	(502) SE DOLPHIN DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				50,000			
67	(502) SE DOLPHIN DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					424,000		
68	(510) SW SOUTH CAROLINA DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				15,000			
69	(510) SW SOUTH CAROLINA DRIVE DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					131,000		
70	(100) SW ATLANTA AVE/ANCHORAGE WAY DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				30,000			
71	(100) SW ATLANTA AVE/ANCHORAGE WAY DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					236,000		
72	(201) SE DUNSCOMBE RD DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				40,000			
73	(201) SE DUNSCOMBE RD DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					327,000		
74	WRIGHT BLVD/DIXIE HWY DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				150,000			
75	WRIGHT BLVD/DIXIE HWY DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		563		CONSTRUCTION					1,250,000		
76	(518) NW 3RD STREET DRAINAGE IMPS	430	56563	810		531		DESIGN				50,000			



# **Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)**

## **Annual Obligations Report**

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM  
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT  
=====  
HIGHWAYS  
=====

DATE RUN: 10/01/2024  
TIME RUN: 15.20.41  
MBROBLTP

ITEM NUMBER: 413253 2  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89095000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-9/I-95 FROM MARTIN/PALM BEACH COUNTY LINE TO CR-708/BRIDGE ROAD  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 7.131MI

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: PD&E/EMO STUDY  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3 / 3 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

284,378  
284,378  
284,378

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
NHPP  
TOTAL 413253 2  
TOTAL 413253 2

ITEM NUMBER: 413254 2  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89095000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-9/I-95 FROM CR-708/BRIDGE ROAD TO HIGH MEADOWS  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 6.440MI

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: PD&E/EMO STUDY  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3 / 3 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

349,832  
349,832  
349,832

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
NHPP  
TOTAL 413254 2  
TOTAL 413254 2

ITEM NUMBER: 419669 3  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .000

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: PD&E/EMO STUDY  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 0 / 0 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

796,716  
755,246  
26,000  
2,737,531  
4,315,493  
4,315,493

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
CARU  
CM  
SA  
SU  
TOTAL 419669 3  
TOTAL 419669 3

ITEM NUMBER: 422681 5  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89095000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-9/I-95 FROM HIGH MEADOWS TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 10.918MI

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: PD&E/EMO STUDY  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3 / 3 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

289,338  
289,338  
289,338

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
NHPP  
TOTAL 422681 5  
TOTAL 422681 5

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM  
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT  
=====  
HIGHWAYS  
=====

DATE RUN: 10/01/2024  
TIME RUN: 15.20.41  
MBROBLTP

ITEM NUMBER: 434273 4  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89095000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-9/I-95 FROM PALM BEACH/MARTIN CO LINE TO CR-708 INTERCHANGE  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 7.910MI

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: SAFETY PROJECT  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3/ 3/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

-30  
-30  
-30

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
HSP  
**TOTAL 434273 4**  
**TOTAL 434273 4**

ITEM NUMBER: 435139 2  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89030000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: CR-707/SE BEACH ROAD FROM PALM BEACH/MARTIN CL TO CR-708/SE BRIDGE RD  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 7.052MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: RESURFACING  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

-25,305  
1,000  
59,350  
35,045  
35,045

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU  
  
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C  
SA  
SU  
**TOTAL 435139 2**  
**TOTAL 435139 2**

ITEM NUMBER: 436425 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89000002

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: MURPHY ROAD BRIDGE  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .020MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: BRIDGE REPLACEMENT  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

-158,004  
-158,004  
-158,004

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU  
**TOTAL 436425 1**  
**TOTAL 436425 1**

ITEM NUMBER: 437838 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89010000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-5/US-1 FROM S. OF SE HERITAGE BLVD. TO NORTH OF SE SALERNO RD.  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 3.570MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: RESURFACING  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3/ 3/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

1,000  
1,000  
1,000

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SA  
**TOTAL 437838 1**  
**TOTAL 437838 1**

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM  
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT  
=====  
HIGHWAYS  
=====

DATE RUN: 10/01/2024  
TIME RUN: 15.20.41  
MBROBLTP

ITEM NUMBER: 438346 2  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89040000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SE OCEAN BLVD FROM WEST OF SE HOSPITAL AVE TO SE PALM BEACH ROAD  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .440MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: BIKE LANE/SIDEWALK  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2 / 2 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

-13,188  
-6,591  
-19,779  
-19,779

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SA

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C  
SU

**TOTAL 438346 2**  
**TOTAL 438346 2**

ITEM NUMBER: 440811 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89510000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: CR-708/SW BRIDGE RD FROM CR-711/PRATT WHITNEY TO SR-5/US-1  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 8.680MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2 / 2 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

-107,309  
-91,530  
-50,429  
-249,268  
-249,268

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C  
SA  
SU

**TOTAL 440811 1**  
**TOTAL 440811 1**

ITEM NUMBER: 441699 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89000032

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM SR-9/I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 2.670MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2 / 2 / 2

FUND  
CODE

2024

28,591  
28,591  
28,591

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SA

**TOTAL 441699 1**  
**TOTAL 441699 1**

ITEM NUMBER: 441700 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89000003

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 3.230MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: PD&E/EMO STUDY  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2 / 2 / 2

FUND  
CODE

2024

249,456  
249,456  
249,456

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU

**TOTAL 441700 1**  
**TOTAL 441700 1**

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM  
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT  
=====  
HIGHWAYS  
=====

DATE RUN: 10/01/2024  
TIME RUN: 15.20.41  
MBROBLTP

ITEM NUMBER: 441701 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89000003

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: COVE ROAD FROM SR-5/US-1 TO DIXIE HIGHWAY  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 1.080MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

10,074  
10,074  
10,074

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU  
TOTAL 441701 1  
TOTAL 441701 1

ITEM NUMBER: 443992 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89010000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-5/US-1 FR N OF NW JENSEN BEACH BLVD TO MARTIN/ST LUCIE COUNTY LINE  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 1.426MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: RESURFACING  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 4/ 4/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

3,000  
3,000  
3,000

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SA  
TOTAL 443992 1  
TOTAL 443992 1

ITEM NUMBER: 446072 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89000004

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SALERNO ROAD FROM SOUTHEAST WILLOUGHBY TO SOUTHEAST CABLE DRIVE  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .911MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: BIKE LANE/SIDEWALK  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

6,986  
14,409  
21,395  
21,395

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
TALT  
TALU  
TOTAL 446072 1  
TOTAL 446072 1

ITEM NUMBER: 447002 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89091000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: INTERSECTION LIGHTING RETROFIT IMPROVEMENT  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .015MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: LIGHTING  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 4/ 0/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

17,463  
17,463  
17,463

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
HSP  
TOTAL 447002 1  
TOTAL 447002 1

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM  
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT  
=====  
HIGHWAYS  
=====

DATE RUN: 10/01/2024  
TIME RUN: 15.20.41  
MBROBLTP

ITEM NUMBER: 447555 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89090500

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-710/SW WARFIELD BOULEVARD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HIGHWAY  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .485MI

FUND  
CODE

2024

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: INTERSECTION (NEW)  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2 / 0 / 2

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU  
TOTAL 447555 1  
TOTAL 447555 1

547,719  
547,719  
547,719

ITEM NUMBER: 448307 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89000044

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: CITY OF STUART - VARIOUS LOCATIONS  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .748MI

FUND  
CODE

2024

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: SIDEWALK  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 1 / 1 / 0

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
TALT  
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
TALT  
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C  
SM  
TALT  
TALU  
TOTAL 448307 1  
TOTAL 448307 1

-1,726  
22,061  
580,473  
346,270  
596,746  
1,543,824  
1,543,824

ITEM NUMBER: 448397 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89070000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD TURN LANE AT TOMMY CLEMENTS STREET  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .386MI

FUND  
CODE

2024

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2 / 0 / 2

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU  
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU  
TOTAL 448397 1  
TOTAL 448397 1

-9,707  
151,548  
141,841  
141,841

ITEM NUMBER: 449159 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89095000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-9/I-95 N OF BRIDGE RD TO S OF SR-76/KANNER HWY  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 3.675MI

FUND  
CODE

2024

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: RESURFACING  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 3 / 3 / 0

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SA  
TOTAL 449159 1  
TOTAL 449159 1

12,904  
12,904  
12,904

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM  
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT  
=====  
HIGHWAYS  
=====

DATE RUN: 10/01/2024  
TIME RUN: 15.20.41  
MBROBLTP

ITEM NUMBER: 449160 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89095000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-9/I-95 FR S OF SR-76/KANNER HWY TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 13.327MI

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: RESURFACING  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 6 / 3 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

637,007  
637,007  
637,007

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
NHPP  
TOTAL 449160 1  
TOTAL 449160 1

ITEM NUMBER: 450794 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89030000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: CR-708/SE BRIDGE RD BRIDGE# 890107  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 7.420MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: FEASIBILITY STUDY  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2 / 2 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

162,279  
162,279  
162,279

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SU  
TOTAL 450794 1  
TOTAL 450794 1

ITEM NUMBER: 450823 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89900076

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .671MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: SIDEWALK  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2 / 0 / 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

5,000  
5,000  
5,000

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
TALT  
TOTAL 450823 1  
TOTAL 450823 1

ITEM NUMBER: 453333 1  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89070000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: 5.939MI

\*SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 4 / 2 / 2

FUND  
CODE

2024

150,000  
150,000  
150,000  
8,378,558  
8,378,558

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SA  
TOTAL 453333 1  
TOTAL 453333 1  
TOTAL DIST: 04  
TOTAL HIGHWAYS

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM  
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT  
=====  
PLANNING  
=====

DATE RUN: 10/01/2024  
TIME RUN: 15.20.41  
MBROBLTP

ITEM NUMBER: 439328 4  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: MARTIN COUNTY FY 2022/2023-2023/2024 UPWP  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .000

FUND  
CODE

2024

372,913  
372,913  
372,913

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: TRANSPORTATION PLANNING  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 0/ 0/ 0

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C  
PL  
TOTAL 439328 4  
TOTAL 439328 4

ITEM NUMBER: 439328 5  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: MARTIN COUNTY FY 2024/2025-2025/2026 UPWP  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .000

FUND  
CODE

2024

200,294  
200,294  
200,294  
573,207  
573,207

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: TRANSPORTATION PLANNING  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 0/ 0/ 0

PHASE: PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY C  
PL  
TOTAL 439328 5  
TOTAL 439328 5  
TOTAL DIST: 04  
TOTAL PLANNING

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
OFFICE OF WORK PROGRAM  
ANNUAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT  
=====  
MISCELLANEOUS  
=====

DATE RUN: 10/01/2024  
TIME RUN: 15.20.41  
MBROBLTP

ITEM NUMBER: 436735 2  
DISTRICT: 04  
ROADWAY ID: 89010000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: JONATHAN DICKINSON STATE PARK-FLAP GRANT FOR TRAIL & US-1 SIGNALIZATN  
COUNTY: MARTIN  
PROJECT LENGTH: .070MI

\*NON-SIS\*  
TYPE OF WORK: ENVIRONMENTAL TEST/MITIGATE  
LANES EXIST/IMPROVED/ADDED: 2/ 2/ 0

FUND  
CODE

2024

PHASE: GRANTS AND MISCELLANEOUS / RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: MANAGED BY FDOT  
SA  
TOTAL 436735 2  
TOTAL 436735 2  
TOTAL DIST: 04  
TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS

24,004  
24,004  
24,004  
24,004  
24,004

8,975,769

GRAND TOTAL

## 2024 Federally Obligated Transit Funds

FM#	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	FUND	WORK MIX	PHASE	2024 FUNDING
4134931	MARTIN COUNTY TRANSIT SECTION 5307	FTA	FIXED ROUTE	TRANSIT OPERATING	408,141
N/A	MARTIN COUNTY TRANSIT SECTION 5307-CARES ACT	FTA	FIXED ROUTE	TRANSIT OPERATING	532,472
425977-3-84-01	MARTIN COUNTY TRANSIT SECTION-5311	FTA	FIXED ROUTE	TRANSIT OPERATING	139,721


**Table 12 – Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) Program – FY25**

Trip & Equipment Grant Allocation			Planning Grant Allocation		
TD Trust Fund	Local Match	Total	TD Trust Fund	Local Match	Total
\$255,725	\$38,413	\$284,138	\$26,488	\$0	\$26,488

Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) services are provided pursuant to Florida Statute 427.015. In Martin County, the MPO is the Designated Official Planning Agency (DOPA) and the Senior Resource Association is the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC). For FY 2024/25, the Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged has programmed the following funds for the Martin County TD program:

TD is defined as those persons who, because of physical or mental disability, income status or age are unable to transport themselves or to purchase transportation and are, therefore, dependent on others to obtain access to health care, employment, education, shopping, social activities or other life-sustaining activities. These persons also include children who are handicapped or high-risk or at risk as defined in Ch. 411, F.S.

# Martin MPO Planning Area Map



# Safety Plan

for

## Marty

### Martin County's Public Transit Service

*Marty*



For

Federal Transit Administration

Martin County Board of County Commissioners

Version 4

## Table of Contents

<b>Transit Agency Information</b>	<b>Page 3</b>
<b>Plan Development, Approval, and Updates</b>	<b>Page 3</b>
<b>Safety Performance Targets</b>	<b>Page 5</b>
<b>Safety Management Policy</b>	<b>Page 6</b>
<b>Safety Risk Management</b>	<b>Page 9</b>
<b>Safety Assurance</b>	<b>Page 11</b>
<b>Safety Promotion</b>	<b>Page 14</b>
<b>Additional Information</b>	<b>Page 16</b>
<b>Definitions</b>	<b>Page 17</b>
<b>List of Acronyms</b>	<b>Page 21</b>
<b>Joint Safety Committee Signatures of Approval</b>	<b>Page 22</b>

## 1. Transit Agency Information

<b>Transit Agency Name</b>	Martin County Board of County Commissioners		
<b>Transit Agency Address</b>	2401 SE Monterey Road, Stuart, FL 34996		
<b>Name and Title of Accountable Executive</b>	James Gorton, Public Works Director		
<b>Name of Chief Safety Officer or SMS Executive</b>	Ashman Beecher, Transit Administrator		
<b>Mode(s) of Service Covered by This Plan</b>	Fixed Route Bus; Commuter Bus; Paratransit	<b>List All FTA Funding Types (e.g., 5307, 5337, 5339)</b>	5307 5339 5311
<b>Mode(s) of Service Provided by the Transit Agency (Directly operated or contracted service)</b>	Fixed Route Bus; Commuter Bus; Paratransit This is a contracted service.		
<b>Does the agency provide transit services on behalf of another transit agency or entity?</b>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Description of Arrangement(s)</b> N/A
<b>Name and Address of Transit Agency(ies) or Entity(ies) for Which Service Is Provided</b>	N/A		

## 2. Plan Development, Approval, and Updates

<b>Name of Entity That Drafted This Plan</b>	Ashman Beecher, Transit Administrator	
<b>Approval by the Joint Safety Committee</b>	<b>Date of Approval</b> 10/15/2024	
<b>Signature by the Accountable Executive</b>	<b>Signature of Accountable Executive</b>	<b>Date of Signature</b>
	James Gorton, Public Works Director	10.24.2024 <i>J. Gorton</i>

<b>Approval by the Board of Directors or an Equivalent Authority</b>	<b>Martin County Board of County Commissioners</b>	<b>Date of Approval</b>
	Approved BOCC meeting agenda item 25-0257	11/19/2024
	<b>Relevant Documentation (Title and Location)</b>	

Copy of meeting agenda and action summary approving the Agency Safety Plan (ASP), is maintained on file by the Chief Safety Officer, in the Martin County Public Works Department.

### **Version Number and Updates**

*Record the complete history of successive versions of this plan.*

<b>Version Number</b>	<b>Section/Pages Affected</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>	<b>Date Issued</b>
1		New Document	06/02/2020
2	Pages 1-16	Annual Update	11/18/2022
3	Pages 1-19	Annual Update	10/09/2023
4	Pages 1 - 21	Annual Update	11/19/2024

### **Annual Review and Update of the Agency Safety Plan**

This plan will be reviewed by a Joint labor-management Safety Committee (JSC) and updated by the Chief Safety Officer on an annual basis. The Accountable Executive will review and approve any changes, signing the ASP. The updated ASP will then go to the Board of County Commissioner for final approval by December 31.

### 3. Safety Performance Targets

#### Safety Performance Targets

Specify performance targets based on the safety performance measures established under the National Public Transportation Safety Plan. Safety performance targets will be evaluated over a fiscal year period with baseline year Fiscal Year 2025 (October 1, 2024 – September 30, 2025).

Mode of Transit Service	Fatality (Total)	Fatality (Rate per Total VRM)	Injury (Total)	Injury (Rate per Total VRM)	Major Safety Event (Total)	Major Safety Event (Rate per Total VRM)	System Reliability (VRM / failures)
Fixed Route Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	41,220
Commuter Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,340
ADA Paratransit	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,506

#### Safety Performance Targets (Transit Worker)

Mode of Transit Service	Transit Worker Fatality (Total)	Transit Worker Fatality (Rate per Total VRM)	Transit Worker Injury (Total)	Transit Worker Injury (Rate per Total VRM)	Assault on Transit Worker (Total VRM)	Assault on Transit Worker (Rate per VRM)
Fixed Route Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commuter Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0
ADA Paratransit	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Safety Performance Targets (Collisions)

Mode of Transit Service	Total Collisions	Collision (Rate per Total VRM)	Total Pedestrian Collision	Pedestrian Collision (Rate per Total)	Vehicular Collision (Rate per Total VRM)
Fixed Route Bus	0	0	0	0	0
Commuter Bus	0	0	0	0	0
ADA Paratransit	0	0	0	0	0

## **Safety Performance Target Coordination**

*Describe the coordination with the State and Metropolitan Planning Organization(s) (MPO) in the selection of State and MPO safety performance targets.*

The Chief Safety Officer shares the ASP, including safety performance targets, with the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) each year after its formal adoption by the Martin County Board of County Commissioners. (MCBOCC) The Chief Safety Officer also provides a copy of our formally adopted plan to the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). Transit personnel are available to coordinate with FDOT and the MPO in the selection of FDOT and MPO safety performance targets upon request.

<b>Targets Transmitted to the State</b>	<b>State Entity Name</b>	<b>Date Targets Transmitted</b>
	Florida Department of Transportation	11/19/2024
<b>Targets Transmitted to the Metropolitan Planning Organization(s)</b>	<b>Metropolitan Planning Organization Name</b>	<b>Date Targets Transmitted</b>
	Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization	11/19/2024

## **4. Safety Management Policy**

### **Safety Management Policy Statement**

*including safety objectives.*

Martin County's Public Transit service, MARTY is committed to providing safe, secure, clean, reliable, and efficient transportation services to its patrons. This policy statement serves to express management's commitment to and involvement in providing and maintaining a safe and secure transit system.

In the interest of safety and security, MARTY has developed and adopted this Safety Management System (SMS) that complies with 49 CFR PART 673 and is dedicated to the following safety objectives:

- Communicating the purpose and benefits of the SMS to all staff, managers, supervisors, and employees.
- Providing a culture of open reporting of all safety concerns, ensuring that no action will be taken against any employee who discloses a safety concern through MARTY's Employee Safety Reporting Program (ESRP), unless such disclosure indicates, beyond any reasonable doubt, an illegal act, gross negligence, or a deliberate or willful disregard of regulations or procedures.
- Providing appropriate management involvement and the necessary resources that will encourage employees to communicate and report any unsafe work conditions, hazards, or at-risk behavior to management.
- Identifying hazardous and unsafe work conditions and investigating any reported safety concerns by employees.

- Establishing safety performance targets that are realistic, measurable, and data driven. Continually improving our safety performance through management processes that ensure appropriate safety management action is taken and is effective.

MARTY and its On-Road Contractor are authorized and responsible for maintaining a coordinated safety system in order to identify and prevent unsafe acts and conditions that present a potential danger or threat to public safety. Management commits to maintain and implement the ASP and comply with the policies, procedures, and standards included in this document. All MARTY and its On-Road Operator staff is charged with the responsibility of adhering to this ASP. Any violation of safety and security practices is subject to disciplinary actions. Management is ultimately responsible for enforcing the ASP and maintaining a safe and secure system.

## **Safety Management Policy Communication**

The Transit Administrator, who leads SMS activities, communicates SMS updates to transit staff annually or as needed via written communication or in-person meetings. The MARTY Safety Management Policy Statement is distributed to each employee and the On-Road Contractor. All parties receiving a copy of the statement and subsequent updates are required to sign for its receipt and acknowledge their responsibility in implementation. Distribution of the Safety Management Policy Statement has also been incorporated into the new-hire training and annual refresher training.

## **Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities**

<b>Accountable Executive</b>	<p>The Public Works Director serves as MARTY's Accountable Executive with the following authorities, accountabilities, and responsibilities under this plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accountable for ensuring that the agency's SMS is effectively implemented.</li> <li>• Ensures action is taken, as necessary, to address substandard performance in the agency's SMS.</li> <li>• Assumes ultimate responsibility for carrying out MARTY's ASP, and SMS.</li> <li>• Designates an adequately trained Chief Safety Officer who is a direct report.</li> <li>• Controls and directs human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain the ASP and SMS.</li> <li>• Maintains responsibility for carrying out the agency's Transit Asset Management Plan.</li> </ul>
------------------------------	---

<b>Chief Safety Officer or SMS Executive</b>	<p>The Accountable Executive designates the Transit Administrator as MARTY's Chief Safety Officer. The Chief Safety Officer has the following authorities, accountabilities, and responsibilities under this plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Holds a direct line of reporting to the Accountable Executive.</li> <li>• Has the authority and responsibility for day-to-day implementation and operation of the agency's SMS.</li> <li>• Manages MARTY's ESRP</li> <li>• Develops MARTY's ASP and SMS policies and procedures.</li> <li>• Advises the Accountable Executive on SMS progress and status.</li> </ul>
<b>Agency Leadership and Executive Management</b>	<p>MARTY's Transit Specialist has been identified to have the following authorities and responsibilities for day-to-day SMS implementation and operation of the SMS under this plan.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete training on SMS and ASP elements</li> <li>• Oversee day-to-day operations of the SMS</li> </ul>
<b>Key Staff</b>	<p>The Contracted On-Road Operations and Safety Manager are responsible for Driver training.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drivers' Meetings: A permanent agenda item in all monthly Drivers' Meetings is dedicated to safety. Safety issues are discussed and documented.</li> <li>• Safety Event Investigations</li> <li>• Re-Training</li> </ul>
<b>Joint Safety Committee</b>	<p>Pursuant to the requirements of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law 49 U.S.C § 5329(d)(5) a Joint labor-management Safety Committee (JSC) has been established. The MARTY/MTM, Transit JSC meets quarterly to address safety-related matters, including the annual update of the PTASP..</p>
<h3><b>Employee Safety Reporting Program</b></h3>	
<p>Martin County's Employee Safety Reporting Program (ESRP) encourages employees or contracted employees to report safety conditions to senior management. Employees may report safety concerns in good faith without fear of retribution in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report conditions directly to Chief Safety Officer, or Transit Systems Coordinator</li> <li>• Report conditions anonymously via a locked comment box in the County General Services Yard.</li> <li>• Comments via a sealed envelope can be dropped off at the County Administration Office at the security desk. Must have the words "For MARTY Chief Safety Officer" on the front of the envelope to ensure proper delivery.</li> <li>• Report conditions at the monthly staff/contractor or driver safety meetings.</li> </ul>	

- Report conditions electronically in “Workday” Electronic application.

The comment box is checked weekly with any safety comments given directly to the Chief Safety Officer. Any safety conditions identified will be logged into a Safety Risk Register and reviewed by the Chief Safety Officer and addressed through the Safety Risk Management (SRM) process, including documentation by the Joint Safety Committee.

MARTY encourages participation in the ESRP by protecting employees that report safety conditions in good faith. However, disciplinary action may be required if the report involves any of the following:

- Willful participation in illegal activity, such as assault or theft.
- Gross negligence, such as knowingly utilizing heavy equipment for purposes other than intended such that people or property are put at risk; or
- Deliberate or willful disregard of regulations or procedures, such as reporting to work under the influence of controlled substances.

## 5. Safety Risk Management

### Safety Risk Management Process

*Describe the Safety Risk Management process, including:*

- *Safety Hazard Identification: The methods or processes to identify hazards and consequences of the hazards.*
- *Safety Risk Assessment: The methods or processes to assess the safety risks associated with identified safety hazards.*
- *Safety Risk Mitigation: The methods or processes to identify mitigations or strategies necessary as a result of safety risk assessment.*

MARTY uses the SRM process as a primary method to ensure the safety of our operations, passengers, employees, vehicles, and facilities. It is a process whereby hazards and their consequences are identified, assessed for potential safety risk, and resolved in a manner acceptable to leadership. The SRM process allows us to carefully examine what could cause harm and determine whether we have taken sufficient precautions to minimize the harm, or if further mitigations are necessary.

#### Safety Hazard Identification

The safety hazard identification process offers MARTY the ability to identify hazards and potential consequences in the operation and maintenance of our system. Hazards can be identified through a variety of sources, including:

- ESRP
- Review of vehicle camera footage.
- Review of monthly performance data and safety performance targets.

- Observations by Transit staff.
- Maintenance reports.
- Comments from passengers
- Daily Vehicle Inspection forms
- Annual Bus Safety Inspections report
- Investigations into safety events, incidents, and occurrences.
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and other oversight authorities.

When a safety concern is identified, whatever the source, it is reported to the Chief Safety Officer. Procedures for reporting hazards to the Chief Safety Officer are reviewed during Staff Meetings.

Any identified hazard that poses a real and immediate threat to life, property, or the environment must immediately be brought to the attention of the Accountable Executive and addressed. This means that the Chief Safety Officer believes immediate intervention is necessary to preserve life, prevent major property destruction, or avoid harm to the environment that would constitute a violation of Environmental Protection Agency or Florida State environmental protection standards.

### Safety Risk Assessment

The Chief Safety Officer prioritizes safety hazards using MARTY's Safety Risk Matrix. This matrix expresses assessed risk as a combination of one severity category and one likelihood level, also referred to as a hazard rating. For example, a risk may be assessed as "1A" or the combination of a Catastrophic (1) severity category and a Frequent (A) probability level.

This matrix also categorizes combined risks into levels, High, Medium, or Low, based on the likelihood of occurrence and severity of the outcome. For purposes of accepting risk:

- "High" hazard ratings will be considered unacceptable and require action to mitigate the safety risk.
- "Medium" hazard ratings will be considered undesirable and require the Chief Safety Officer to make a decision regarding their acceptability, and
- "Low" hazard ratings may be accepted by the Chief Safety Officer without additional review.

Safety Risk Matrix		1	2	3	4
		Catastrophic	Critical	Marginal	Negligible
A	Frequent	High	High	High	Low
B	Probable	High	High	Medium	Low
C	Occasional	High	Medium	Medium	Low
D	Remote	Medium	Medium	Low	Low
E	Improbable	Low	Low	Low	Low

Using a categorization of High, Medium, or Low allows for hazards to be prioritized for mitigation based on their associated safety risk

Once the Chief Safety Officer has assessed the safety risk, they will document the safety risk assessment, including the hazard rating and mitigation options for each identified safety hazard. The Chief Safety Officer will maintain a file for each identified safety risk for a period of three years from the date of generation.

### Safety Risk Mitigation

The Chief Safety Officer will review current methods of safety risk mitigation and establish methods or procedures to mitigate or eliminate safety risk associated with specific hazards. MCPT can reduce safety risk by reducing the likelihood and/or severity of potential consequences of hazards.

Prioritization of safety risk mitigations is based on the results of the safety risk assessments. The Chief Safety Officer tracks and updates safety risk mitigation information in the identified safety risk file.

The Chief Safety Officer will also document any specific measures or activities, such as review, observation, or audits that will be conducted to monitor the effectiveness of mitigation once implemented in a Safety Risk Register.

## 6. Safety Assurance

Through our Safety Assurance process, MARTY:

- Evaluates our compliance with operations and maintenance procedures to determine whether our existing rules and procedures are sufficient to control our safety risk;
- Assesses the effectiveness of safety risk mitigations to make sure the mitigations are appropriate and are implemented as intended.
- Investigates safety events to identify causal factors; and
- Analyzes information from safety reporting, including data about safety failures, defects, or conditions.

## Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement

*Describe activities to monitor the system for compliance with procedures for operations and maintenance.*

MARTY has many processes in place to monitor its entire transit system for compliance with operations and maintenance procedures, including:

- Internal Safety audits
- Compliance with System Safety Program Plan

- Random inspections for safety compliance
- Facility inspections
- Daily Safety/Security data acquisition and analysis
- Daily Vehicle Inspections
- Regular review of onboard camera footage to assess drivers and specific incidents,
- Annual safety inspections
- Investigations of safety complaints
- Event investigations
- External safety audits
- Regular vehicle inspections and preventative maintenance.

Results from the above processes are compared against recent performance trends quarterly and annually by the Chief Safety Officer to determine where action needs to be taken. The Chief Safety Officer enters any identified non-compliant or ineffective activities, including mitigations, and puts them back through the Safety Risk Management Process.

*Describe activities to monitor operations to identify any safety risk mitigations that may be ineffective, inappropriate, or were not implemented as intended.*

MARTY monitors safety risk mitigations to determine if they have been implemented and are effective, appropriate, and working as intended. The Chief Safety Officer maintains a list of safety risk mitigations in the Safety Risk Register. The mechanism for monitoring safety risk mitigations varies depending on the mitigation.

The Chief Safety Officer establishes one or more mechanisms for monitoring safety risk mitigations as part of the mitigation implementation process and assigns monitoring activities to the appropriate staff. These monitoring mechanisms may include tracking a specific metric on daily, weekly, or monthly logs or reports; conducting job performance observations; or other activities. The Chief Safety Officer will endeavor to make use of existing MARTY processes and activities before assigning new information collections activities.

MARTY Chief Safety Officer will review the performance of individual risk mitigations based on the reporting schedule determined for each mitigation and determine if a specific safety risk mitigation is not implemented or performing as intended. If the mitigation is not implemented or performing as intended, the Chief Safety Officer will modify the mitigation or take other action to manage the safety risk.

*Describe activities to conduct investigations of safety events, including the identification of causal factors.*

MARTY conducts safety investigations of events (accidents, incidents, and occurrences, as defined by FTA) to find causal and contributing factors and review the existing mitigations in place at the time of the event.

In the case of any of these events, drivers are required to contact dispatch immediately. Dispatch calls 911 should emergency services be needed. The Operations & Safety Manager will be immediately notified and will be sent to the scene. Each investigation will be documented in a final report that includes a description of the investigation's activities, identified causal factors and any identified corrective action plan. For Specific procedures for conducting safety investigations see Transit's Contractor Corporate Policy Statement for Injury Investigation and Accident/Incident Reporting.

The Final Report and all documentation of the investigation, will be given to the Chief Safety Officer, for determination whether:

- The accident was preventable or non-preventable.
- Personnel require discipline or retraining.
- The causal factor(s) indicate(s) that a safety hazard contributed to or was present during the event; and
- The accident appears to involve underlying organizational causal factors beyond just individual employee behavior.

All records will be maintained by the Chief Safety Officer for a minimum of five years from the date of completion of the investigation.

*Describe activities to monitor information reported through internal safety reporting programs.*

The Chief Safety Officer will routinely review safety data captured in the ERSP, the monthly safety performance data, customer complaints and other safety communication channels. Any safety conditions identified will be logged into a Safety Risk Register and addressed through the Safety Risk Management (SRM) process.

## 7. Safety Promotion

### Competencies and Training

*Describe the safety training program for all agency employees and contractors directly responsible for safety.*

MARTY's comprehensive safety training program applies to all agency employees and contractors directly responsible for safety in the agency's public transportation system including:

- Bus Vehicle Operators
- Dispatchers
- Maintenance Technicians
- Managers and Supervisors
- Agency Leadership and Executive Management
- Chief Safety Officer
- Accountable Executive

The scope of the safety training, including annual refresher training, is appropriate to each employee's individual safety-related job responsibilities and their role in the MARTY SMS. Safety training is conducted/coordinated by the Operations and Safety Manager, Training Coordinator, and Chief Safety Officer. Basic training requirements, including frequencies and refresher training are documented in the following:

- MARTY SSPP, Section 7
- Contractor Safety Management System (SMS) Plan
- MARTY Vehicle Maintenance Plan, Pg 9, (Maintenance Technicians)
- Martin County Safety Manual
- County personnel safety training is conducted using a software system called NEO GOV there are a minimum of 6 online courses that are required annually, these would include the Accountable Executive and Executive Management.

Operations safety-related skill training includes the following:

- New-hire bus vehicle operator classroom and hands-on skill training
- Bus vehicle operator refresher training
- Bus vehicle operator retraining (recertification or return to work)
- Classroom and on-the-job training for dispatchers
- Classroom and on-the-job training for operations supervisors and managers
- Accident investigation training for operations supervisors and managers

Vehicle maintenance safety-related skill training includes the following:

- Ongoing Vehicle Maintenance Technician skill training
- Ongoing skill training for Vehicle Maintenance Supervisors
- Ongoing Event Investigation training for Vehicle Maintenance Supervisors via contractor web-based platform “Knowledge College”..
- Ongoing hazardous material training for Vehicle Maintenance Technicians and Supervisors

MARTY’s Accountable Executive, Chief Safety Officer or SMS Executive, Agency Leadership and Executive Management may take online FTA safety classes through the FTA-sponsored USDOT Transportation Safety Institute (TSI).

MARTY requires the On-road and Support Services Contractor to have a comprehensive Transit Operator Development Course in place that promotes safety for transit workers and riders. The topics covered in this course includes:

- Protecting Transit Workers from Assaults
- Protecting pedestrians from collisions
- Preventing rear-end collisions
- Preventing intersection accidents
- Preventing backing accidents
- De-escalation techniques

## **Safety Communication**

*Describe processes and activities to communicate safety and safety performance information throughout the organization.*

The Joint Safety Committee, Chief Safety Officer, and Training Manager coordinate MARTY’s safety communication activities for the SMS.

Safety and safety performance information is communicated to the contractor and County staff during the monthly Staff/Contractor meetings and to the Drivers at the monthly Drivers’ Safety Meeting. Information typically conveyed during these meetings includes safety performance statistics, lessons learned from recent occurrences, upcoming events that may impact safety, and information on hazards and safety risks relevant to employees’ roles and responsibilities.

During these meetings the employees are informed of any action taken in response to reports submitted through the ESRP and gives staff and driver’s an opportunity to report any new safety conditions.

## Additional Information

### Supporting Documentation

*Include or reference documentation used to implement and carry out the ASP that are not included elsewhere in this Plan.*

MARTY aims to reduce safety risks with the use of Performance Measures, Safety Committee recommendations, safety risk mitigations, and de-escalation training.

MARTY will maintain documentation related to the implementation of its SMS; the programs, policies, and procedures used to carry out this ASP; and the results from its SMS processes and activities for a minimum of three years after creation. They will be available to the FTA or other Federal or oversight entity upon request.

Documents used to develop the ASP:

- Martin County Emergency Action Plan
- Martin County BOCC Security Policy
- MARTY SSPP
- MARTY SPP
- MARTY Hurricane Plan
- MARTY Bomb Threat Procedures
- MARTY Vehicle Maintenance Plan
- MARTY Event Investigation Procedure
- Contractor SMS Plan
- Contractor Operator Development Course

## Definitions of Special Terms Used in the ASP

MARTY incorporates all of FTA's definitions that are in §673.5 of the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan regulation.

- **Accident** means an Event that involves any of the following: A loss of life; a report of a serious injury to a person; a collision of public transportation vehicles; a runaway train; an evacuation for life safety reasons; or any derailment of a rail transit vehicle, at any location, at any time, whatever the cause.
- **Assault on a Transit Worker** means, as defined under U.S.C. 5302, a circumstance in which an individual knowingly, without lawful authority or permission, and with intent to endanger the safety of any individual, or with a reckless disregard for the safety of human life, interferes with, disables, or incapacitates a transit worker while the transit worker is performing the duties of the transit worker.
- **Accountable Executive** means a single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the Agency Safety Plan (ASP) of a public transportation agency; responsibility for carrying out the agency's Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan; and control or direction over the human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the agency's ASP
- **Chief Safety Officer** means an adequately trained individual who has responsibility for safety and reports directly to a transit agency's chief executive officer, general manager, president, or equivalent officer. A Chief Safety Officer may not serve in other operational or maintenance capacities, unless the Chief Safety Officer is employed by a transit agency that is a small public transportation provider as defined in this part, or a public transportation provider that does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.
- **Collision** means A vehicle/vessel accident in which there is an impact of a transit vehicle/vessel with:
  - Another transit vehicle
  - A non-transit vehicle
  - A fixed object
  - A person(s) (suicide/attempted suicide included)
  - An animal
  - A rail vehicle
  - A vessel
  - A dock
- **Equivalent Authority** means an entity that carries out duties similar to that of a Board of Directors, for a recipient or subrecipient of FTA funds under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, including sufficient authority to review and approve a recipient or subrecipient's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan.
- **Event** means any Accident, Incident, or Occurrence.
- **FTA** means the Federal Transit Administration, an operating administration within the United States Department of Transportation.

- **Hazard** means any real or potential condition that can cause injury, illness, or death; damage to or loss of the facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure of a public transportation system; or damage to the environment.
- **Incident** means an event that involves any of the following: A personal injury that is not a serious injury; one or more injuries requiring medical transport; or damage to facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure that disrupts the operations of a transit agency.
- **Investigation** means the process of determining the causal and contributing factors of an accident, incident, or hazard, for the purpose of preventing recurrence and mitigating risk.
- **Injury** means Any damage or harm to persons as a result of an event that requires immediate medical attention away from the scene.
- **National Public Transportation Safety Plan** means the plan to improve the safety of all public transportation systems that receive Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.
- **Non-major Summary Incident/Event** - means less severe incidents or events that do not meet the requirements of Reportable Events:
- **Occurrence** means an Event without any personal injury in which any damage to facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure does not disrupt the operations of a transit agency.
- **Operator of a public transportation system** means a provider of public transportation as defined under 49 U.S.C. 5302(14).
- **Performance measure** means an expression based on a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess progress toward meeting the established targets.
- **Performance target** means a quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for the measure, to be achieved within a time period required by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).
- **Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan** means the documented comprehensive agency safety plan for a transit agency that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329 and this part.
- **Rail fixed guideway public transportation system** means any fixed guideway system that uses rail, is operated for public transportation, is within the jurisdiction of a State, and is not subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Railroad Administration, or any such system in engineering or construction. Rail fixed guideway public transportation systems include but are not limited to rapid rail, heavy rail, light rail, monorail, trolley, inclined plane, funicular, and automated guideway.
- **Rail transit agency** means any entity that provides services on a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.
- **Reportable Event** - A safety or security event occurring on transit right-of-way or infrastructure, at a transit revenue facility, at a transit maintenance facility or rail yard, during a transit related maintenance activity or involving a transit revenue vehicle that results in one or more of the following conditions:
  - A fatality confirmed within 30 days of the event.

- An injury requiring immediate medical attention away from the scene for one or more person.
  - Property damage equal to or exceeding \$25,000.
  - Collisions involving transit revenue vehicles that require towing away from the scene for a transit roadway.
- **Risk** means the composite of predicted severity and likelihood of the potential effect of a hazard.
- **Risk mitigation** means a method or methods to eliminate or reduce the effects of hazards.
- **Safety Assurance** means processes within a transit agency's Safety Management System that functions to ensure the implementation and effectiveness of safety risk mitigation.
- **Safety Committee** means the formal joint labor-management committee on issues related to safety that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329.
- **Safety Management Policy** means a transit agency's documented commitment to safety, which defines the transit agency's safety objectives and the accountabilities and responsibilities of its employees in regard to safety.
- **Safety Management System (SMS)** means the formal, top-down, organization-wide approach to managing safety risk and assuring the effectiveness of a transit agency's safety risk mitigation. SMS includes systematic procedures, practices, and policies for managing risks and hazards.
- **Safety Management System (SMS) Executive** means a Chief Safety Officer or an equivalent.
- **Safety performance target** means a Performance Target related to safety management activities.
- **Safety Promotion** means a combination of training and communication of safety information to support SMS as applied to the transit agency's public transportation system.
- **Safety risk assessment** means the formal activity whereby a transit agency determines Safety Risk Management priorities by establishing the significance or value of its safety risks.
- **Safety Risk Management** means a process within a transit agency's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan for identifying hazards and analyzing, assessing, and mitigating safety risk.
- **Serious injury** means any injury which:
  - Requires hospitalization for more than 48 hours, commencing within 7 days from the date of the injury was received.
  - Results in a fracture of any bone (except simple fractures of fingers, toes, or noses);
  - Causes severe hemorrhages, nerve, muscle, or tendon damage.
  - Involves any internal organ; or
  - Involves second- or third-degree burns, or any burns affecting more than 5 percent of the body surface.
- **Small public transportation provider** means a recipient or subrecipient of Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. 5307 that has one hundred (100) or

fewer vehicles in peak revenue service and does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

- **State** means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Virgin Islands.
- **State of good repair** means the condition in which a capital asset is able to operate at a full level of performance.
- **State Safety Oversight Agency** means an agency established by a State that meets the requirements and performs the functions specified by 49 U.S.C. 5329(e) and the regulations set forth in 49 CFR part 674.
- **Transit agency** means an operator of a public transportation system.
- **Transit Asset Management Plan** means the strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles, for the purpose of providing safe, cost-effective, and reliable public transportation, as required by 49 U.S.C. 5326 and 49 CFR part 625.

## List of Acronyms Used in the ASP

Acronym	Word or Phrase
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990
ASP	Agency Safety Plan (also referred to as PTASP in Part 673)
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
ESRP	Employee Safety Reporting Program
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
JSC	Joint Safety Committee
MCBOCC	Martin County Board of County Commissioners
MCPT	Martin County Public Transit (aka MARTY)
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
Part 673	49 CFR Part 673 (public Transportation Agency Safety Plan)
SMS	Safety Management System
SPT	Safety Performance Targets
SRM	Safety Risk Management Process
SSPP	System Safety Program Plan
TSI	Transportation Safety Institute
U.S.C.	United States Code
VRM	Vehicle Revenue Miles

October 2024 Joint Safety Committee- PTASP Attendance Sign-in

Trainer Mike Riley


Date Oct. 15,2024

Attendance List

Printed Name

Jony Acenel  
Anes Jean-Baptiste  
Ash Beecher  
Mike Riley

Signature

  
Mike Riley

Agency Safty Plan		PTASP VERSION	4
Committee Member Name (Print)	Role	Signature	Date
Ames Jean-Baptiste	Member		10/15/24
Jocelyn Acevedo	Member		10/15/24
Mike Riley	Chair		10/15/24
By signing above, I agree to the adoption and implementation of this plan /policy as a minimum requirement			



[County seal]

## BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

**ACTION SUMMARY**  
NOVEMBER 19, 2024 ~ 9:00 AM

**COMMISSION CHAMBERS**  
**2401 SE MONTEREY ROAD, STUART, FLORIDA 34996**

---

### **COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

*Eileen Vargas, District 1  
Stacey Hetherington, District 2  
J. Blake Capps, District 3  
Sarah Heard, District 4  
Edward V. Ciampi, District 5*

*Don G. Donaldson, P.E., County Administrator  
Sarah W. Woods, County Attorney  
Carolyn Timmann, Clerk of the Circuit  
Court and Comptroller*

---

### **PRESETS**

9:05 AM - Public Comment  
5:05 PM - Public Comment

### **CALL TO ORDER AT 9:07 AM**

1. INVOCATION ~ **Pastor Blane Albright**, Christ Fellowship Stuart
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
3. ADDITIONAL ITEMS – **The Additional Item of CNST-8 was added to the Agenda.**
4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA – **The Agenda was approved.**
5. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA – **The Consent Agenda was approved minus CNST-4.**

Consent Agenda items are considered routine and are enacted by one motion and will have no action noted, but the "Recommendation" as it appears on the Board item is the approved action.

## **PROCLAMATIONS AND SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS**

### **PROC-1 ORGANIZATION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

The Board of County Commissioners is requested to adhere to the outlined steps for restructuring in accordance with the policy.

Agenda Item: 25-0001 **RESOLUTION NOS. 24-11.22, 24-11.23, and 24-11.24**

**ACTION TAKEN:** The Board appointed Commissioner Heard as the Chair and Commissioner Edward V. Ciampi as the Vice Chairman; approved the use of the prior Vice Chair's signature plate for check signing purposes until the new signature plate arrives; adopted a Resolution designating who may sign documents on behalf of the Board; make appointments to the Boards and Councils requiring Commission membership; confirmed Howard Brown and Rick Hartman to be the Commission Districts 3 and 5 respectively representatives on the Local Planning Agency; confirmed Michael Dooley to be the Commission District 3 representative on the Board of Zoning Adjustment; approved their meeting schedule for 2025; approved the holidays for 2025; and amended their Rules of Procedure.

### **COMMENTS**

1. PUBLIC - PLEASE LIMIT COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES.
2. COMMISSIONERS – **Commissioner Heard requested an update on the conservation lands sales tax and the committee.**
3. COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

### **CONSENT**

#### **ADMINISTRATION**

##### **CNST-1 CONTRACTS THAT MEET THE THRESHOLD FOR BOARD APPROVAL**

This item is a placeholder on all Board meeting agendas to streamline the process for items that meet the Board approval threshold. Specific items requiring approval, if any, will be provided by Supplemental Memorandum. If there are no items, a Supplemental Memorandum will not be attached.

Agenda Item: 25-0006 **Supplemental Memorandum (2 items)**

##### **CNST-2 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' APPROVAL OF WARRANT LIST FOR DISBURSEMENT VIA CHECKS AND ELECTRONIC PAYMENTS TO COMPLY WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS**

Pursuant to Chapter 136.06, Florida Statutes, checks, and electronic payments issued by the Board of County Commissioners are to be recorded in the Board meeting minutes. In compliance with statutory requirements, the Warrant List is added to the Consent Agenda for approval by the Board of County Commissioners. This Warrant List is for disbursements made between October 28, 2024 and November 3, 2024. Additional details related to these disbursements may be viewed in the office of the Martin County Clerk of Court and Comptroller or on the Clerk's website.

Agenda Item: 25-0013

**CNST-3 NOTED ITEMS**

Noted items are documents for the Board's information that must be a part of the record but do not require any action.

Agenda Item: 25-0017

**GROWTH MANAGEMENT**

**CNST-4 REQUEST FOR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING PRESERVE AREA AND AMENDMENT OF AN APPROVED PRESERVE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN**

This is a request from Kevin and Emily Bellucy for an amendment to the existing preserve area boundary under an approved Preserve Area Management Plan (PAMP) that was issued in 2008. Pursuant to Section 4.36.C, Land Development Regulations (LDR), Martin County Code, any alteration to the size, shape, or design of a previously approved preserve area shall be approved by the Board of County Commissioners.

Agenda Item: 25-0251

**ACTION TAKEN:** The Board approved the proposed preserve area alteration and Amended PAMP.

**PUBLIC WORKS**

**CNST-5 REQUEST THAT MARTIN COUNTY GRANT AN UNDERGROUND EASEMENT TO FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (FPL) FOR UTILITY SERVICES ON COUNTY OWNED PROPERTY IN PALM CITY**

FPL has requested approval of an Underground Easement to bury overhead power lines on County-owned property adjacent to Danforth Creek in Palm City. FPL requires that the County grant a non-exclusive Underground Easement prior to providing this service.

Agenda Item: 25-0252

**CNST-6 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN**

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) released a final rule outlining Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) requirements on July 19, 2019. The final rule requires all transit agencies that receives funds under 49 U.S.C. Section 5307 to adopt a PTASP no later than July 20, 2020, and to certify this plan each year thereafter. PTASPs commit transit agencies to designate a Chief Safety Officer and to develop and implement a safety program.

Agenda Item: 25-0257

**CNST-7 ADOPT A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND ACCEPTING A WARRANTY DEED FOR PROPERTY WITH A UTILITY LIFT STATION, LOCATED ON SALERNO ROAD, AS A CONDITION OF APPROVAL OF THE PLAT FOR HUNTER LAKE IN STUART**

This is a request for the adoption of a resolution approving and accepting a Warranty Deed for real property that contains a utility lift station, from Hunter Lakes, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, as a condition of approval of the Hunter Lake plat, located in Stuart off Salerno Road.

Agenda Item: 25-0265

**RESOLUTION NO. 24-11.25**

## ADMINISTRATION

### CNST-8 MARTIN COUNTY LOCAL BILL REQUEST – INMATE MEDICAL COSTS

The Board of County Commissioners is requested to approve adding a potential local bill for the 2025 Legislative Session regarding county jail inmate medical costs. Due to publishing deadlines, the purpose of this item is to publish the proposed bill language. A formal presentation of the bill and accompanying data will be given at a later date.

Agenda Item: 25-0275 Additional Item

## BOARD AND COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

### B&C-1 NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

After solicitation of applicants due to vacancies, terms expiring, and a resignation, the Board is asked to make the necessary appointments to the Golden Gate, Hobe Sound, and Port Salerno Neighborhood Advisory Committees.

Agenda Item: 25-0044

**RESOLUTION NO. 24-11.X**

**ACTION TAKEN:** The Board appointed Mary Gavin and Michael Banas to the Hobe Sound Neighborhood Advisory Committee – both terms will begin immediately with Mr. Banas's term ending February 20, 2025 and Mary Gavin's term ending February 22, 2027; appointed Gerald (Casey) S. Cass to the Port Salerno Neighborhood Advisory Committee for a term to begin immediately and ending February 20, 2025; and authorized the Chair to sign the Resolution of Appointment.

## DEPARTMENTAL QUASI-JUDICIAL GROWTH MANAGEMENT

### DPQJ-1 REQUEST APPROVAL OF THE REVISED FINAL SITE PLAN FOR WEST JENSEN PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD), PHASE 1B, PARCEL 12.7 (W038-110)

This is a request by Bowman Consulting Group on behalf of BW Jensen Federal LLC for a revised final site plan approval to develop an approximately 2,417 square-foot bank building with drive-through teller lanes and associated infrastructure. The 1.54-acre site currently contains a vacant restaurant building located at 4110 NW Federal Highway, at the southwest corner of NW Eugenia Street and NW Federal Highway, in Jensen Beach. The site is located on Parcel 12.7 of Phase 1B of the West Jensen PUD. Included is a request for a Certificate of Public Facilities Exemption.

Agenda Item: 25-0259

**RESOLUTION NO. 24-11.26**

**ACTION TAKEN:** The Board received and filed the agenda item and all attachments as an exhibit and adopted the Resolution approving the revised final site plan for West Jensen PUD, Phase 1B, Parcel 12.7.

**DEPARTMENTAL**  
**ADMINISTRATION**

**DEPT-1** OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE BOARD APPROVAL

This is a placeholder on all Board meeting agendas to streamline the process for grant applications, awards, budget resolutions, budget transfers from reserves, and CIP amendments. Specific items requiring approval, if any, will be provided by Supplemental Memorandum.

Agenda Item: 25-0023 No items

**ACTION TAKEN:** No action needed.

**DEPT-2** CONTRACTS THAT MEET THE THRESHOLD FOR BOARD APPROVAL \$1 MILLION OR GREATER

This item is a placeholder on all Board meeting agendas to streamline the process for items that meet the Board approval threshold. Specific items requiring approval, if any, will be provided by Supplemental Memorandum. If there are no items, a Supplemental Memorandum will not be attached.

Agenda Item: 25-0029 Supplemental Memorandum (2 items)

**ACTION TAKEN:**

A. CONTRACTS OVER \$1,000,000

1. GRINDER ELECTRICAL CONTROL PANEL INSTALLATION (RFB2024-3627) – The Board awarded the contract to the lowest, responsive, and responsible bidder, Aapex Electric, Inc. and authorized the County Administrator or designee to execute all documents related to this request.

B. CONTRACTS AMENDMENTS OVER 10% OF ORIGINAL CONTRACT VALUE

1. BUILDING 17 RENOVATION FOR THE REACH CENTER (RFB2023-3538) – The Board approved Change Order #3 to All-Site Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$173,398.37 and authorized the County Administrator or designee to execute all documents related to this request.

**PUBLIC - PLEASE LIMIT COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES.**

**ADJOURNED AT 11:54 AM**

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at [www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback](http://www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback).

Martin County Public Transit (Marty)

FY2023 – FY2027 Transit Asset Management Plan

Annual Update

03/26/2025

*Marty*

DRAFT

## **Mission Statement**

Provide a reliable, safe, and efficient public transit system to Martin County residents.

### **About Marty**

Martin County Board of County Commissioners is a designated recipient of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Operating and Capital Assistance funds, pursuant to Section 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.

Martin County is managed by a five-member Board and oversees the public transit service known as the Marty in Martin County, Florida.

Marty provides service under the Purchased Transit model whereas a contractor(s) is hired to perform some of its operating tasks through a competitive bid process.

Marty has three transit locations in which work is performed. Administration, planning, trip reservation, and field operations are conducted from 2401 SE Monterey Rd, Stuart, FL. Fueling, bus washing, overnight parking and pre-trip inspections are conducted from 2225 SE Avenger Circle, Stuart FL. The vehicle maintenance and dispatching services are performed at 3210 SE Slater Street, Stuart FL.

Marty operates four fixed routes and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complementary Paratransit services, within a defined service area of the County, as well as a Commuter bus service which provides connection to the urbanized area south of Martin County. Marty's hours of operation are between 6:00am and 8:00pm, Monday thru Friday.

### **Acknowledgements**

#### **Marty TAM Plan Administrative Staff**

**James Gorton, Public Works Director, FTA Authorized Representative**

**Ashman Beecher, Transit Administrator & TAM Program Coordinator**

## Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION AND APPLICABILITY	4
SECTION 2: ASSET INVENTORY PORTFOLIO	15
SECTION 3: ASSET CONDITION ASSESSMENT	20
SECTION 4: DECISION SUPPORT TOOLS & MANAGEMENT APPROACH	23
SECTION 5: PRIORITIZED LIST OF INVESTMENTS	30
SECTION 6: ANNUAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS AND MEASURES	31
SECTION 7: RECORDKEEPING & NTD REPORTING	33
SECTION 8: UPDATES & CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	34
PLAN & PERFORMANCE TARGETS APPROVAL	35
<b>Tables</b>	
1.1 Marty Annual TAM Goal	9
1.2 FTA Min Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	10
1.3 FTA NTD Max Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	11
1.4 Marty Asset Useful Life Benchmarks (ULB)	12
2.1 Marty Asset Inventory Summary	15
2.2 Marty Revenue Vehicle Inventory	17
2.3 Marty Equipment Inventory	18
2.4 Marty Facility TAM Plan	19
3.1 Marty Rating Scales	20
3.2 Marty Vehicle Condition Rating Report	21
3.3 Marty Equipment Condition Report	22
3.4 Marty Facility Condition Rating Report	22
4.1 Marty TAM Decision Support Tools & Capital Asset Investment Planning Process	23
4.2 Marty TAM Decision Support Tools	24
4.3 Marty Asset Management Approach: Acquisition and Renewal Strategy	25
4.4 Marty Asset Management Approach: Maintenance Strategy	26
4.5 Marty Asset Management Approach: Maintenance Strategy	27
4.6 Marty Asset Management Approach: Disposal Strategy	28
4.7 Marty Asset Management Approach: Risk Strategy	29
5.1 Marty Performance Measures	32

## Executive Summary

A Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan is a business model that uses the condition of assets to guide the optimal prioritization of funding at transit agencies, to keep transit systems in a State of Good Repair (SGR). By implementing a TAM Plan, the benefits include:

- Improved transparency and accountability for safety, maintenance, asset use, and funding investments.
- Optimized capital investment and maintenance decisions.
- Data-driven maintenance decisions.
- System Safety & Performance outcomes.

The consequences of an asset not being in SGR include:

- Safety risks (accidents per 100,000 revenue miles).
- Decreased system reliability (on-time performance).
- Higher maintenance costs.
- Lower system performance (missed runs due to breakdown).

### Transit Asset Management Plan (TAM) Plan Policy:

Marty has developed this TAM Plan to aid in: (1) Assessment of the current condition of capital assets; (2) determine what condition and performance of its assets should be (if they are not currently in a State of Good Repair); (3) identify the unacceptable risks, including safety risks, in continuing to use an asset that is not in a State of Good Repair; and (4) deciding how to best balance and prioritize reasonably anticipated funds (revenues from all sources) towards improving asset condition and achieving a sufficient level of performance within those means.

### Agency Overview

Martin County's public transit service, Marty, provided over 118K unlinked passenger trips in FY2024 on its fixed route, Commuter Bus, and ADA paratransit service.

Below is the inventory of vehicles used to provide the Marty program:

- 11 County owned, Fixed Route, heavy duty vehicles
- 3 County owned, Commuter Bus, heavy duty vehicles
- 5 County owned, Paratransit vehicles
- 3 County owned, Driver transfer, compact vehicles
- 1 County owned, non-revenue, pickup truck
- 1 County owned, Driver transfer, SUV

## SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION & APPLICABILITY

Marty is committed to operating a public transit system that offers reliable, accessible, and convenient service with safe vehicles.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) is an administrative management process that combines the components of investment (available funding), rehabilitation and replacement actions, and performance measures with the outcome of operating assets in the parameters of State of Good Repair (SGR).

The County is currently operating as an FTA-defined Tier II transit operator in compliance with (49 CFR S.S. 625.45 (b)(1)). Tier II transit providers are those transit agencies that do not operate rail fixed guideway public transportation systems and have 100 or fewer vehicles in fixed-route revenue service during peak regular service or have 100 or fewer vehicles in general demand response service during peak regular service hours.

This TAM Plan provides an outline of how Marty will assess, monitor, and report the physical condition of assets utilized in the operation of the public transportation system. The County's approach to accomplish an SGR includes the strategic and systematic process of operating, maintaining, and improving physical assets, with a focus on both engineering and economic analysis based on quality of information, to identify a structured sequence of maintenance, preservation, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement actions that will achieve and sustain a desired state of good repair over the lifecycle of the assets at a minimum practicable cost. This document shall cover a "horizon period" of time **(10/1/2023 to 9/30/2027)**. This TAM Plan shall be amended during the four-year horizon period when there is a significant change to staff, assets, and/or operations occurring at the County.

The Accountable Executive:

Per FTA TAM requirements, each transit operator receiving FTA funding shall designate an "Accountable Executive" to implement the TAM Plan. The County's Accountable Executive shall be the County Public Works Director. The County's Accountable Executive must balance transit asset management, safety, day-to-day operations, and expansion needs in approving and carrying out the TAM Plan and public transportation agency safety plan.

The Accountable Executive shall be responsible for ensuring the development and implementation of the TAM Plan, in accordance with S.S. 625.25 (Transit Asset Management Plan requirements). Additionally, the Compliance & TAM Program Coordinator shall be responsible for ensuring reporting requirements in accordance with both 49 CFR § 625.53 (Recordkeeping for Transit Asset Management) and 49 CFR § 625.55 (Annual Reporting for Transit Assessment Management) are completed. Furthermore, the Accountable Executive shall approve the annual asset performance targets, TAM Plan document and SGR Policy. These required approvals shall be self-certified by the Public Works Director via the annual FTA Certifications and Assurances forms in TrAMS.

## TAM Plan Elements

As a Tier II transportation provider, Marty has developed and implemented a TAM Plan containing the following elements:

- (1) Asset Inventory Portfolio: An inventory of the number and type of capital assets to include Rolling Stock.
- (2) Asset Condition Assessment: A condition assessment of those inventoried assets for which the County has direct ownership and capital responsibility.
- (3) Decision Support Tools & Management Approach: A description of the analytical processes and decision-support tools that the County uses to estimate capital investment needs over time and develop its investment prioritization.
- (4) Investment Prioritization: Marty's project-based prioritization of investments, developed in accordance with § 625.53.

## Definitions

Accountable Executive: Means a single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the safety management system of the public transit agency; responsibility for carrying out transit asset management practices; and control or direction over human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the agency's public transit agency safety plan, in accordance with 49 U.S. Code § 5329 (d), and the agency's transit asset management plan in accordance with 49 U.S. Code § 5326.

Asset Category: Means a grouping of asset classes, including a grouping of equipment, a grouping of rolling stock, a grouping of infrastructure, and a grouping of facilities.

Asset Class: Means a subgroup of capital assets within an asset category. For example, buses, trolleys, and cutaway vans are all asset classes within the rolling stock asset category.

Asset Inventory: Means a register of capital assets, and information about those assets.

Capital Asset: Means a unit of rolling stock, a facility, a unit of equipment, or an element of infrastructure used for providing public transit.

Decision Support Tool: Means an analytic process or methodology: (1) To help prioritize projects to improve and maintain the state of good repair of capital assets within a public transportation system, based on available condition data and objective criteria; or (2) To assess financial needs for asset investments over time.

Direct Recipient: Means an entity that receives Federal financial assistance directly from the Federal Transit Administration.

Equipment: Means an article of nonexpendable, tangible property having a useful life of at least one year.

Exclusive-Use Maintenance Facility: Means a maintenance facility that is not commercial and either owned by a transit provider or used for servicing their vehicles.

Facility: Means a building or structure that is used in providing public transportation.

Full Level of Performance: Means the objective standard established by FTA for determining whether a capital asset is in a state of good repair.

Horizon Period: Means the fixed period of time within which a transit provider will evaluate the performance of its TAM Plan. FTA standard horizon period is four years.

Implementation Strategy: Means a transit provider's ranking of capital projects or programs to achieve or maintain a state of good repair. An investment prioritization is based on financial resources from all sources that a transit provider reasonably anticipates will be available over the TAM plan horizon period.

Infrastructure: Means a transit provider's ranking of capital projects or programs to achieve or maintain a state of good repair. An investment prioritization is based on financial resources from all sources that a transit provider reasonably anticipates will be available over the TAM plan horizon period.

Investment Prioritization: Means a transit provider's ranking of capital projects or programs to achieve or maintain a state of good repair. An investment prioritization is based on financial resources from all sources that a transit provider reasonably anticipates will be available over the TAM plan horizon period.

Key Asset Management Activities: Means the cost of managing an asset over its whole life.

Life-Cycle Cost: Means the cost of managing an asset over its whole life.

Participant: Means a tier II provider that participates in a group TAM plan.

Performance Measure: Means an expression based on a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess progress toward meeting the established targets (e.g., a measure for on-time performance is the percent of trains that arrive on time, and a corresponding quantifiable indicator of performance or condition is an arithmetic difference between scheduled and actual arrival time for each train).

Performance Target: Means a quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for the measure, to be achieved within a time period required by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

Public Transportation System: Means the entirety of a transit provider's operations, including the services provided through contractors.

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan: Means a transit providers documented comprehensive agency safety plan that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329.

Recipient: Means an entity that receives Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, either directly from FTA or as a sub recipient.

Rolling Stock: Means a revenue vehicle used in providing public transportation, including vehicles used for carrying passengers on fare-free services.

Service Vehicle: Means a unit of equipment that is used primarily either to support maintenance and repair work for a public transportation system or for delivery of materials, equipment, or tools.

State of Good Repair: (SGR): Means the condition in which a capital asset is able to operate at a full level of performance.

Sub recipient: Means an entity that receives Federal transit grant funds indirectly through a State or a direct recipient.

TERM Scale: Means the five (5) category rating system used in the Federal Transit Administration's Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM) to describe the condition of an asset: 5.0 – Excellent, 4.0 – Good, 3.0 – Adequate, 2.0 – Marginal, and 1.0 – Poor.

Tier I Provider: Means a recipient that owns, operates, or manages either (1) one hundred and one (101) or more vehicles in revenue service during peak regular service across all fixed route modes or in any one non-fixed route mode, or (2) rail transit.

Tier II Provider: Means a recipient that owns, operates, or manages (1) one hundred (100) or fewer vehicles in revenue service during peak regular service across all non-rail fixed route modes or in any one non-fixed route mode, (2) a sub recipient under the 5311 Rural Area Formula Program, (3) or any American Indian Tribe.

Transit Asset Management (TAM): Means the strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles, for the purpose of providing safe, cost-effective, and reliable public transportation.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan: Means a plan that includes an inventory of capital assets, a condition assessment of inventoried assets, a decision support tool, and a prioritization of investments.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) Strategy: Means the approach a transit provider takes to carry out its policy for TAM including its objectives and performance targets.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) System: Means a strategic and systematic process of operating, maintaining, and improving public transportation capital assets effectively, throughout the life cycle of those assets.

Transit Provider (provider): Means a recipient or sub recipient of Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 that owns, operates, or manages capital assets used in providing public transportation.

Useful life: Means either the expected life cycle of a capital asset or the acceptable period of use in a service determined by FTA.

Useful life benchmark (ULB): Means the expected life cycle or the acceptable period of use in service for a capital asset, as determined by a transit provider, or the default benchmark provided by FTA.

### State of Good Repair (SGR) Standards Policy

A capital asset is in a state of good repair (SGR) when each of the following objective standards are met:

- (1) If the asset is in a condition sufficient for the asset to operate at a full level of performance. An individual capital asset may operate at a full level of performance regardless of whether or not other capital assets within a public transit system are in a SGR.
- (2) The asset is able to perform its manufactured design function.
- (3) The use of the asset in its current condition does not pose an identified unacceptable safety risk and/or deny accessibility.
- (4) The assets life-cycle investment needs have been met or recovered, including all scheduled maintenance, rehabilitation, and replacements (ULB).

The TAM Plan allows Marty to predict the impact of its policies and investment justification decisions on the condition of its assets throughout the asset's life cycle and enhances the ability to maintain an SGR by proactively investing in an asset before the asset's condition deteriorates to an unacceptable level.

Marty shall establish annual TAM goals, which are separate from annual SGR performance goals, based on tangible criteria related to asset performance. TAM goals include monitoring the following criteria: (Table 1.1):

- Safety risks: Number of accidents per 100,000 revenue miles by mode  
$$(Number \text{ of } accidents \times 100,000 \text{ VRM}) / Actual \text{ Annual VRM}$$
- System reliability: On-time performance by mode
- Maintenance resources: Number of vehicles out of service for 30 or more days, by mode
- System performance: Missed runs due to major breakdown as a percentage of total runs by mode

Table 1.1

**MARTY Annual TAM Goals**

Criteria	Measure	FY24 Actual	FY25 Goal	FY25 Actual
Safety Risks	Number of accidents per 100,000 revenue miles by mode (MB)	1	1	TBD
Safety Risks	Number of accidents per 100,000 revenue miles by mode (DR)	0	1	TBD
Safety Risks	Number of accidents per 100,000 revenue miles by mode (CB)	1	1	TBD
Safety Risks	Number of facility-related incidents involving employees or customers	0	0	TBD
System Reliability	On-time performance (MB)	63%	92%	TBD
System Reliability	On-time performance (DR)	96%	92%	TBD
System Reliability	On-time performance (CB)	44%	92%	TBD
Maintenance Resources	Number of Vehicles out of service for 30 or more days by mode (MB)	1	1	TBD
Maintenance Resources	Number of Vehicles out of service for 30 or more days by mode (DR)	0	0	TBD
Maintenance Resources	Number of Vehicles out of service for 30 or more days by mode (CB)	0	0	TBD
System Performance	Missed runs due to major breakdown, as a percentage of total runs by mode (MB)	0	<6	TBD
System Performance	Missed runs due to major breakdown, as a percentage of total runs by mode (DR)	0	<6	TBD
System Performance	Missed runs due to major breakdown, as a percentage of total runs by mode (CB)	0	<6	TBD

TAM Plan implementation and monitoring provides a framework for maintaining an SGR by considering the condition of assets in relation to the local operating environment. Marty has developed its SGR policies to account for the prevention, preservation, maintenance, inspection, rehabilitation, disposal, and replacement of capital assets. The goal of these policies is to allow Marty to determine and predict the cost to improve asset conditions(s) at various stages of the asset life cycle, while balancing prioritization of capital, operating and expansion needs. The two foundational criteria of SGR performance measures are *Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)* and *Condition*.

#### Useful Life Benchmark

The Useful Life Benchmark (ULB) is defined as the expected lifecycle of a capital asset for a particular transit provider's operating environment, or the acceptable period of use in service for a particular transit provider's operating environment. ULB criteria are user defined, whereas ULB considers a provider's unique operating environment (service frequency, weather, geography). When developing Useful Life Benchmark's (ULB), the County recognized and considered the local operating environment of its assets within the service area, historical maintenance records, manufacturer guidelines, and the default asset ULB derived from the FTA. In most cases, if an asset exceeds its ULB, then it is a strong indicator that it may not be in a state of good repair.

For the purposes of this TAM Plan, Marty utilizes FTA ULB measures for transit assets and rolling stock financed with Federal funding. (Table 1.2 through Table 1.4). The FTA vehicle replacement and facilities lifecycles specifically those standards found in FTA Circular 5010.1E, IV-24: *Recipients of federal assistance must specify the expected minimum useful life in invitations for bids when acquiring new or replacement vehicles*. FTA guidelines for Minimum Useful Life are as follows:

Table 1.2

### Minimum Service-life categories for Buses and Vans

Category	Typical Characteristics				Minimum Life	
	Length	Approx. GVW	Seats	Average Cost	(Whichever comes first)	
					Years	Miles
Heavy-Duty Large Bus	35 to 48 ft and 60 ft artic.	33,000 to 40,000	27 to 40	\$325,000 to over \$600,000	12	500,000
Heavy-Duty Small Bus	30 ft	26,000 to 33,000	26 to 35	\$200,000 to \$325,000	10	350,000
Medium-Duty and Purpose-Built Bus	30 ft	16,000 to 26,000	22 to 30	\$75,000 to \$175,000	7	200,000
Light-Duty Mid-Sized Bus	25 to 35 ft	10,000 to 16,000	16 to 25	\$50,000 to \$65,000	5	150,000
Light-Duty Small Bus, Cutaways, and Modified Van	16 to 28 ft	6,000 to 14,000	10 to 22	\$30,000 to \$40,000	4	100,000

NTD Maximum useful life is determined by years of service or accumulation of miles whichever comes first, by asset type as follows (Table 1.3):

Table 1.3

<b>Vehicle Type</b>	<b>Default ULB (in years)</b>
AB Articulated bus	14
AG Automated guideway vehicle	31
AO Automobile	8
BR Over-the-road bus	14
BU Bus	14
CC Cable car	112
CU Cutaway bus	10
DB Double decked bus	14
FB Ferryboat	42
HR Heavy rail passenger car	31
IP Inclined plane vehicle	56
LR Light rail vehicle	31
MO Monorail vehicle	31
MV Minivan	8
RL Commuter rail locomotive	39
RP Commuter rail passenger coach	39
RS Commuter rail self-propelled passenger car	39
SB School bus	14
Steel wheel vehicles	25
SR Streetcar	31
SV Sport utility vehicle	8
TB Trolleybus	13
Trucks and other rubber tire vehicles	14
TR Aerial tramway	12
VN Van	8
VT Vintage trolley	58



## MARTY Asset Useful Life Benchmarks

Table 1.4

Asset Count	Asset Classification	Asset Item	NTD Max ULB* Years	FTA Min ULB* Years
14	Rolling Stock: Revenue Vehicles, Fixed-Route - 30ft	Gillig, Low Floor Diesel Bus	14	12
5	Rolling Stock: Revenue Vehicles, Paratransit - 23ft	Ford Paratransit	8	7
3	Rolling Stock: Non-Revenue Service Vehicle	Chevy Cruze	8	4
1	Rolling Stock: Non-Revenue Service Vehicle	Chevy 1500	8	4
1	Rolling Stock: Non-Revenue Service Vehicle	Chevy Equinox	8	4
1	Facility: Maintenance	Slater Street Building	40	40

### FY24 Fixed-route Rolling Stock Report

Bus #	Vehicle Year	Make/Model	Date in Revenue Service	Current Date	FTA ULB	Actual Service (years)	Remaining Years	Actual Mileage	Minimum Useful Life	Remaining Mileage
50	2015	Gillig 30'Bus	10/1/2015	9/30/2024	12	9.005	2.995	395091	500,000	104,909
51	2016	Gillig 30'Bus	10/19/2016	9/30/2024	12	7.953	4.047	292067	500,000	207,933
52	2016	Gillig 30'Bus	12/1/2016	9/30/2024	12	7.836	4.164	365006	500,000	134,994
53	2017	Gillig 30'Bus	5/3/2017	9/30/2024	12	7.416	4.584	305249	500,000	194,751
54	2017	Gillig 30'Bus	12/1/2017	9/30/2024	12	6.836	5.164	357716	500,000	142,284
55	2017	Gillig 30'Bus	12/18/2017	9/30/2024	12	6.789	5.211	347768	500,000	152,232
56	2017	Gillig 30'Bus	1/9/2018	9/30/2024	12	6.729	5.271	347289	500,000	152,711
57	2018	Gillig 30'Bus	5/4/2018	9/30/2024	12	6.414	5.586	356916	500,000	143,084
58	2018	Gillig 30'Bus	5/4/2018	9/30/2024	12	6.414	5.586	399160	500,000	100,840
59	2018	Gillig 30'Bus	10/4/2018	9/30/2024	12	5.995	6.005	266373	500,000	233,627
60	2018	Gillig 30'Bus	4/30/2019	9/30/2024	12	5.425	6.575	360866	500,000	139,134
61	2019	Gillig 30'Bus	2/27/2020	9/30/2024	12	4.595	7.405	187612	500,000	312,388
62	2019	Gillig 30'Bus	4/9/2020	9/30/2024	12	4.479	7.521	188559	500,000	311,441
63	2020	Gillig 30'Bus	12/2/2020	9/30/2024	12	3.830	8.170	237175	500,000	262,825

**FY24 Paratransit Vehicle Mileage Report**

Bus #	Acquisition Year	Asset Owner	Asset Class	Make	ID/Serial No.	Vehicle Mileage
7	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62850	34607
8	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62778	37255
9	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62779	37645
10	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62812	43666
11	2019	MCBOCC	CU - Cutaway Bus	TURTLETOP	62780	30439

**FY24 Non-Rev Service Vehicle Mileage Report**

Vehicle #	Vehicle Year	Vehicle Make	Mileage	Value	Age (Current year minus vehicle year)
60370	2017	Chevy Cruze	44486	\$39,386	7
60371	2017	Chevy Cruze	38192	\$31,099	7
61928	2018	Chevy Cruze	46342	\$35,932	6
61436	2017	Chevy P/U Truck	60505	\$48,435	7
64126	2022	Chevy Equinox	25521	\$10,167	2

### Condition Assessment

The physical condition of an asset is rated as an SGR performance measure because it is a direct reflection of its ability to perform its intended function. As part of the TAM Plan SGR Standards, the County requires each vehicular asset and facility meeting FTA TAM Plan criteria to have a physical condition assessment conducted on an annual basis, where applicable. The condition assessments use a rating scale to rate the current physical appearance, maintenance requirements, safety, and accessibility of an asset, “as it currently sits”. See Section 3 for more on condition assessments.

### SGR Performance Measures & Targets

SGR performance measures combine the measure of ULB and physical condition to create a performance measure from which asset performance targets can be derived on an annual basis. These performance measures are directly related to asset lifecycle (ULB & condition) and maintenance needs. By the time an asset meets or exceeds its assigned ULB, it should have reached its prescribed mileage, maintenance, and condition requirements. Further information related to SGR targets can be found in Section 6. FTA-defined SGR performance measures include:

- Rolling Stock: (Age) The SGR performance measure for rolling stock is the percentage of revenue vehicles (fixed route & paratransit) within a particular asset class that have either met or exceeded their ULB.
- Rolling Stock (non-revenue service vehicles): (Age) The SGR performance measure for non-revenue, support-service and maintenance vehicles is the percentage of those vehicles that have either met or exceeds their ULB.
- Facilities: (Condition) The SGR performance measure for facilities is the percentage of facilities within an asset class, rated below condition 3 on the FTA rating scale.

## SECTION 2: ASSET INVENTORY PORTFOLIO

The capital asset items shown in that Marty owns, operates, and has direct capital responsibility, as well as contractor owned and operated are also included in the TAM Plan asset inventory, are comprised of:  
Rolling Stock, Equipment, and Facilities (Table 2.1)

### MARTY TAM Asset Inventory Summary: FY2024, Authority owned with direct Capital Responsibility

**Table 2.1**  
**Asset Inventory Summary**

Revenue Vehicles	Total Count	Avg Age (years)	Avg Mileage	Average Value
BU- Bus	14	6.4	314,775	\$405,026.0
CU- Cutaway Bus	5	6	36,772	\$89,229.0
Equipment	Total Count	Avg Age	Avg Mileage	Average Value
Non-Revenue/Service Automobile	4	5.8	38,635	\$16,548.0
Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	1	7.1	60,505	\$21,019.0
Facilities	Total Count	Avg Age	Avg Mileage	Average Value
Maintenance	1	40.0	N/A	N/A

### Rolling Stock

Rolling stock is either a Marty-owned or a contractor owned, and operated vehicle used in the provision of public transportation, and includes vehicles used for support services. The following required data fields are maintained for each rolling stock asset (public transit vehicle):

External Vehicle	Asset Tag #
Asset Description	Classification
Vehicle Type	Last Maintenance Performed
Vehicle Title Ownership	Expected Useful Life
Mileage	Expected Useful Miles
VIN Number	Useful Life Benchmark (UBL)
Manufacturer	Anticipated Replacement or
Rehab Year	Year Built/In Service Date/Age
License Plate	Gross Vehicle Weight
Reported Condition Assessment	Vehicle Features
Purchase Cost	Capacity
Purchase Date	Length of Vehicle
Seating/Standing/Wheelchair	Current Status of Vehicle
Purchase Status (New/Used)	Storage Location
Purchase Source (Dealer/Vendor)	Disposition Date, Cost & Buyer
Grant Source Used to Purchase	Grant Number
Make/Model	Fuel Type
SGR Status	

Marty operates three modes of public transportation service, Fixed Route, Commuter Bus, and ADA Paratransit. The Fixed Route and Commuter bus service fleet inventory consists of 30' Gillig low floor diesel buses. The ADA paratransit fleet, 23' Ford Turtle Top E350's (Table 2.2).

## Revenue Vehicle Inventory

Table 2.2

### Fixed Route/Commuter

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Make	Model	ID/Serial Count No.	Asset Owner	Acquisition Year	Vehicle Mileage	Replacement Cost/Value
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (50)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 59165	MCBOCC	2015	395,091.00	\$ 380,740.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (51)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 60039	MCBOCC	2016	292,067.00	\$ 392,643.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (52)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 60271	MCBOCC	2016	365,006.00	\$ 392,964.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (53)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 61412	MCBOCC	2016	305,249.00	\$ 399,826.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (54)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 61604	MCBOCC	2017	357,716.00	\$ 407,425.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (55)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 61605	MCBOCC	2017	347,768.00	\$ 407,425.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (56)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 61603	MCBOCC	2017	347,289.00	\$ 407,425.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (57)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 61718	MCBOCC	2018	356,916.00	\$ 409,597.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (58)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 61835	MCBOCC	2018	399,160.00	\$ 409,597.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (59)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 62003	MCBOCC	2018	266,373.00	\$ 410,105.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (60)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 62004	MCBOCC	2018	360,866.00	\$ 410,105.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (61)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 62871	MCBOCC	2019	187,612.00	\$ 410,105.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (62)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 62872	MCBOCC	2019	188,559.00	\$ 410,105.00
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (63)	Gillig	Low Floor	1 63383	MCBOCC	2020	237,175.00	\$ 422,304.00

### Paratransit

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Make	Model	ID/Serial Count No.	Asset Owner	Acquisition Year	Vehicle Mileage	Replacement Cost/Value
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bl 23' Bus (7)		TURTLETOP		1 62850	MCBOCC	2019	34607	\$ 89,229.00
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bl 23' Bus (8)		TURTLETOP		1 62778	MCBOCC	2019	37255	\$ 89,229.00
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bl 23' Bus (9)		TURTLETOP		1 62779	MCBOCC	2019	37645	\$ 89,229.00
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bl 23' Bus (10)		TURTLETOP		1 62812	MCBOCC	2019	43666	\$ 89,229.00
RevenueVehicles	U - Cutaway Bl 23' Bus (11)		TURTLETOP		1 62780	MCBOCC	2019	30439	\$ 89,229.00

### Equipment:

Equipment evaluated per FTA requirements in the TAM Plan, is all non-revenue vehicles regardless of value, and any County-owned equipment with a cost of \$50,000 or less in acquisition value. Equipment includes non-revenue service vehicles that are primarily used to support maintenance and repair work for a public transportation system, supervisory work, or for the delivery of materials, equipment, or tools. Marty does not utilize or operate any third-party non-revenue service vehicle equipment assets.

### Equipment: Non-Revenue Service Vehicles

Marty operates 5 non-revenue service vehicles in its daily operations (Table 2.3). Three Chevy Cruzes are primarily used for Driver exchanges. One Chevy Equinox is used for road Supervising. One pick-up truck is used for maintenance – related road calls.

The following required data fields are maintained for each non-revenue service vehicle equipment asset:

External Vehicle	Asset Tag #
Asset Description	Classification
Vehicle Type	Last Maintenance Performed
Vehicle Title Ownership	Expected Useful Life
Mileage	Expected Useful Miles
VIN Number	Useful Life Benchmark (UBL)
Manufacturer	Anticipated Replacement or
Rehab Year	Year Built/In Service Date/Age
License Plate	SGR Status
Reported Condition Assessment	Gross Vehicle Weight
Purchase Cost	Vehicle Features
Purchase Date	Capacity
Seating/Standing/Wheelchair	Book Value
Purchase Status (New/Used)	Length of Vehicle
Purchase Source (Dealer/Vendor)	Current Status of Vehicle
Fuel Type	Storage Location
Make/Model	Disposition Date, Cost & Buyer
Grant Source Used to Purchase	Grant Number

Equipment: At or over \$50,000 in Acquisition Value

Currently, Marty has no equipment in this category

#### MARTY Equipment Inventory

Table 2.3

Asset Category	Asset Class	Make	Model	ID/Serial No.	Acquisition Year	Replacement Cost/Value
Equipment	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	Chevrolet	1500	61436	2017	\$21,019.00
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Chevrolet	Cruze	60370	2017	\$16,936.00
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Chevrolet	Cruze	61928	2018	\$15,772.00
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Chevrolet	Cruze	60371	2017	\$16,936.00
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Chevrolet	Equinox	64126	2022	\$21,566.00

## Facilities

Marty currently utilizes 1, third-party leased facility for exclusive use for maintenance of the Marty vehicles as well as other support functions. The following required data fields are maintained for each facility asset:

Asset Ownership	Build Cost
Asset Description/Name	Purchase Date
Physical Location/Address	In-Service Date
Asset Tag #	Purchase Status (New/Used)
External ID	Expected Useful Life
Classification	Land Owner
Asset Type	Building Owner
Status	Facility Size
Age/Year Built	Section of Larger Facility
Reported Condition	Percent Operational
Last Maintenance	Number of Structures
Book Value	Number of Floors
Rehabilitation Year	Number of Elevators or Escalator
Replacement Year	Number of Parking Spaces
Grant Source Used	(Public, Private, ADA)
Vendor/Builder	Line Number
FTA Facility Classification	Features & Amenities (ADA)
Interior (Sq. Ft)	Disposition Date, Cost & Buyer
Lot Size	Grant Number
SGR Status	

### **Marty Facility TAM Plan**

Table 2.4

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	ID/Serial Count No.	Asset Owner	Replacement Cost/Value leased by
Facilities	Maintenance	Slater St Maint Cntr	1	1 Private	3rd party 0

### SECTION 3: ASSET CONDITION ASSESSMENT

Marty will assess the condition of its assets on an annual basis by utilizing both a visual and physical condition rating assessment scale (Table 3.1). This rating scale assigns a numerical value or rank based on the visual and/or physical condition(s) presented by each individual asset throughout its life cycle. The rating scale is based on numbers 1 to 5, with (5) being new and (1) being poor. Assets with a rating of 2.5 or higher are in SGR. All completed asset inspection forms are documented.

#### Rolling Stock

The TAM Plan Rolling Stock condition assessment consists of assigning a condition rating to all rolling stock assets for which County owns and has a direct capital responsibility. The condition assessments ranking is not conducted in the TAM Plan for rolling stock assets for which the County does not own, the rolling stock asset is owned by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party, and/or where the County does not have a direct capital responsibility for the rolling stock asset. However, for the purposes of NTD reporting (Inventory & Condition Submittal), all County owned, and 3<sup>rd</sup> party owned rolling stock assets (regardless of direct capital responsibility) are assigned an asset condition rating. Currently the County owns 28 vehicles, has a true lease for 23 vehicles 5 of which are used for its paratransit service.

The fixed route, Paratransit vehicles, Commuter bus rolling stock condition assessment can be found on (Table 3.2).

Table 3.1

TERM	Rating	Condition	Description
Excellent	4.8–5.0	No visible defects, near-new condition.	
Good	4.0–4.7	Some slightly defective or deteriorated components.	
Adequate	3.0–3.9	Moderately defective or deteriorated components.	
Marginal	2.0–2.9	Defective or deteriorated components in need of replacement.	
Poor	1.0–1.9	Seriously damaged components in need of immediate repair.	

### MARTY Vehicle Condition Rating Report FY24

Table 3.2

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	ID/Serial No.	Age (Yrs)	Replacement Cost/Value	Default Useful Life Benchmark (Yrs)	Past Useful Life Benchmark	Condition Assessment Score
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (50)	59165	9.0	\$ 380,740.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (51)	60039	8.0	\$ 392,643.00	14	No	4
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (52)	60271	7.8	\$ 392,964.00	14	No	4
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (53)	61412	7.4	\$ 399,826.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (54)	61604	6.8	\$ 407,425.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (55)	61605	6.8	\$ 407,425.00	14	No	4
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (56)	61603	6.7	\$ 407,425.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (57)	61718	6.4	\$ 409,597.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (58)	61835	6.4	\$ 409,597.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (59)	62003	6.0	\$ 410,105.00	14	No	4
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (60)	62004	5.4	\$ 410,105.00	14	No	4.8
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (61)	62871	4.6	\$ 422,978.00	14	No	4.8
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (62)	62872	4.5	\$ 422,978.00	14	No	4.8
RevenueVehicles	BU - Bus	30' Bus (63)	63383	3.8	\$ 422,304.00	14	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (7)	62850	7.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (8)	62778	7.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (9)	62779	6.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (10)	62812	7.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5
RevenueVehicles	CU - Cutaway Bus	23' Bus (11)	62780	2.0	\$ 89,229.00	7	No	4.5

#### Equipment: Non-Revenue Service Vehicles

The TAM Plan Equipment condition assessment consists of assigning a physical condition rating to equipment that is either a non-revenue service vehicle or a non-vehicle equipment asset with an acquisition value of \$50,000 or more (individual line item or group). Furthermore, the equipment condition assessment contains only assets for which the County owns and has a direct capital responsibility.

A condition assessment ranking is not conducted in the TAM Plan for equipment assets which the County does not own, is owned by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party, the equipment has an acquisition cost below \$50,000 (individual line item or group), or where the County does not have direct capital responsibility.

However, for the purposes of NTD reporting (Inventory & Condition Submittal), all County owned equipment (with direct capital responsibility) that is a non-revenue service vehicle are reported (Table 3.3). Currently, the County does not own any non-revenue service vehicles or non-vehicle equipment assets with an acquisition cost at or above \$50,000.

### **MARTY Equipment Condition Report FY23**

Table 3.3

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Count	ID/Serial Number	Replacement Cost/Value	ULB	Post ULB
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Safety Vehicle	1	60370	\$ 16,936.00	8	No
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Safety Vehicle	1	60371	\$ 16,936.00	8	No
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Safety Vehicle	1	61928	\$ 15,772.00	8	No
Equipment	Non Revenue/Service Automobile	Safety Vehicle	1	61436	\$ 21,019.00	8	No
Equipment	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	Pickup Truck	1	64126	\$ 21,566.00	8	No

### Facilities

The TAM Plan Facilities condition assessment consists of assigning a physical condition rating, based on the FTA TERM Scale, to all facility assets for which Marty owns and has a direct capital responsibility. A condition assessment ranking is not conducted in the TAM Plan for facility assets for which Marty does not own the asset, the facility asset is owned by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party, and/or where Marty does not have direct capital responsibility for the facility.

However, for the purposes of NTD reporting (Inventory & Condition), all Marty owned, and 3<sup>rd</sup> party owned facility assets (regardless of direct capital responsibility) are included in the Facility Asset Inventory (Table 3.4). Only County owned facility assets with a direct capital responsibility are assigned a facility asset condition rating. Currently, Marty does not have direct responsibility for exclusive use facilities.

Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Count	ID/Serial No	Age (Years)	TERM Scale Condition	Replacement Cost/Value
Asset Category	Asset Class	Asset Name	Count	ID/Serial No	Age (Years)	TERM Scale Condition	Replacement Cost/Value
Facilities	Maintenance	Slater Street, Maint Center	1	1	39	5	

## SECTION 4: DECISION SUPPORT TOOLS & MANAGEMENT APPROACH

Sections 4 & 5 of this document are interrelated and detail the process and tools used to manage the lifecycle planning of capital public transit assets. Marty staff within the planning and operations departments utilizes the following management practices, policies and technology throughout the lifecycle of an asset.

### Decision Support Tools:

The following analytical process is in place to support investment decision-making, including project selection and prioritization (Table 4.1). Written policy manuals, bus replacement spreadsheets, and electronic software IPS are utilized for asset lifecycle management, and investment planning. An explanation of the decision tools can be found in (Table 4.2).

### MARTY TAM Decision Support & Capital Asset Investment Planning Process

Table 4.1

- 1 Semi-annual management meeting to assess performance and set goals. (Maintenance, Operations, IT, Finance/Grants, Procurement, Executive)
- 2 Review needs based on safety deficiencies, asset ULB, agency capacity, customer demand, maintenance needs, IT security needs, and other data.
- 3 Prioritize projects based on funding availability
- 4 Development of Asset Investment priority list to report for Program of Projects.
- 5 Contract advertising RFP and award process
- 6 Board approval for approved RFP awards
- 7 Placement on TIP/STIP
- 8 Project/ Program Implementation and Monitoring

Project Year	Project Name	Asset Class	Cost	Priority
2025	N/A			
2026	N/A			
2027	Diesel Bus Acquisition (1)	Revenue Vehicles	\$600,000.00	High

## MARTY TAM Decision Support Tools

Table 4.2

### Documents

Fleet Management and Maintenance Plan

### Description

MARTY's Maintenance Plan details all policies and procedures related to the Authority-owned vehicles. It includes: maintenance department responsibilities, PM schedules, work order process, vendor contracts and inspection needs.

Procurement Manual

The Procurement Procedure Manual lists all FTA purchasing policies, contract/bidding requirements and regulations, asset purchasing procedures, and asset disposal procedures.

TAM Plan

MARTY's Transit Asset Plan is a document containing a business model that uses the condition of assets (facility, rolling stock and equipment) used in the provision of providing public transportation to help guide the optimal prioritization of funding in order to keep the agencies transit system in a State of Good Repair (SGR). The TAM Plan also contains information related to data collection and reporting requirements for the following: Asset Inventory portfolio, Asset Condition assessment (PTMS), Decision Support Tools and Management approach, Investment prioritization list for Program of Projects reporting, and NTD annual reporting.

Capital Plan/List of Priorization of Projects/Programs

The Capital plan lists projects in rank of order on the priority list of projects needed in order to maintain SGR of an asset.

Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The Metropolitan Planning Organization Improvement Program is a list of upcoming transportation projects covering a period of at least four years. The TIP is developed by MARTY's MPO. The TIP includes capital and non-capital surface transportation projects.

### Management Approach to Asset Management:

The primary management approach utilized to maintain SGR is risk mitigation. This management philosophy applies risk mitigation strategies (policies and procedures) throughout the assets life cycle, both from a maintenance perspective (breakdowns) and a safety & accessibility perspective (accidents/ADA requirements).

Throughout each asset's life cycle, Marty shall monitor all assets for unsafe and inaccessible conditions. However, identifying an opportunity to improve the safety of an asset does not necessarily indicate an unsafe condition. When Marty encounters and identifies an unacceptable safety risk associated with an asset, the asset shall be ranked with higher investment prioritization, to the extent practicable.

Marty's risk management philosophy is the proactive approach of identifying future projects and ranking preventative projects with better return on investment higher in the investment prioritization risk. Policies and procedures to mitigate risk are included in the documents presented in (Table 4.3 to 4.7).

Performing an analysis of the asset life cycle at the individual level is just one management approach Marty uses to maintain the SGR. This analysis follows the asset from the time it is purchased, placed in operation, maintained, and ultimately disposed of. The analysis is a snapshot of each asset's current status. The asset lifecycle stages consist of the following strategies:

- TAM Plan – Acquisition & Renewal Strategy (Design/Procurement)
- TAM Plan – Maintenance Strategy (Operate/Maintain/Monitor)
- TAM Plan – Overhaul Strategy (Rebuild)
- TAM Plan – Replacement Strategy (Disposal)
- TAM Plan – Risk Management Strategy (Mitigation)

#### MARTY Asset Management Approach: Acquisition and Renewal Strategy

Table 4.3

**Acquisition and Renewal Strategy:** Describe MARTY's long-term replacement strategy, and how long-term renewal and improvement activities are assessed based on the asset's lifestyle. As applicable, describe any planned changes or improvements to these processes, describing the strategies.

Asset Category	Asset Class	Aquisition and Renewal Strategy
Rolling Stock	BU - Bus	Heavy-duty, Fixed Route vehicles are projected for replacement at 12 years/500,000 miles. Projection begins the day new vehicles are added as an asset.
Rolling Stock	CU - Paratransit Cutaway Van	Paratransit Cutaways are projected for replacement at 5 years 150,000 mile. Projection for replacement begins the day new vehicles are added as an asset.
Equipment - Non revenue vehicles	SUP - Support Vehicles	Replacement of support vehicles is based on ULB and funding availability.
Facility	Administration, Maintence, Transit Stations, Fuel Stations	Facilities are maintained on an annual bases to extend ULB.

## MARTY Asset Management Approach: Maintenance Strategy

Table 4.4

### Maintenance Strategy

Asset Category	Asset Class	Maintenance Activity	Frequency
Rolling Stock	BU - Bus	Wash Vehicles and Wheels	Weekly
		Pre-trip inspection	Daily
		PM Service	Mileage
		SGR Inspection	Annually
		Transmission Inspection	Mileage
		Rear End Inspection	Mileage
		Air Dryer Inspection	Mileage
		Engine Breather Inspection	Mileage
		A/C Inspection	Mileage
		Camera System Inspection	Bi-Monthly
		Farebox inspection	Monthly
		Tire Inspection	Daily
Rolling Stock	CU - Paratransit Cutaway VN - Van	ADA Systems Inspection	Daily/ Monthly
		Wash Vehicles and Wheels	Weekly
		Pre-trip inspection	Daily
		PM Service	Mileage
		SGR Inspection	Annually
		Transmission Inspection	Mileage
		Rear End Inspection	Mileage
		A/C Inspection	Monthly/Quarterly /Annually
		Camera System Inspection	Bi-Monthly
		Farebox inspection	Monthly
Equipment	SUP - Support Vehicles	Tire Inspection	Daily
		ADA Systems Inspection	Daily/ Monthly
		Clean, Wash & Vacuum	Weekly
		Pre-trip inspection	Daily
		Post-trip inspection	Daily
Facilities	Administrative, Maintenance, Transit Stations	PM Service	Mileage
		SGR Inspection	Annually
		Facility and Equipment Inspection: Mission Critical	Daily/ Monthly
		Facility and Equipment Inspection: Mission Critical	Monthly
		Facility and Equipment Inspection: Mission Critical	Annually
		SGR Facility and Equipment Inspection	Annual

## MARTY Asset Management Approach: Overhaul Strategy

Table 4.5

**Overhaul Strategy:** Determine how and when assets get overhauled or replaced. Describe what activities take place during an overhaul. As applicable, describe any planned changes or improvements to these processes.

Asset Category	Asset Class	Acquisition and Renewal Strategy
Rolling Stock	BUS - Bus	
Rolling Stock	CU - Paratransit Cutaway	
	Van	
	VN - Van	
Equipment - Non revenue vehicles	SUP - Support Vehicles	
Facilities	Administration, Maintence, Transit Stations, Fuel Stations	<p>It is MARTY's policy to repair damaged or non-functioning assets and components on an "as needed" basis. MARTY does not overhaul or rehabilitate its assets. Assets are replaced once the following conditions are met: (1) the asset's ULB has been met, (2) the asset is considered a total loss by covering insurance, (3) Complete mechanical failure that is not cost effective to repair.</p>

**MARTY Asset Management Approach: Disposal Strategy**

Table 4.6

**Disposal Strategy:** Describe strategy for disposing of assets to be replaced. Describe the approval process and detail, including procedures for physically removing the asset from the property. As applicable, describe any planned changes or improvements to these processes.

Asset Category	Asset Class	Acquisition and Renewal Strategy
Rolling Stock	BUS - Bus	Buses, once ULB is met or exceeded, are disposed of using the following method: 1) Asset documents are reviewed for remaining book value. If Vehicle has 5,000 or more remaining value, FTA must be reimbursed; 2) Approval received from both FTA and MARTY Board to initiate disposal procedures; 3) Vehicles are placed out to bid, sold directly or scrapped. Advertisements are placed on the Authority website and in both local newspapers; 4) Auctioned Vehicles are sold to the highest bidder; 5) The Authority Maintenance Director creates the asset disposal form for documentation purposes and sent to Finance; 6) The asset is written off the books by the Authority finance department and removed from TAMP tracking; and 6) The buyer/scrap dealer receives title, and removes the vehicle from the property. 7) If disposal is tied to an EPA grant, EPA disposal instructions are followed and submitted as grant requires.
Rolling Stock	CU - Paratransit Cutaway Van VN - Van	Paratransit vans and cutaway vans, once ULB is met or exceeded, are disposed of using the following method: 1) Asset documents are reviewed for remaining book value. If Vehicle has 5,000 or more remaining value, FTA must be reimbursed; 2) Approval received from both FTA and MARTY Board to initiate disposal procedures; 3) Vehicles are placed out to bid, sold directly or scrapped. Advertisements are placed on the Authority website and in both local newspapers; 4) Auctioned Vehicles are sold to the highest bidder; 5) The Authority Maintenance Director creates the asset disposal form for documentation purposes and sent to Finance; 6) The asset is written off the books by the Authority finance department and removed from TAMP tracking; and 6) The buyer/scrap dealer receives title, and removes the vehicle from the property. 7) If disposal is tied to an EPA grant, EPA disposal instructions are followed and submitted as grant requires.
Equipment	Non- Revenue SUP - Support Vehicles Cars/Trucks/Vans	Non-revenue service vehicles, once ULB is met or exceeded, are disposed of using the following method: 1) Asset documents are reviewed for remaining book value. If Vehicle has 5,000 or more remaining value, FTA must be reimbursed; 2) Approval received from both FTA and MARTY Board to initiate disposal procedures; 3) Vehicles are placed out to bid, sold directly or scrapped. Advertisements are placed on the Authority website and in both local newspapers; 4) Auctioned Vehicles are sold to the highest bidder; 5) The Authority Maintenance Director creates the asset disposal form for documentation purposes and sent to Finance; 6) The asset is written off the books by the Authority finance department and removed from TAMP tracking.
Facilities	Administration, Maintenance, Transit Stations, Fuel Stations	Facilities and real-estate, once ULB is met or exceeded or conditions exist to permit a move, facility assets are disposed of using the following method: 1) Approval received from the Authority Board and the FTA to initiate disposal procedures; 2) The facility is inspected and appraised by the 3rd party; 3) Utilizing a real-estate company, the facility is placed up for sale and bid; 4) The facility is sold to the highest bidder, sale is approved by the Authority Board and FTA; 5) The Authority removes all property and vacates the location; 6) The asset is written off the books by the Authority finance department and removed from TAMP tracking; and 7) The highest bidder receives title, and takes ownership of the property.

## MARTY Asset Management Approach: Risk Management Strategy

Table 4.7

**Risk Management:** ID any risks faced to your assets or organization as a whole, and describe the mitigation strategies for each one.

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
<b>Loss of significant Federal/State funding</b>	Increased dependence on Local funding for Capital improvements. Increase maintenance and service activities that are in balance with existing budget. Extend asset ULB, if possible.
<b>Fuel supply chain disruption.</b>	Fuel offsite in partnership with another transit agency, state DOT, municipality, and/or private sector organization.
<b>Parts supply chain disruption.</b>	Partner with regional transit agencies and OEMs to retain parts supply chain.
<b>Catastrophic loss of asset(s) due to natural or man-made disasters and hazards.</b>	Enact MARTY and Catastrophic Loss Plans. Use backup facilities, and reserve vehicles from partner transit agencies.

## SECTION 5: PRORITIZATION LIST OF INVESTMENTS

Marty shall perform an investment prioritization analysis on a semi-annual basis to determine what capital investments are needed and how to maintain SGR. These SGR projects will be ranked in order of implementation priority. The investment prioritization analysis aids Marty in making more informed investment decisions to improve SGR of our capital assets and define when an asset needs overhaul or replacement. The investment prioritization list is a list containing the work plan(s) and schedule(s) of the proposed projects and programs that Marty estimates would achieve its SGR goals, and a ranking of projects and programs based on implementation priority over the TAM Plan horizon period of four (4) years.

Marty will rank selected projects and programs to improve or manage the SGR of capital assets for which Marty has direct capital responsibility. The ranking criteria of projects and programs shall be consistent throughout the TAM Plan. Priority consideration will be given to local projects and programs that: (1) both improve SGR and correct an identified unacceptable safety risk; and (2) take into consideration ADA requirements (49 CFR Part 37) concerning maintenance of accessible features and alteration of transit facilities. Furthermore, when developing an investment prioritization list, Marty shall take into consideration its estimation of funding levels from all sources that it reasonably expects will be available in each fiscal year during the TAM Plan horizon period.

The ranking of investment prioritization programs and projects will be expressed as: *High Priority, Medium Priority, or Low Priority*. Each investment prioritization program or project ranked shall contain a year and/or date in which the Marty intends to carry out the program or project. This output process is a list of ranked projects and programs at the asset class level that identify assets from the asset inventory. Marty's list of prioritized investments can be found on (Table 4.1).

## SECTION 6: ANNUAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS & MEASURES

This section lists the process, data sources, and methodology used in the development of the FTA requirement for Marty to set annual SGR performance targets. As introduced in Section 1, a State of Good Repair (SGR) is a threshold that identifies desired performance condition. Specifically, an asset is in an SGR when the asset can operate at a full level of performance. This means the asset:

1. Can perform its designed function.
2. Does not pose a known and/or unacceptable safety risk (Condition)
3. Lifecycle investments have been met or recovered FTA (ULB)

The FTA has enlisted the use of the following asset performance measure criteria for use in the development of Marty's SGR performance targets (Table 5.1).

Marty will establish one or more performance target(s) for each applicable asset class performance measure on an annual basis for the next fiscal year. The timeline for establishing SGR performance targets & measures are as follows:

*Within three months before the effective date of October 1, 2023, Marty shall set performance targets for the next fiscal year for each asset class included in this TAM Plan. These performance targets shall be established on or by no later than the date of the last Martin County Board of County Commissioners meeting of FY23. TAM Plan updates and adjusted targets shall be established with annual NTD reporting and approved by the Accountable Executive.*

SGR performance targets are based on realistic expectations derived from both the most recent available data (ULB/condition), FTA performance measure criteria, and the financial resources from all sources Marty reasonably expects will be available during the TAM Plan horizon period for capital planning purposes. SGR performance targets for the current fiscal year shall be monitored on a semi-annual basis. The Accountable Executive is required to approve each annual performance target submission to FTA/NTD.

**Table 5.1****MARTY SGR Performance and Targets (2023–2027)**

ASSET CATEGORY PERFORMANCE MEASURE	ASSET CLASS	TARGET 2023	TARGET 2024	TARGET 2025	TARGET 2026	TARGET 2027
<b>REVENUE VEHICLES</b>						
	AB-Articulated Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	AO-Automobile	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR-Over the Road Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BU-Bus	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%
	CU-Cutaway Bus	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	DB-Double Decked Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	FB-Ferryboat	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	MB-Mini-Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	MV-Mini-Van	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	RT-Rubber-tire Vintage Trolley	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	SB-School Bus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	SV-Sport Utility Vehicle	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	TB-Trolleybus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	VN-Van	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>EQUIPMENT</b>						
	Non-Revenue / Service Automobile	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Steel Wheel Vehicles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Custom -1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>FACILITIES</b>						
	Administration	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Maintenance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Parking Structures	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Passenger Facilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Custom -3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## SECTION 7: RECORDKEEPING & NTD REPORTING

Marty shall maintain all supporting TAM Plan records and documents. Marty shall make TAM Plan records available to FEDERAL (FTA), STATE (FDOT, and MPO's entities that provide(s) funding to the Marty, and to aid in the planning process. Marty shall report, on an annual basis, to the FTA's National Transit Database (NTD):

- Inventory of assets.
- SGR performance targets for the next fiscal year.
- Condition inspection assessments and performance measures of capital assets.
- An annual narrative reported to NTD that provides a description of any change in the condition of the Marty transit system or operations from the previous year, and the progress made during the reporting year to meet the performance targets set in the previous reporting year.

Pursuant to NTD requirements, because Marty's fiscal year ends on September 30<sup>th</sup>, annual TAM data reporting to NTD shall be completed by Marty staff by January 31 of each calendar year.

## SECTION 8: UPDATES & CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

The TAM Plan is a “living document” that shall be reviewed on a semi-annual basis, updated, and incorporated in to Marty’s capital and budget planning, and reporting processes. Beginning in FY23, TAM Plan data shall serve as a “baseline” measure for asset performance management. As more data is collected, additional monitoring categories and goals will be included to support condition and reliability-based decision-making.

This TAM Plan shall be updated annually in conjunction with the annual NTD report. It will cover a “horizon period” starting 10/1/2023 to 9/30/2027. Projected Fleet Replacement will change annually as new data is entered into the TAM Plan Template.

## PLAN & PERFORMANCE TARGETS APPROVAL

The TAM Rule requires that the transit provider's accountable executive approve its TAM plan, which includes the performance measure targets. Once approved, the Plan and targets will be transmitted to the Martin Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO).

**Name (Print)**

James Gorton

**Title**

Public Works Director

**Approval (Sign)**


James Gorton

**Date**

4/17/25


Section A - Highway

---



## 4196693 - WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY

Non-SIS



**From:** SR-714/MONTEREY RD  
**To:** SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** PD&E/EMO STUDY  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**Length:** .000  
**LRTP #:** Appendix H, pg.4; pg. 69

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PE	LF	0	0	0	0	256,201	256,201
PE	SU	0	0	0	0	2,191,424	2,191,424
PDE	SU	380,000	0	0	0	0	380,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>380,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,447,625</b>	<b>2,827,625</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 4,950,233


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 7,777,858

**Project Description:** 2022 MPO PRIORITY #9 NEW 2L ROAD; PD&E R/W NEEDED

4226815 - SR-9/I-95 FROM HIGH MEADOW AVE TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE

SIS



**From:** HIGH MEADOW AVE  
**To:** MARTIN/ST.LUCIE COUNTY LINE  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** PD&E/EMO STUDY      **Length:** 10.918  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT      **LRTP #:** Appendix H, pg. 5

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PDE	ACNP	2,100,000	0	0	0	0	2,100,000
PDE	ACFP	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,600,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,600,000</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 3,177,573


Future Cost >2031: 0

**Total Project Cost:** 5,777,573

**Project Description:** PD&E STUDY - WIDEN FROM 6 LANES TO 8 LANES. R/W NOT NEEDED

## 4278035 - MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE &amp; OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM

Non-SIS



From:

To: COUNTYWIDE

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: TRAFFIC SIGNALS

Length: .000

Lead Agency: Martin County

LRTP #: pg. 8, 29

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
OPS	DDR	0	256,694	0	0	0	256,694
OPS	DITS	569,040	346,489	0	0	0	915,529
<b>Total</b>		<b>569,040</b>	<b>603,183</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,172,223</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 2,274,994


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 3,447,217

Project Description: TRAFFIC SIGNALS

## 4383452 - SR-5/US-1 @ JOAN JEFFERSON WAY &amp; SR-5/US-1 @ OCEAN BLVD

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: TRAFFIC SIGNALS

Length: .113

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: Appendix H, pg. 7

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	1,155,325	0	0	1,155,325
CST	DIH	0	0	72,112	0	0	72,112
CST	SA	0	0	452,710	0	0	452,710
CST	SU	0	0	1,260,644	0	0	1,260,644
CST	SM	0	0	523,537	0	0	523,537
ROW	DDR	263,000	555,793	0	0	0	818,793
ROW	DIH	18,000	0	0	0	0	18,000
RRU	DDR	0	3,000	0	0	0	3,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>281,000</b>	<b>558,793</b>	<b>3,464,328</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,304,121</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 818,157


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 5,122,278

**Project Description:** 2022 MPO PRIORITY #11 REPLACE THE SIGNAL MAST ARMS AND PROVIDE ENHANCED PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES AND BACK PLATES WITH VIDEO DETECTION SYSTEM AT SR-5/US-1 AND SW JOAN JEFFERSON, & SR-5/US-1 AND SW OCEAN BLVD INTERSECTIONS. PROVIDE QUEUE DETECTION CAMERA FOR EB TRAFFIC ALONG SW JOAN JEFFERSON WAY. R/W NEEDED. MPO AGREES TO GREEN MAST ARMS.

## 4393285 - MARTIN COUNTY FY 2024/2025/2025/2026 UPWP

Non-SIS



From:

To: N/A

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

Length:

Lead Agency: Martin MPO

LRTP #: Appx. D, pg. 5

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PLN	PL	571,463	0	0	0	0	571,463
<b>Total</b>		<b>571,463</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>571,463</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 903,548


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,475,011

Project Description: FHWA PLANNING (PL) FUNDS

## 4393286 - MARTIN COUNTY FY 2026/2027-2027/2028 UPWP

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

Length:

Lead Agency: Martin MPO

LRTP #: Appx. D, pg. 5

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PLN	PL	0	571,463	571,463	0	0	1,142,926
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>571,463</b>	<b>571,463</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,142,926</b>


Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0

Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,142,926

Project Description: FHWA PLANNING (PL) FUNDS

## 4393287 - MARTIN COUNTY UPWP FY 2028/2029-2029/2030



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

Length:

Lead Agency: Martin MPO

LRTP #:

Appx. D, pg. 5

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PLN	PL	0	0	0	571,463	571,463	1,142,926
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>571,463</b>	<b>571,463</b>	<b>1,142,926</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,142,926

Project Description:

## 4416363 - SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING

SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: PTO STUDIES

Length: 0

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: Appx. H, pg. 5

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	0	0	6,798,943	6,798,943
CST	DIH	0	0	0	0	430,005	430,005
CST	SIWR	0	0	0	0	41,695,234	41,695,234
ROW	DDR	0	0	2,287,098	0	0	2,287,098
ROW	DIH	0	0	252,000	0	0	252,000
ROW	DPTO	0	0	13,054,045	0	0	13,054,045
PE	DIH	90,000	120,000	0	0	0	210,000
PE	DS	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
PE	SIWR	0	9,931,699	0	0	0	9,931,699
<b>Total</b>		<b>590,000</b>	<b>10,051,699</b>	<b>15,593,143</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>48,924,182</b>	<b>75,159,024</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 75,159,024

Project Description:

## 4416991 - CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY

Non-SIS



**From:** I-95  
**To:** CR-714/MARTIN HWY  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT  
**Length:** 2.67  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**LRTP #:** Appendix H, pg. 4; pg. 69

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ROW	CM	0	0	0	124,160	0	124,160
ROW	SU	0	0	0	1,394,888	1,545,773	2,940,661
PE	SU	404,689	0	0	0	0	404,689
PE	ACSU	1,511,356	0	0	0	0	1,511,356
PE	ACPR	415,600	0	0	0	0	415,600
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,331,645</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,519,048</b>	<b>1,545,773</b>	<b>5,396,466</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 1,823,349

**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 7,219,815

**Project Description:** 2022 MPO PRIORITY #10 WIDEN FROM 2 LANES TO 4 LANES R/W NEEDED

## 4417001 - COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1

Non-SIS



From:	SR-76/KANNER HWY						
To:	SR-5/US-1						
Section:	A - Highway						
Work Summary:	PD&E/EMO STUDY	Length:	3.23				
Lead Agency:	FDOT	LRTP #:	Appendix H, pg. 4				
Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ROW	SA	0	684,000	6,573,000	0	0	7,257,000
PE	SU	901,639	0	0	0	0	901,639
PE	ACSU	564,352	0	0	0	0	564,352
PE	ACPR	25,760	0	0	0	0	25,760
Total		1,491,751	684,000	6,573,000	0	0	8,748,751


Prior Cost &lt;2026: 6,511,581

Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 15,260,332

Project Description: 2023 MPO PRIORITY #1 WIDEN FROM 2 TO 4 LANES NO R/W NEEDED

## 4419951 - MARTIN MAINLINE WEIGH IN MOTION (WIM) SCREENING



From:

To: (EAST SIDE OF I-95)

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: MCCO WEIGH STATION STATIC/WIM

Length: 1.702

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: pg. A-3

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DWS	0	0	4,455,850	0	0	4,455,850
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,455,850</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,455,850</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 4,455,850

Project Description:

## 4435002 - FROM SE OSPREY ST TO SE GOMEZ AVE (FROM US-1 TO SEABRANCH STATE PARK)

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: BIKE PATH/TRAIL

Length:

Lead Agency: Responsible Agency Not Available

LRTP #:

pg. 21 (Environmental and Equity Goal)

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	TLWR	0	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 400,000


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,900,000

Project Description:

## 4435051 - SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE

Non-SIS



**From:** SE BRIDGE RD.  
**To:** HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** BIKE PATH/TRAIL  
**Length:** 1.93  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**LRTP #:** pg. 21 (Environmental and Equity Goal)

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	0	77,798	0	77,798
CST	DIH	113,260	0	0	0	0	113,260
CST	TLWR	0	0	0	7,151,999	0	7,151,999
<b>Total</b>		<b>113,260</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,229,797</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,343,057</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 1,992,082


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 9,335,139

**Project Description:** SUNTRAIL NAME IS: MARTIN COUNTY US-1 SHARED USE PATH.

4444151 - SR-5/US-1 AT BAKER RD

## Non-SIS



From

To

**Section:** A - Highway

## Work Summary: INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT

**Length:** .0

**Lead Agency:** FDOT

LRTP #: Appx. D, pg.42

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SA	0	828,081	0	0	0	828,081
CST	SU	0	833,905	0	0	0	833,905
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1,661,986</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,661,986</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 402,473


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 2,064,459

**Project Description:** 2023 MPO PRIORITY #12 NB RIGHT TURN LANE; CONVERT SIGNAL FROM STRAIN POLE TO MAST ARMS; MPO AGREES TO GREEN MAST ARMS R/W REQUIRED G/W 444416-1. 444417-1

4444161 - SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD

## Non-SIS



From:

To:

**Section:** A - Highway

## Work Summary: TRAFFIC SIGNALS

**Length:** .00

**Lead Agency:** FDOT

LRTP #: Appx. D, pg. 42

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	287,568	0	0	0	287,568
CST	DIH	0	33,019	0	0	0	33,019
CST	SU	0	821,450	0	0	0	821,450
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1,142,037</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,142,037</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 277,479


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 1,419,516

**Project Description:** 2023 MPO PRIORITY #13 REPLACE SPANWIRE WITH GREEN MAST ARMS (MPO AGREES) R/W REQUIRED G/W 444415-1 (LEAD), 444417-1

## 4444171 - SR-5/US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: TRAFFIC SIGNALS

Length: .008

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: Appx. D, pg. 42

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SA	0	36,960	0	0	0	36,960
CST	SL	0	1,258,497	0	0	0	1,258,497
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1,295,457</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,295,457</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 292,951


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,588,408

Project Description: 2022 MPO PRIORITY #14 REPLACE SPANWIRE WITH GREEN MAST ARM (MPO AGREES TO) R/W REQUIRED

## 4462571 - SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY

Non-SIS



**From:** SR-5/US-1  
**To:** AT SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**Length:** .128  
**LRTP #:** Appx. D, pg. 42

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	CM	0	0	656,902	0	0	656,902
CST	DDR	0	0	2,988,355	0	0	2,988,355
CST	DIH	0	0	96,448	0	0	96,448
CST	DS	0	0	223,159	0	0	223,159
ROW	CM	0	0	500,000	0	0	500,000
ROW	DDR	1,241,354	168,000	0	0	0	1,409,354
ROW	DIH	12,000	24,000	0	0	0	36,000
ROW	SA	0	477,740	0	0	0	477,740
ROW	SU	758,586	0	904,380	1,404,381	0	3,067,347
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,011,940</b>	<b>669,740</b>	<b>5,369,244</b>	<b>1,404,381</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,455,305</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 659,050


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 10,114,355

**Project Description:** 2023 MPO PRIORITY #14 SOUTHBOUND RIGHT TURN LANE TO WEST BOUND KANNER HIGHWAY INCLUDES LEFT TURN LANE FROM KANNER TO NB US-1

## 4473981 - SAILFISH CAPITAL TRAIL/MARTIN TRAIL

Non-SIS



**From:** SE GRAFTON AVENUE  
**To:** NW WRIGHT BLVD  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** BIKE PATH/TRAIL      **Length:** 7.68  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT      **LRTP #:** Appx. H, pg. 13

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PE	TLWR	0	0	1,600,000	0	0	1,600,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,600,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,600,000</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 598,266


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 2,198,266

**Project Description:** DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM SE GRAFTON AVENUE TO NW WRIGHT BLVD

## 4475551 - SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HWY

SIS



**From:** SR-710  
**To:** at CR-714  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** ROAD RECONSTRUCTION - 2 LANE  
**Length:** 0.485  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**LRTP #:** Appx. G, pg. 1

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	ACNP	0	0	0	283,000	6,005,183	6,288,183
ROW	SA	18,000	18,000	0	0	0	36,000
ROW	ACSS	113,160	168,450	0	0	0	281,610
RRU	ACNP	0	0	0	434,000	0	434,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>131,160</b>	<b>186,450</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>717,000</b>	<b>6,005,183</b>	<b>7,039,793</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 695,175

**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 7,734,968

**Project Description:** 2024 MPO PRIORITY #3 G/W 447555.2; INCLUDES RELOCATION OF CR-714 TO SE 126 BLVD B/C RATIO = 4.3 1) FLATTEN THE HORIZONTAL CURVE ON CR-714 2) CONVERT THE EXISTING STOP CONTROLLED INTERSECTION SR 710

## 4475552 - SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR W OF SE 126 BLVD TO OKEECHOBEE/MARTIN CO LINE

SIS



**From:** SE 126th Blvd  
**To:** Okeechobee/Martin County Line  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** .042  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT **Length:** .042  
**LRTP #:** Appx. G, pg. 1

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	ACNP	0	0	0	273,250	7,329,979	7,603,229
ROW	DIH	5,000	18,000	0	0	0	23,000
ROW	ACSS	66,240	518,298	0	0	0	584,538
PE	ACSA	7,745	0	0	0	0	7,745
<b>Total</b>		<b>78,985</b>	<b>536,298</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>273,250</b>	<b>7,329,979</b>	<b>8,218,512</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 0


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 8,218,512

**Project Description:** 2024 MPO PRIORITY #3  
GOES WITH 447555.1; THIS  
IS DISTRICT 1 PORTION OF  
PROJECT B/C RATIO = 4.3;  
NPV=\$19,582,722

## 4476501 - A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY

Non-SIS



**From:** NE SHORE VILLAGE TER  
**To:** SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** RESURFACING  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT

Length: 2.277

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DIH	93,084	0	0	0	0	93,084
CST	DS	3,730,003	0	0	0	0	3,730,003
CST	ACNR	583,339	0	0	0	0	583,339
CST	ACPR	2,138,451	0	0	0	0	2,138,451
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,544,877</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,544,877</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 1,073,037


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 7,617,914

Project Description:

## 4478681 - I-95 MARTIN WEIGH STATION - INSPECTION BARN UPGRADES

SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: MCCO WEIGH STATION STATIC/WIM

Length: 20.608

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: pg. A-3

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DWS	0	549,613	0	0	0	549,613
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>549,613</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>549,613</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 549,613

Project Description:

## 4480891 - CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION

Length: 0.066

Lead Agency: Martin County

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	468,293	0	0	0	0	468,293
CST	LF	251,411	0	0	0	0	251,411
CST	GRSC	285,938	0	0	0	0	285,938
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,005,642</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,005,642</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,005,642

Project Description: SMALL COUNTY OUTREACH PROGRAM (SCOP) SCOUR PROTECTION

4484461 - SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD

## Non-SIS



**From:** E OF SW STUART W BLVD

To: W OF CITRUS BLVD

**Section:** A - Highway

## Work Summary: RESURFACING

**Length:** 3.623

**Lead Agency:** FDOT

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	772,213	0	0	0	0	772,213
CST	DIH	82,116	0	0	0	0	82,116
CST	DS	4,440,274	0	0	0	0	4,440,274
<b>Total</b>		<b>5,294,603</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,294,603</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 1,217,886


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 6,512,489

## Project Description:

## 4484471 - SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET

Non-SIS



**From:** .5 MILE S OF SE DIXIE HWY  
**To:** S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** RESURFACING  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT

**Length:** 5.105

**LRTP #:** pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	662,439	0	0	0	0	662,439
CST	DIH	49,061	0	0	0	0	49,061
CST	DS	17,270,823	0	0	0	0	17,270,823
CST	SA	1,599,111	50,585	0	0	0	1,649,696
<b>Total</b>		<b>19,581,434</b>	<b>50,585</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,632,019</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 1,663,679

**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 21,295,698

**Project Description:**

**4484472 - SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO OSPREY STREET**

Non-SIS



**From:** SE BRIDGE ROAD  
**To:** OSPREY STREET  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** BIKE PATH/TRAIL  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**Length:**  
**LRTP #:** Appx. H-1, pg. 11

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	TLWR	9,299,556	0	0	0	0	9,299,556
<b>Total</b>		<b>9,299,556</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,299,556</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 1,357,712


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 10,657,268

**Project Description:**

## 4491601 - SR-9/ I-95 FROM S OF KANNER HWY TO MARTIN/ ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE

SIS



**From:** S OF KANNER HWY  
**To:** MARTIN/ ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** RESURFACING  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**Length:** 13.327  
**LRTP #:** pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	ACNP	65,000	0	0	0	0	65,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>65,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>65,000</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 45,500,707


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 45,565,707

**Project Description:** G/W 449159-1 32-02: VE WORKSHOP

## 4495071 - CR 76A/SW96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION

Non-SIS



**From:** W OF SW BOBCAT DR  
**To:** E OF SW GREEN RIDGE LANE  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION      **Length:** 0.13  
**Lead Agency:** Martin County      **LRTP #:** pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	0	5,053	0	0	0	5,053
CST	LF	0	371,440	0	0	0	371,440
CST	GRSC	0	50,000	0	0	0	50,000
CST	SCED	0	487,805	0	0	0	487,805
CST	SCWR	0	568,293	0	0	0	568,293
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1,482,591</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,482,591</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 0


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 1,482,591

**Project Description:** W OF SW BOBCAT DR TO E OF SW GREEN RIDGE LANE SCOUR PROTECTION BRIDGE #890093

## 4496941 - GREENRIVER PARKWAY FR NE JENSEN BCH BLVD TO MARTIN COUNTY LINE

Non-SIS



**From:** NE JENSEN BEACH BLVD  
**To:** MARTIN COUNTY LINE  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** RESURFACING  
**Lead Agency:** Responsible Agency Not Available

**Length:** 1.225

**LRTP #:** pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	LF	0	0	0	0	382,388	382,388
CST	GRSC	0	0	0	0	1,147,162	1,147,162
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,529,550</b>	<b>1,529,550</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 0


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 1,529,550

**Project Description:**

## 4498291 - SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1

Non-SIS



**From:** SW PALM CITY RD  
**To:** 400 FT S OF US-1  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** RESURFACING  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT

Length: 1.399

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	6,295,984	0	0	6,295,984
CST	DIH	0	0	124,361	0	0	124,361
CST	DS	0	0	4,904,354	0	0	4,904,354
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11,324,699</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11,324,699</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 1,063,441


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 12,388,140

Project Description:

4505872 - SR-707/DIXIE HWY. BRIDGE # 890003

## Non-SIS



From

To

**Section:** A - Highway

## Work Summary: BRIDGE REHABILITATION

Length: 0.235

**Lead Agency:** FDOT

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	BRRP	0	12,215,962	0	0	0	12,215,962
CST	DIH	0	103,848	0	0	0	103,848
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>12,319,810</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12,319,810</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 1,346,259


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 13,666,069

**Project Description:** MOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION (ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL AND STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS) OVER ST. LUCIE RIVER BRIDGE  
# 890003 IS OWNED AND MAINTAINED BY FDOT

## 4507851 - CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: RESURFACING

Length: 12.286

Lead Agency: Martin County

LRTP #: pg. 84, 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	0	462,896	0	0	0	462,896
CST	LF	0	1,101,212	0	0	0	1,101,212
CST	GRSC	0	1,832,051	0	0	0	1,832,051
CST	SCED	0	25,016	0	0	0	25,016
CST	SCWR	0	93,245	0	0	0	93,245
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3,514,420</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,514,420</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 3,514,420

Project Description:

4508231 - SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE

## Non-SIS



**From:** US-1  
**To:** SE EDISON AVENUE  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** SIDEWALK      **Length:** 0.671  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT      **LRTP #:** Goal #3, pg. 12

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	LF	150,805	0	0	0	0	150,805
CST	TALT	214,508	0	0	0	0	214,508
CST	TALU	365,711	0	0	0	0	365,711
<b>Total</b>		<b>731,024</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>731,024</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 5,000


Future Cost >2031: 0

**Total Project Cost:** 736.024

Project Description: 2023 TA PRIORITY #1

## 4522571 - SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR5

Non-SIS



**From:** SE Wooden Bridge Lane  
**To:** US-1  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** RESURFACING  
**Lead Agency:** Martin County

**Length:** 1.678  
**LRTP #:** pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	0	0	454,146	0	0	454,146
CST	LF	0	0	719,194	0	0	719,194
CST	GRSC	0	0	137,805	0	0	137,805
CST	SCED	0	0	487,805	457,058	0	944,863
CST	SCWR	0	0	570,244	0	0	570,244
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,369,194</b>	<b>457,058</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,826,252</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 0


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 2,826,252

**Project Description:** SMALL COUNTY OUTREACH PROGRAM (SCOP) SFGA W/ MARTIN COUNTY

## 4529221 - US-1/SR-5 ROOSEVELT BRIDGE OVER ST LUCIE RIVER BRIDGES 890151 &amp; 890152

Non-SIS



**From:** Roosevelt Bridge Over St. Lucie River

**To:**

**Section:** A - Highway

**Work Summary:** BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION

**Length:** 0.863

**Lead Agency:** FDOT

**LRTP #:** pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	BRRP	0	0	0	0	5,084,700	5,084,700
CST	DIH	0	0	0	0	5,845	5,845
PE	BRRP	0	350,000	0	0	0	350,000
PE	DIH	0	5,000	0	0	0	5,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>355,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,090,545</b>	<b>5,445,545</b>

Prior Cost <2026: 0


Future Cost >2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 5,445,545

Project Description:

## 4529971 - SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY

Non-SIS



**From:** COLORADO AVE  
**To:** JOAN JEFFERSON WAY  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** SIDEWALK  
**Lead Agency:** City of Stuart  
**Length:**  
**LRTP #:** Goal #3, pg. 12

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	LF	0	308,187	0	0	0	308,187
CST	TALT	0	206,657	0	0	0	206,657
CST	TALU	0	177,137	0	0	0	177,137
CST	TALM	0	78,426	0	0	0	78,426
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>770,407</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>770,407</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 5,000


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 775,407

**Project Description:**

## 4533211 - SR-A1A/NE OCEAN BLVD. "ERNEST F. LYONS" BRIDGE OVER ICWW

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION

Length:

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	BRRP	0	0	0	2,686,151	0	2,686,151
CST	DIH	0	0	0	5,660	0	5,660
PE	BRRP	659,073	0	0	0	0	659,073
PE	DIH	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>664,073</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,691,811</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,355,884</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 3,355,884

**Project Description:** REHABILITATION PROJECT FOR EPOXY OVERLAY ENTIRE BRIDGE CONCRETE DECK, FENDER SYSTEM REHABILITATION, MISCELLANEOUS SPALLS/CRACKS REPAIRS AT CLOSURE POUR (CP) BOTTOM SLABS, OVERHANGS, & EXTERIOR FACES. REPLACE ENTIRE EXISTING BRIDGE LIGHTING (60 LIGHT POLES WITH FIXTURES) WITH LATEST STANDARD ALUMINUM BRIDGE LIGHTING (LED) SYSTEM AS PER FDOT

## 4533331 - SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO SW ALLAPATTAH RD

SIS



**From:** SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD  
**To:** CR-609/ALLAPATAH ROAD  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT  
**Length:** 5.201  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**LRTP #:** pg. 76

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ROW	ACNP	0	1,224,934	60,000	2,085,000	589,864	3,959,798
ROW	BNIR	0	0	5,971,501	0	0	5,971,501
PE	ACNP	817,339	25,000	25,000	25,000	0	892,339
<b>Total</b>		<b>817,339</b>	<b>1,249,934</b>	<b>6,056,501</b>	<b>2,110,000</b>	<b>589,864</b>	<b>10,823,638</b>


**Prior Cost <2026:** 2,633,920

**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 13,457,558

**Project Description:** RECONSTRUCT SR 710 FR 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY 2024 MPO PRIORITY #1

## 4533332 - SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO SW FP&amp;L ACCESS ROAD



**From:** MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE  
**To:** SW FP&L ACCESS ROAD  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT      **Length:** 9.812  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT      **LRTP #:** pg. 76

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
ROW	ACNP	0	1,241,000	180,000	6,148,186	40,000	7,609,186
ROW	DI	0	6,746,519	0	0	0	6,746,519
ROW	BNIR	0	0	8,871,295	0	0	8,871,295
PE	ACNP	4,175,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	0	4,250,000
RRU	ARTW	0	0	0	20,000,000	0	20,000,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>4,175,000</b>	<b>8,012,519</b>	<b>9,076,295</b>	<b>26,173,186</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>47,477,000</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 2,731,001


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 50,208,001

**Project Description:** RECONSTRUCT SR 710 FR 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY 2024 MPO PRIORITY #1

## 4533334 - SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR SW ALLAPATTAH RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE

SIS



**From:** SW ALLAPATTAH RD  
**To:** SW VAN BUREN AVE  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**Length:** 0.838  
**LRTP #:** pg. 76

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	DDR	0	0	0	0	13,886,429	13,886,429
CST	DIH	0	0	0	0	72,451	72,451
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13,958,880</b>	<b>13,958,880</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 0


**Future Cost >2031:** 72,451

**Total Project Cost:** 14,031,331

**Project Description:**

## 4539191 - SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY

Non-SIS



**From:** 100 FT S of CAMP VALOR  
**To:** SW KANNER HWY  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** RESURFACING  
**Lead Agency:** Martin County  
**Length:** 1.287  
**LRTP #:** pg. 132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	SCOP	0	0	0	442,805	0	442,805
CST	LF	0	0	0	295,204	0	295,204
CST	SCWR	0	0	0	442,806	0	442,806
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,180,815</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,180,815</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,180,815

Project Description:

## 4548761 - BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL

Non-SIS



From:

To:

Section: A - Highway

Work Summary: SIDEWALK

Length: 0.84

Lead Agency: FDOT

LRTP #: Goal #3, pg. 12

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
CST	LF	0	0	61,000	0	0	61,000
CST	TALT	0	0	772,300	0	0	772,300
CST	TALU	0	0	339,762	0	0	339,762
PE	TALT	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>5,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,173,062</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,178,062</b>

Prior Cost &lt;2026: 0


Future Cost &gt;2031: 0

Total Project Cost: 1,178,062

Project Description:

## 4559671 - SR5/US1 FROM SE OSPREY ST TO S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD

SIS



**From:** SE OSPREY ST  
**To:** S OF SE HERITAGE BLVD  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** RESURFACING  
**Lead Agency:** FDOT  
**Length:** 1.02  
**LRTP #:** pg.132

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
MNT	FC5	455,437	0	0	0	0	455,437
CST	DIH	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
CST	FC5	39,604	0	0	0	0	39,604
<b>Total</b>		<b>500,041</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>500,041</b>

**Prior Cost <2026:** 35,829


**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 535,870

**Project Description:**

## 4571801 - SR-9/I-95 MARTIN COUNTY - GUARDRAIL

Non-SIS



**From:** I-95  
**To:**  
**Section:** A - Highway  
**Work Summary:** PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING  
**Lead Agency:** MANAGED BY FDOT  
**Length:** 24.691  
**LRTP #:**

Phase	Fund Source	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	Total
PE	DDR	346,000	0	0	0	0	346,000
PE	DIH	74,169	0	0	0	0	74,169
PE	ACSS	1,197,072	0	0	0	0	1,197,072
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,617,241</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,617,241</b>


**Prior Cost <2026:** 0

**Future Cost >2031:** 0

**Total Project Cost:** 1,617,241

**Project Description:** MEDIAN BARRIER INSTALLATION ALONG I-95 AS PART OF THE STATEWIDE MEDIAN BARRIER INITIATIVE INCLUDES SHORT SEGMENT IN PALM BEACH COUNTY - 0.2 MILES


**Section B - Transit**



Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4071894	MARTIN COUNTY BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE				Length .000		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	OPERATING/ADMIN. ASSISTANCE			LRTP Pg: Appx. K, pg.5	Lead Agency	Martin County	
OPS	DDR	404,165	417,575	430,102	430,102	0	1,681,944
OPS	DPTO	0	0	0	0	447,306	447,306
OPS	LF	405,165	417,575	430,102	430,102	447,306	2,130,250
Total		809,330	835,150	860,204	860,204	894,612	4,259,500
	Prior Years Cost	785,786	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	5,045,286
FM# 4134931	PSL UZA - MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5307 FORMULA FUNDS						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	CAPITAL FOR FIXED ROUTE			LRTP Pg: pg. 64	Lead Agency	Martin County	
Transit funding for fixed route							
CAP	FTA	650,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	3,250,000
OPS	FTA	510,000	510,000	510,000	510,000	510,000	2,550,000
Total		1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	1,160,000	5,800,000
	Prior Years Cost	13,943,824	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	19,743,824
FM# 4134932	SECTION 5307 FORMULA MARTIN CO PORT ST LUCIE UZA LARGE URBAN OPERATING						*Non-SIS*
				LRTP Pg: pg. 64	Lead Agency	Martin County	
OPS	FTA	1,220,000	0	0	0	0	1,220,000
Total		1,220,000	0	0	0	0	1,220,000
	Prior Years Cost	0	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	1,220,000
FM# 4259774	MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5311, OPERATING RURAL FUNDS				Length .000		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	OPERATING/ADMIN. ASSISTANCE			LRTP Pg: Appx. K, pg. 5	Lead Agency	Martin County	
OPS	DU	171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
OPS	LF	171,915	180,027	188,168	188,168	195,695	923,973
Total		343,830	360,054	376,336	376,336	391,390	1,847,946
	Prior Years Cost	353,622	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	2,201,568
FM# 4346611	PSL UZA - MARTIN COUNTY SECTION 5339 CAPITAL FOR BUS & BUS FACILITIES				Length .000		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	CAPITAL FOR FIXED ROUTE			LRTP Pg: pg. 64; p. 29, Appendix B	Lead Agency	Martin County	
GRANT FL-34-0018 EXECUTED 7/30/2014 FL-2017-077-00;\$79,083; EXECUTED 8/8/2017 NON-BUDGET REVENUE							
CAP	FTA	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	650,000
Total		130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	650,000
	Prior Years Cost	1,243,145	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	1,893,145

**Section C - Aviation**

---




Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4459781	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT PDC AND MIRL REPLACEMENT 7-25						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT			LRTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Martin County		
CAP	DPTO	0	3,680,000	0	0	0	3,680,000
CAP	LF	0	920,000	0	0	0	920,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>4,600,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,600,000</b>
	Prior Years Cost	0	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	4,600,000
FM# 4481171	WITHAM FIELD MILL & RESURFACE, MTL REPLACEMENT TAXIWAY C & C1						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT			LRTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Martin County		
CAP	DPTO	0	0	0	1,368,000	0	1,368,000
CAP	LF	0	0	0	342,000	0	342,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,710,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,710,000</b>
	Prior Years Cost	0	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	1,710,000
FM# 4496401	WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (DESIGN & CONSTRUCT)				Length 0		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT			LRTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Martin County		
CAP	DPTO	14,500	0	0	0	0	14,500
CAP	FAA	261,000	0	0	0	0	261,000
CAP	LF	14,500	0	0	0	0	14,500
<b>Total</b>		<b>290,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>290,000</b>
	Prior Years Cost	0	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	290,000
FM# 4533841	WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT - AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPGRADE						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION SAFETY PROJECT			LRTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Responsible Agency Not Available		
CAP	DPTO	0	0	96,000	0	0	96,000
CAP	LF	0	0	24,000	0	0	24,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>120,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>120,000</b>
	Prior Years Cost	0	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	120,000
FM# 4548201	WITHAM FIELD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT				Length 0		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION SAFETY PROJECT			LRTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Lead Agency Responsible Agency Not Available		
CAP	DPTO	92,800	0	0	0	0	92,800
CAP	LF	23,200	0	0	0	0	23,200
<b>Total</b>		<b>116,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>116,000</b>
	Prior Years Cost	0	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	116,000

Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4549001	WITHAM FIELD SOUTH AIRPORT FACILITIES (DESIGN)						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	AVIATION REVENUE/OPERATIONAL			LRTP Pg: Appx. A, pg. 3; Appx. D, pg. 30	Length 0	Lead Agency Responsible Agency Not Available	
CAP	DPTO	0	0	531,200	0	0	531,200
CAP	LF	0	0	132,800	0	0	132,800
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>664,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>664,000</b>
	<i>Prior Years Cost</i>	0	<i>Future Years Cost</i>	0		<i>Total Project Cost</i>	664,000

**Section D - Turnpike**


---



Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4461651	SR91 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS AT SR714 (MP 133.7 - 134.8)				Length 0.285		*SIS*
Type of Work	INTERCHANGE - ADD LANES		LRTP Pg: pg. 52; Appx. D, pg. 42		Lead Agency FDOT		
ROW	PKYI	191,800	500,931	0	100,000	0	792,731
PE	PKYI	1,700,000	0	0	0	0	1,700,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,891,800</b>	<b>500,931</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,492,731</b>
	Prior Years Cost	7,188,360	Future Years Cost	6,157		Total Project Cost	9,687,248
FM# 4463331	WIDEN TPK(SR91), SW MARTIN HWY TO ST.LUCIE C/L (MP134.8-138.08) (4TO8)				Length 3.622		*SIS*
Type of Work	ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT		LRTP Pg: pg. 52; Appx. D, pg. 42		Lead Agency FDOT		
ROW	PKYI	195,400	0	0	0	0	195,400
PE	PKYI	400,000	0	0	0	0	400,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>595,400</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>595,400</b>
	Prior Years Cost	5,530,100	Future Years Cost	179,887,063		Total Project Cost	186,012,563
FM# 4466181	THOMAS B MANUEL BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (SB ONLY) (MP 131.2)				Length 0.021		*SIS*
Type of Work	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT		LRTP Pg: pg. 132		Lead Agency FDOT		
CST	PKYR	0	13,369,835	0	0	0	13,369,835
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>13,369,835</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13,369,835</b>
	Prior Years Cost	415,640	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	13,785,475
FM# 4485241	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT - 890083 (SR 91) (MP 138) MARTIN COUNTY				Length 0.543		*SIS*
Type of Work	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT		LRTP Pg: pg. 132		Lead Agency FDOT		
CST	PKYR	0	2,124,000	0	0	0	2,124,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>2,124,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,124,000</b>
	Prior Years Cost	55,441,913	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	57,565,913

**Section E - Districtwide**

---



Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 2337031	MARTIN CO STATE HWY SYS ROADWAY				Length .000		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			LRTP Pg: Goal 1.0, Page 7-4	Lead Agency	FDOT	
MNT	D	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	0	1,200,000
Total		300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	0	1,200,000
	Prior Years Cost	14,161,585	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	15,361,585
FM# 2337032	MARTIN CO STATE HWY SYS BRIDGES				Length .000		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			LRTP Pg: Goal 1.0, Page 7-4	Lead Agency	FDOT	
MNT	D	35,000	35,000	35,000	0	0	105,000
Total		35,000	35,000	35,000	0	0	105,000
	Prior Years Cost	1,529,725	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	1,634,725
FM# 2342651	MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-ROADWAY						*SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			LRTP Pg: Goal 1.0, Page 7-4	Lead Agency	FDOT	
MNT	D	10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0	30,000
Total		10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0	30,000
	Prior Years Cost	5,686,742	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	5,716,742
FM# 2342652	MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-BRIDGES				Length .000		*SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			LRTP Pg: Goal 1.0, Page 7-4	Lead Agency	FDOT	
MNT	D	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	0	48,000
Total		12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	0	48,000
	Prior Years Cost	525,901	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	573,901
FM# 4505591	MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			LRTP Pg: pg. 29	Lead Agency	FDOT	
MNT	D	3,258,390	2,758,390	2,758,390	2,667,905	2,667,905	14,110,980
Total		3,258,390	2,758,390	2,758,390	2,667,905	2,667,905	14,110,980
	Prior Years Cost	6,778,372	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	20,889,352
FM# 4505592	MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE				Length 0		*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE			LRTP Pg: pg. 29	Lead Agency	FDOT	
MNT	D	0	0	0	2,500,000	3,000,000	5,500,000
Total		0	0	0	2,500,000	3,000,000	5,500,000
	Prior Years Cost	0	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	5,500,000

Phase	Fund Source	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total
FM# 4515801	MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM						*Non-SIS*
Type of Work	TRAFFIC SIGNALS		LRTP Pg: pg. 29		Lead Agency Martin County		
NEW MSCA TARGET STARTING IN FY28							
MNT	D	0	0	766,779	694,556	980,886	2,442,221
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>766,779</b>	<b>694,556</b>	<b>980,886</b>	<b>2,442,221</b>
Prior Years Cost		0	Future Years Cost	0		Total Project Cost	2,442,221

## Project Index (by Number)

FM #	TIP #	Project Name	Page
2337031 .....		MARTIN COUNTY STATE HWY SYS ROADWAY .....	E-2
2337032 .....		MARTIN COUNTY STATE HWY SYS BRIDGES .....	E-2
2342651 .....		MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-ROADWAY .....	E-2
2342652 .....		MARTIN COUNTY INTERSTATE-BRIDGES .....	E-2
4071894 .....		MARTIN COUNTY - BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE .....	B-2
4134931 .....		SECTION 5307 FORMULA MARTIN CO PORT ST LUCIE UZA LARGE URBAN OPERATING .....	B-2
4134932 .....		SECTION 5307 FORMULA MARTIN CO PORT ST LUCIE UZA LARGE URBAN OPERATING .....	B-2
4196693 .....		WILLOUGHBY BLVD FROM SR-714/MONTEREY RD TO SR-5/US-1/FEDERAL HWY .....	A-2
4226815 .....		SR-9/I-95 FR 1 MILE N OF HIGH MEADOWS TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE .....	A-3
4259774 .....		SECTION 5311 FORMULA MARTIN CO NON-UZA OPERATING .....	B-2
4278035 .....		MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM .....	A-4
4346611 .....		SECTION 5339 FORMULA MARTIN CO PORT ST. LUCIE UZA LARGE URBAN CAPITAL .....	B-2
4383452 .....		SR-5/US-1 @ SW JOAN JEFFERSON WAY .....	A-5
4393285 .....		MARTIN COUNTY FY 2024/2025-2025/2026 UPWP .....	A-6
4393286 .....		MARTIN COUNTY FY 2026/2027-2027/2028 .....	A-7
4393287 .....		MARTIN COUNTY UPWP FY 2028/2029-2029/2030 .....	A-8
4416363 .....		SR-714/MONTEREY ROAD @ FEC RAILROAD CROSSING .....	A-9
4416991 .....		CR-713/HIGH MEADOW AVE FROM SR-9/I-95 TO CR-714/MARTIN HWY .....	A-10
4417001 .....		COVE ROAD FROM SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY TO SR-5/US-1 .....	A-11
4419951 .....		MARTIN MAINLINE WEIGH IN MOTION (WIM) SCREENING .....	A-12
4435002 .....		FROM SE OSPREY ST TO SE GOMEZ AVE (FROM US-1 TO SEABRANCH STATE PARK) .....	A-13
4435051 .....		SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO HOBE SOUND WILDLIFE REFUGE .....	A-14
4444151 .....		SR-5/US-1 AT BAKER RD .....	A-15
4444161 .....		SR-5/US-1 AT NW NORTH RIVER SHORES BLVD .....	A-16
4444171 .....		SR-5/US-1 AT NW SUNSET BLVD .....	A-17
4459781 .....		WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT PDC AND MIRL REPLACEMENT 7-25 .....	C-2
4461651 .....		SR-91 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS AT SR-714 (MP 133.7 - 134.8) .....	D-2
4462571 .....		SR-5/US-1 @ SR-76/KANNER HIGHWAY .....	A-18
4463331 .....		WIDEN TPK (SR-91), SW MARTIN HWY TO ST. LUCIE C/L (MP 134.8-138.08) (4TO8) .....	D-2

## Project Index (by Number)

FM #	TIP #	Project Name	Page
4463331 .....		WIDEN TPK (SR-91), SW MARTIN HWY TO ST. LUCIE C/L (MP 134.8-138.08) (4TO8) .....	D-2
4466181 .....		THOMAS B MANUEL BRIDGE PAINTING (MP 131.2).....	D-2
4473981 .....		SAILFISH CAPITAL TRAIL/MARTIN TRAIL (SEGMENT OF EST COAST GREENWAY).....	A-19
4475551 .....		SR-710/SW WARFIELD BOULEVARD AT CR-714/SW MARTIN HIGHWAY.....	A-20
4475552 .....		SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR W OF SE 126 BL TO OKEECHOBEE/MARTIN CO LINE.....	A-21
4476501 .....		SR-A1A FROM NE SHORE VILLAGE TER TO SR-732/JENSEN BEACH CAUSEWAY.....	A-22
4478681 .....		SR-9/I-95 MARTIN WEIGH STATION - INSPECTION BARN UPGRADES.....	A-23
4480891 .....		CR-708/SE BRIDGE ROAD BASCULE BRIDGE REHABILITATION.....	A-24
4481171 .....		WITHAM FIELD MILL & RESURFACE, MITL REPLACEMENT TAXIWAY C & C1.....	C-2
4484461 .....		SR-714/SW MARTIN HWY FROM E OF SW STUART W BLVD TO W OF CITRUS BLVD.....	A-25
4484471 .....		SR-5/US-1 FR .5 MILE S OF SR-A1A/SE DIXIE HWY TO OSPREY STREET.....	A-26
4484472 .....		SR-5/US-1 FROM SE BRIDGE ROAD TO OSPREY STREET .....	A-27
4485241 .....		BRIDGE REPLACEMENT - 890083 (SR 91) (MP 138) MARTIN COUNTY.....	D-2
4491601 .....		SR-9/I-95 FR S OF SR-76/KANNER HWY TO MARTIN/ST. LUCIE COUNTY LINE.....	A-28
4495071 .....		CR-76A/SW 96TH STREET ARUNDEL BRIDGE REHABILITATION .....	A-29
4496401 .....		WITHAM FIELD REPLACE PAPIS ON 12-30 W/ LED UNITS (CONSTRUCT).....	C-2
4496941 .....		GREEN RIVER PARKWAY FR NE JENSEN BEACH BLVD TO MARTIN COUNTY LINE .....	A-30
4498291 .....		SR-714/SE MONTEREY ROAD FROM SW PALM CITY RD TO 400 FT S OF SR-5/US-1.....	A-31
4505591 .....		MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE.....	E-2
4505592 .....		MARTIN COUNTY ASSET MAINTENANCE.....	E-2
4505872 .....		SR-707/DIXIE HWY. BRIDGE #890003.....	A-32
4507851 .....		CR-A1A/SE DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COVE RD TO JEFFERSON ST.....	A-33
4508231 .....		SE WASHINGTON STREET FR US-1/SE FEDERAL HWY TO SE EDISON AVENUE.....	A-34
4515801 .....		MARTIN COUNTY JPA SIGNAL MAINTENANCE & OPS ON STATE HWY SYSTEM.....	E-3
4522571 .....		SE COUNTY LINE ROAD SE WOODEEN BRIDGE LANE TO US-1/SR-5.....	A-35
4529221 .....		US-1/SR-5 ROOSEVELT BRIDGE OVER ST LUCIE RIVER BRIDGES 890151 & 890152.....	A-36
4529971 .....		SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FROM COLORADO AVENUE TO JOAN JEFFERSON WAY.....	A-37
4533211 .....		SR-A1A/NE OCEAN BLVD. "ERNEST F. LYONS" BRIDGE OVER ICWW.....	A-38
4533331 .....		SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR FPL ACCESS RD TO CR-609/SW ALLAPATTAH RD.....	A-39

## Project Index (by Number)

FM #	TIP #	Project Name	Page
4533332		SR-710 FROM MARTIN/OKEECHOBEE CO LINE TO FPL POWER PLANT ACCESS ROAD	A-40
4533334		SR-710/SW WARFIELD BLVD FR SW ALLAPATTAH RD TO SW VAN BUREN AVE	A-41
4533841		WITHAM FIELD AIRPORT - AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPGRADE	C-2
4539191		SW KANSAS AVENUE FROM 100 FT S OF CAMP VALOR TO SW KANNER HIGHWAY	A-42
4548201		WITHAM FIELD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT	C-2
4548761		BULLDOG WAY FROM HAWKVIEW CIRCLE TO SOUTH FORK HIGH SCHOOL	A-43
4549001		WITHAM FIELD SOUTH AIRPORT FACILITIES (DESIGN)	C-3
4559671		SR-5/US-1 FROM SE OSPREY ST TO S OF HERITAGE BLVD	A-44
4571801		SR-9/I-95 MARTIN COUNTY - GUARDRAIL	A-45



# **Non-budgeted Project**

# Non-budgeted Project Federal Lands Project

Project	Program Fiscal Year	State	County	Park, Refuge, Forest, or Other Partner / Agency	Description	Type of Work	Primary Fund Source	Total Programmed Amount	Funds from Title	Delivered by	Status	Congressional District	FLMA Region
FW HBS 900(1) 901(1) TRL(1)	2026	FL	Martin	Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge	Replace Hobe Sound South End Boardwalk and Repair HQ and VC Parking	3R	FLTP - FWS	\$1,876,975.52	Title 23	FWS	In Design	FL-07	FWS- R4